

## DP Positions in African Languages - version 3

Vicki Carstens, University of Missouri, Michael Diercks, Pomona College, Loyiso Mletshe, University of the Western Cape, Juvénal Ndayiragije, University of Toronto, Justine Sikuku, Moi University

Data provided by Mamadou Bassene for Jóola Eegimaa

### I. Introduction.

This questionnaire is designed to explore where Determiner Phrases (DPs) are permitted to appear in a string (i.e., where they can be pronounced), where they must appear, and where they must not appear.

In many of the tasks that follow, you will be asked not only for a translation of a model sentence, but for judgments about the scenarios in which the sentence can be appropriately uttered. Please be sure to indicate as clearly as possible which reading for any given sentence is the one you find acceptable and if you find that some examples are more acceptable than others, please use our acceptability scale to give graded judgments, if you feel that such gradations accurately capture your intuitions. The scale is as follows:

\* Unacceptable either at all or at least under the interpretation that you have been asked about.

\*? Very marginally possible, perhaps only by comparison with an example or interpretation that is clearly \*

?? Very odd. You probably would not say this sentence this way or would not say it this way for the interpretation you have been asked about.

? Just a little off. Something not quite right about it.

OK This sounds like a natural sentence and is an appropriate sentence to use for the interpretation that you have been asked about.

When providing a sentence in your language, whether it is based on a model sentence or is one that you formulate or add in a comment about the sentence you are eliciting, always use the following format (example from Lubukusu).

1.	Yòháná	éésònyá	ómwèènè
	Yòháná	á-á-í-sòní-á	ó-mù-èènè
	Yohana	SM.c1-PST-RFM-shame-fv	c1-c1-own

John shamed himself.

The first line is a rendering in the latinized alphabet that is typically used for your language with tone marked accordingly. If your language has no latinized representation or certain diglosses are used for certain sounds (e.g., *-kh-* for the voiceless velar fricative, or *-sh-* for the voiceless palatal fricative) then please indicate this information in some explanatory commentary provided with your answers to the questionnaire. The glossing conventions we want you to use are available on the Afranaph site: Look at the lefthand margin of the homepage and click on glossing conventions.

Unless you are explicitly asked to use a particular word order or manner of translation, provide more than one translation if you think the sentence is ambiguous or might be said more than one way. Your comments about what you think might be factors in making the judgment that you do are always welcome and are very frequently useful, if you will be kind enough to provide them.

After we receive your responses to our questionnaire, we will think about the data you have given us and we will be contacting you again both to insure that we have everything we need and that the responses are clear and complete, as well as to ask follow-up questions, questions that involve asking you for new data, especially when an empirical pattern seems to be of particular rarity or serves a particular theoretical interest.

## II. Base line questions.

This section is designed to give us an overview of how sentences are constructed in your language. Please translate them using the glossing conventions described above.

1. A spider spun a web in the tree.

Gallirong gueçe ni bununuxen babu

Ga-llirong gu-eç-e            ni        bu-nunuxen babu

CL-spider   SM-spin-PFV   PREP   CL-tree CL.DEF

2. The women showed the children the baskets.

Waare wawu guggittene uññil wawu utegel wawu.

W-aare wawu gu-ggitten-e u-ññil wawu u-tegel wawu.

CL-woman CL.DEF SM-show-PFV CL-child CL.DEF CL-basket CL.DEF

3. The sun has warmed the water.

Bunaa babu búwulene mal mamu

Bu-naa babu bú-wul-en-e m-al mamu

CL-sun CL.DEF CL-warm-CAUS-PFV CL-water CL.DEF

4. We asked the men to plant the maize.

(Woli) Juoge áine ahu arox suggub sasu

(Woli) Ju-og-e w-áine wawu a-rox su-ggub sasu

(PRN.1<sup>st</sup>.PL) SM-tell-PFV CL-man CL.DEF SM-plant CL-maize CL.DEF

‘We told the men to plant the maize.’

The Eegimaa word for ‘ask’ which is *eroren* cannot be used here since it’s only used in the sense of ‘requesting information’ and in Eegimaa you don’t ‘ask’ people to do things but you tell/beg them to do things.

5. Please translate the sentence below. If your language has multiple complementizers (ways of saying ‘that’) please give us multiple translations. If there are varying shades of meaning associated with complementizer choice, please give us whatever information comes to mind about their usage and meanings.

Mary said that these girls will be working tomorrow.

Mari naage sú-jur sause pan gurokk gajem.

Mari na-ag-e sú-jur sause pan gu-rokk gajem.

Mary SM-tell-PFV CL-girl CL.DEM FUT SM-work tomorrow

In subsequent sections of the questionnaire we will be interested to learn whether complementizer choices are available and what shades of meaning are associated with the choices. It does not seem realistic for us to ask you to provide multiple translations of every single sentence containing an embedded clause, but we would appreciate your keeping complementizer options in mind and provide some representative samples and commentary where choices are available.

### III. Checking for DP subjects of infinitives.

Please translate the following items literally, taking care to use the finite verb forms for the finite verb forms in the bracketed clauses, or infinitive verb forms for infinitive verb forms in the bracketed clauses (all the (b) examples below). The term ‘infinitive’ may not directly translate to your language, but we are particularly interested in verb forms that do not show agreement morphology and are not clearly associated with present, past or future tense. If you think there is more than one candidate verb form that meets this description, then please provide examples of both. Is the result well-formed? For these examples and throughout the questionnaire, please be sure to provide full translations and glosses etc. even for sentences we ask for that are not acceptable,

1. a. It is believed [that John likes Mary].

Íneniinen bouox Jon namange Mary.

Ínen-i-inen bouox Jon na-mang-e Mary.

believe-PASS-believe COMP Jon SM-like-PFV Mary

- b. It is believed [John to like Mary].

\*Íneniinen Jon namange Mary.

Ínen-i-inen Jon na-mang-e Mary.

believe-PASS-believe Jon SM-like-PFV Mary

2. a. It is possible [that Mary sees John].

Júe kan búox Mari najuge Jon

Jú-e kan búox Mari na-jug-e Jon

able-PFV make COMP Mary SM-see-PFV Jon

b. It is possible [Mary to see John].

\*Júe kan Mari ejux Jon.

Jú-e kan Mari e-jux Jon.

able-PFV make Mary SM-see-PFV Jon

3. a. [That Mary saw John ] surprises me.

Búox Mari najuge Jon kanom gjaxali.

Búox Mari na-jug-e Jon kan-om ga-jaxali.

COMP Mary SM-see-PFV Jon make-OM CL-surprise

b. [Mary to see John] would surprise me.

Mari ejux Jon pan kanom gjaxali.

Mari e-jux Jon pan kan-om ga-jaxali.

Mary SM-see-PFV Jon FUT make-OM CL-surprise

4. a. [That our friends heard this] is embarrassing.

Búox guppalolal guune dáure sunenie.

Búox gu-ppal-olal gu-un-e dáure su-n-en-i-e.

COMP CL-friend-POSS SM-hear-PFV DEM shame-?-CAUS-?-PFV

b. [Our friends to hear this] would be embarrassing.

Guppalolal eun daure pan suneni.

Gu-ppal-olal e-un dáure pan su-n-en-i.

CL-friend-POSS SM-hear-PFV DEM FUT shame-?-CAUS-?

5. a. There is a rumor [that Mary likes beer].

Baje guoge yápullo búox Mari naminge bier.

Baj-e gu-og-e y-á-pul-l-o búox Mari  
na-ming-e bier.

have-PFV CL-tell-PFV CL-SM-exit-?-RFM COMP Mary SM-like-PFV beer

b. There is a rumor [Mary to like beer].

\*Baje guoge Mari namange bier

Baj-e gu-og-e Mari na-mang-e bier

have-PFV CL-tell-PFV Mary SM-like-PFV beer

#### IV. Testing for NP-movement from embedded clauses.

#### IV. A. Raising.

Please translate the following items literally, taking care to use a tensed or infinitival verb form in the bracketed clauses to match the sentences you are translating. Are the results well-formed in the scenarios described? It may be possible in these instances to have a sentence that is well-formed, but seems odd to say in these circumstances. Please also tell us this, if the sentence is acceptable but if it seems inappropriate in some way in these particular circumstances. And if there are options vis-à-vis the complementizer involved, please indicate this and any correlating differences in grammaticality.

A. *You are a detective investigating a crime scene. You know that Mary wears shoes that leave a specific pattern on the ground. Upon seeing footprints with this pattern, can you say the following?*

1. It seems [that Mary fell here].

Nogore ti Mari nah'alo me tale.

Nogor-e                      ti              Mari    nah' a-lo              me              tale.  
resemble-PFV   COMP   Mary   ?              SM-fall   DEP   here

2. Mary seems [fell here].

\*Mari nanogore na-lo me tale.

Mari    na-nogor-e                      na-lo              me              tale.  
Mary    SM-resemble-PFV   SM-fall    DEP   here

3. Mary seems [that fell here].

\*Mari nanogore ti nalo me tale.

Mari na-nogor-e                      ti                      na-lo              me              tale.  
Mary    SM-resemble-PFV   COMP    SM-fall    DEP   here

4. Mary seems [to have fallen here].

Mari nanogore ti nah'alo me tale.

Mari na-nogor-e ti nah' a-lo me tale.  
Mary resemble-PFV COMP ? SM-fall DEP here

B. *You are reading tomorrow's weather forecast in the newspaper. Can you say:*

1. Rain will fall tomorrow.

? Émit pan elub gajem.

É-mit pan e-lub gajem.

CL-sky FUT CL-rain tomorrow

Émit yai pan elub gajem.

É-mit yai pan e-lub gajem.

CL-sky CL.DEF FUT CL-rain tomorrow

2. It seems that rain will fall tomorrow.

?Nogore ti émit pan elub gajem.

Nogor-e ti é-mit pan e-lub gajem.

resemble-PFV COMP CL-sky FUT CL-rain tomorrow

Nogore ti émit yai pan elub gajem.

Nogor-e ti é-mit yai pan e-lub gajem.

resemble-PFV COMP CL-sky CL.DEF FUT CL-rain tomorrow

3. Rain seems [will fall tomorrow].

\*Émit enogore pan lub gajem.

É-mit e-nogor-e pan lub gajem.

CL-sky CL-resemble-PFV FUT rain tomorrow

4. Rain seems [that will fall tomorrow].

Émit enogore ti pan elub gajem.

É-mit e-nogor-e ti pan lub gajem.

CL-sky CL-resemble-PFV COMP FUT rain tomorrow

5. Rain seems [to be going to fall tomorrow].

\*Émit enogore ti pan ekk' elub gajem.

É-mit e-nogor-e ti pan e-kk' e-lub  
gajem.

CL-sky CL-resemble-PFV COMP FUT CL-COP CL-rain tomorrow

- C. *You find that the watering hole the cows usually drink from is dry. Without seeing the cattle, can you say:*

1. It seems [that the cows have drunk all the water].

Nogore ti síbe sasu ni sirendem mal mamu pe.

Nogor-e ti sí-be sasu ni si-rendem m-al  
mamu pe.

resemble-PFV COMP CL-cow CL.DEF ? CL-drink CL-water CL.DEF  
all

2. The cows seem [to have drunk all the water].

Síbe sasu sunogore ti ni sirem me mal maum pe.

Sí-be sasu su-nogore ti ni si-rem me  
m-al maum pe.

CL-cow CL.DEF CL-resemble-PFV COMP ? CL-drink MOOD CL-water  
CL.DEF all

3. The cows seem [have drunk all the water].

\*Síbe sasu sunogore ni sirem me mal mamu pe.

Sí-be sasu su-nogor-e ni si-rem me m-al  
mamu pe.

CL-cow CL.DEF CL-resemble-PFV ? CL-drink MOOD CL-water CL.DEF  
all

4. The cows seem [that have drunk all the water].

Síbe sasu sunogore ti /\*búox /\*min ni sirem me mal mamu pe.

Sí-be sasu su-nogor-e ti ni si-rem me  
m-al mamu pe.

CL-cow CL.DEF CL-resemble-PFV COMP ? CL-drink MOOD CL-water  
CL.DEF all

Síbe sasu sunogore ti /\*búox ni sirem me mal mamu pe.

Sí-be sasu su-nogor-e ti/\*búox ni si-rem me  
m-al mamu pe.

CL-cow CL.DEF CL-resemble-PFV COMP ? CL-drink MOOD CL-water  
CL.DEF all

Síbe sasu sunogore ti /\*min ni sirem me mal mamu pe.

Sí-be sasu su-nogor-e ti/\*min ni si-rem me  
m-al mamu pe.

CL-cow CL.DEF CL-resemble-PFV COMP ? CL-drink MOOD CL-water  
CL.DEF all

In English, *seem* is the verb most often used to illustrate raising constructions, but you might also try verbs like *appear*, your closest equivalent to English *be likely*, if there is no easy translation for *seem*. If any of these verbs take something other than what you would normally think of as an infinitive, but permit the paradigm in (B) or (C), then please provide the paradigm for that verb (i.e., provide sentences with the verb in question corresponding to each of the examples in B.). If there are complementizer options (with or without differences in meaning) please provide some representative examples.

D. Below we test verbs that indicate inception/duration/cessation of events. This test only works if these semantic notions are represented in your language as separate verbs (some languages just treat them as affixes). Compare the translation of the paradigm below to otherwise identical sentences with other such verbs, particularly translations of verbs like *begin*, and *continue*. See if they take the same sort of complement as your *start* translation. (In English, *stop* takes a gerundive complement, but still acts like a raising predicate, e.g., ‘John stopped eating/\*to eat’, and we are interested in knowing about cases like these, if your language has them).

*You are looking out of the window at the weather. Can you say:*

1a. It is starting to rain now

?Maer uyu ni ejju galub.

Maer uyu ni e-jju ga-lub.

now CL.COP CONJ CL-start CL-rain

b. It has stopped raining now

Maer exale ga-lub.

Maer e-xal-e                    ga-lub.

now   CL-stop-PFV   CL-rain

2a. It is starting (that) it rains.

\*Uyu ni ejju búox /min elub

Uyu            ni            e-jju            búox /min e-lub

CL.COP   CONJ   CL.start COMP            CL-rain

b. It has stopped (that) it rains.

\*Exale búox /min elub.

E-xal-e                    búox /min e-lub.

CL-stop-PFV COMP            CL-rain

3a. The cow is starting to eat the grass.

Ébe yai uyu ni ejju etiñ essel yai.

yai.   É-be            yai            uyu            ni            e-jju            e-tiñ            e-ssel

CL-cow   CL.DEF   CL.COP   CONJ   CL-start   CL-eat CL-grass CL.DEF

b. The cow has stopped eating the grass.

Ébe yai exale fitiñ essel yai.

É-be            yai            e-xal-e                    fi-tiñ            e-ssel            yai.

CL-cow   CL.DEF   CL-stop-PFV   CL-eat   CL-grass CL.DEF

E. Can you think of expressions with idiomatic subjects? English has a few listed below:

1. The cat is out of the bag (meaning the secret has been revealed).

Áine ahu umu ni gakko.

Áine ahu umu ni ga-kko.

CL.man CL.DEF CL.COP CONJ CL-incubate

Lit: 'The man is incubating.' = 'The man is having sexual intercourse.'

2. All hell broke loose (meaning suddenly there was a big commotion or chaos ensued).

Ebamburungol esallosar.

E-bamburung-ol e-sal-l-o-sar.

CL-wrap.baby.carrier-POSS CL-break-DER-RFM-break

Lit: 'His/her wrap baby carrier broke' = 'S/he pooped his clothes.'

3. The shit hit the fan (meaning there was trouble)

Acce abelene gaserol.

A-cce a-belen-e ga-ser-ol.

CL-INDEF 3<sup>rd</sup>.SG.SM-throw-PFV CL-spoon-3<sup>rd</sup>.SG.POSS

Lit: 'Someone threw (away) his/her spoon' = 'someone died.'

If you can think of expressions like this, we would be very interested to know if they can participate in raising constructions. Please try to insert them in the paradigms in above, such as "All hell seemed to break lose," and "all hell started to break lose," "The cat seems to be out of the bag", etc. Do they retain their idiomatic meaning, or has it changed in some way?

#### Part IV.B Passive raising.

Please provide literal translations and grammaticality judgments. As above, please consider whether choice of complementizer is significant and indicate this where relevant.

1. It is known that Mary likes coffee.

Jamoe búox Mari namange ekkafe.

Jam-o-e búox Mari na-mang-e e-kkafe.

hear-RFM-PFV COMP Mary SM-like-PFV CL-coffee

2. Mary is known [likes coffee].

\*Mari najamoe namange ekkafe.

Mari na-jam-o-e na-mang-e e-kkafe.

Mary sm-hear-RFM-PFV SM-like-PFV CL-coffee

3. Mary is known that [likes coffee].

\*Mari najamoe búox mange ekkafe.

Mari na-jam-o-e búox mang-e e-kkafe.

Mary SM-hear-RFM-PFV COMP like-PFV CL-coffee

4. Mary is known [to like coffee]

\*Mari najamoe emang ekkafe.

Mari na-jam-o-e e-mang e-kkafe.

Mary SM-hear-RFM-PFV CL-like CL-coffee

5. We know that Mary likes coffee

Jiffase búox Mari namange ekkafe.

Ji-ffas-e búox Mari na-mang-e e-kkafe.

CL-know COMP Mari SM-like-PFV CL-coffee

1. It is known that John will leave.

Jamoe búox Jon pan ekkay.

Jam-o-e búox Jon pan a-kkay.

hear-RFM-PFV COMP John FUT SM-leave

2. John is known [will leave].

\*Jon najamoe pan kay.

Jon na-jam-o-e pan kay.

John SM-hear-RFM-PFV FUT leave

3. John is known [that will leave].

\*Jon najamoe búox pan kay.

Jon na-jam-o-e búox pan kay.

John SM-hear-RFM-PFV COMP FUT leave

4. John is known [to be going to leave].

Jon najamoe búox umu n'ejaw bi ekkay.

Jon na-jam-o-e búox umu n' e-jaw bi  
e-kkay.

John SM-hear-RFM-PFV COMP CL.COP CONJ CL-go COMP CL-leave

5. We know that John will leave.

Jiffase búox jon pan akkay

Ji-ffas-e búox jon pan a-kkay

SM-know-PFV COMP John FUT SM-leave

1. It is believed that the rabbit is hiding in the forest.

Ineniinen búox gamen gagu ugu ni baxa babu ni gukkope.

Inen-i-inen búox ga-men gagu ugu ni  
ba-xa babu

believe-PASS-believe COMP CL-rabbit CL.DEF CL.COP PREP CL-forest CL.DEF

ni gu-kkop-e.

CONJ SM-hide-PFV

2. The rabbit is believed is hiding in the forest.

\*Gamen gagu guineniinen ugu ni baxa babu ni gukkope.

Ga-men gagu gu-inen-i-inen ugu ni  
ba-xa babu

CL-rabbit CL.DEF SM-believe-PASS-believe CL.COP PREP CL-forest CL.DEF

ni gu-kkop-e.

CONJ SM-hide-PFV

3. The rabbit is believed that is hiding in the forest.

Gamen gagu guineniinen búox ugu ni baxa babu ni gukkope.

ba-xa Ga-men gagu gu-ineni-i-nen búox ugu ni  
babu

CL-rabbit CL.DEF SM-believe-PASS-believe COMP CL.COP PREP CL-forest  
CL.DEF

ni gu-kkop-e.

CONJ SM-hide-PFV

3. The rabbit is believed to be hiding in the forest.

\*Gamen gagu guineniinen enu ni baxa babu ni gukkope.

ba-xa Ga-men gagu gu-ineni-i-nen e-ni ni  
babu

CL-rabbit CL.DEF SM-believe-PASS-believe CL-COP PREP CL-forest CL.DEF

ni gu-kkop-e.

CONJ SM-hide-PFV

4. We believe that the rabbit is hiding in the forest.

Jiineninen búox gamen gagu ugu ni baxa babu ni gukkope.

Ji-inen-e                      búox    ga-men    gagu        ugu        ni  
 ba-xa                      babu  
 SM-believe-PFV COMP    CL-rabbit CL.DEF    CL.COP    PREP    CL-forest    CL.DEF  
 ni                      gu-kkop-e.  
 CONJ    SM-hide-PFV

**Part V.                      Objects of passive verbs.**

Are these sentences licit? Please translate and comment. It is possible that your language will not have any overt ‘dummy subject’ like “there” – if it does have a ‘dummy subject’, please include the data and discuss, but if not, are there possible analogous sentences with no overt subject?

Contrary to English, Eegimaa does not have a ‘dummy subject’ like ‘there’, as exemplified in the examples (1-5) and the subject of the sentence always has to be known for concord purposes.

1a. (There) was seen a car here yesterday.

Éotor ejugenijux tale figen  
 É-otor    e-jug-en-i-jux                      tale    figen  
 CL-car    CL-see-PAST-PFV-see LOC    yesterday

b. Mary saw a car here yesterday

Mari najugene éotor tale figen.  
 Mari    na-jug-en-e                      é-otor    tale    figen.  
 Mary SM-see-PAST-PFV CL-car LOC    yesterday

2a. (There) was built a house in the field.

Yang ettepenittep ni ettam yai.

Y-ang e-ttep-en-i-ttep ni e-ttam yai.

CL-house SM-build-PAST-PASS-build PREP CL-field CL.DEF

- b. We built a new house in the field.

Jittepe yang ni ettam yai.

Ji-ttep-e y-ang ni e-ttam yai.

SM-build-PFV CL-house PREP CL-field CL.DEF

- 3a. (There) will be given the students a test tomorrow.

Bu-rokk pan biseni ulekkola wawu gajem.

Bu-rokk pan bi-sen-i u-lekkol-a wawu gajem

CL-work FUT CL-give-PASS CL-school-AGT CL.DEF tomorrow

OR

Gajem Burokk pan biseni ulekkola wawu.

Gajem Bu-rokk pan bi-sen-i u-lekkol-a wawu

tomorrow CL-work FUT CL-give-pass CL-school-AGT CL.DEF

- b. We will give the students a test tomorrow.

Gajem pan jisen ulekkola wawu burokk.

Gajem pan ji-sen u-lekkol-a wawu bu-rokk.

tomorrow FUT SM-give CL-school-AGT CL.DEF CL-work

4a. (There) fell a tree.

Bununuxen buloe

Bu-nunuxen bu-lo-e

CL-tree CL-fall-PFV

b. A tree fell

Bununuxen buloe

Bu-nunuxen bu-lo-e

CL-tree CL-fall-PFV

5a. (There) spoke two women at the conference.

Waare gúuba gulobe ni fujoj fafu.

W-aare g-úuba gu-lob-e ni fu-joj fafu.

CL-woman CL-two SM-speak-PFV PREP CL-meet CL.DEF

b. Two women spoke at the conference.

Waare gúuba gulobe ni fujoj fafu.

W-aare g-úuba gu-lob-e ni fu-joj fafu.

CL-woman CL-two SM-speak-PFV PREP CL-meet CL.DEF

## Part VI. Multiple subject agreement

1. The farmers will be harvesting the maize tomorrow.

Uwaña wawu pan gupit suggub sasu gajem.

U-wañ-a                      wawu    pan gu-pit                      su-ggub    sasu  
gajem.

CL-cultivate-AGT CL.DEF    FUT SM-harvest CL-maize    CL.DEF    tomorrow

2. The farmer will be harvesting the maize tomorrow.

Awaña ahu pan apit suggub sasu gajem.

A-wañ-a                      ahu                      pan a-pit                      su-ggub    sasu  
gajem.

CL-cultivate-AGT CL.DEF    FUT SM-harvest CL-maize CL.DEF    tomorrow

3. The bird was singing in the tree yesterday.

Figen juppu jaju ujuen n'eox ni bunuxen babu.

Figen                      ju-ppu    jaju                      uju-en                      n'                      e-ox  
ni                      bu-nunuxen babu.

yesterday CL-bird CL.DEF    CL.COP-PAST    CONJ    CL-sing    PREP    CL-tree  
CL.DEF

4. The birds were singing in the tree yesterday.

Figen muppu mamu umuen muoge ni bunuxwn babu.

Figen                      mu-ppu    mamu umu-en                      n'                      e-ox                      ni  
bu-nuxwn babu.

yesterday    CL-bird    CL.DEF    CL.COP-PAST    CONJ    CL-sing    PREP    CL-tree  
CL.DEF

5. We will have eaten already when you get home.

Au n'ecigul pan uttogoli jيتين jiban.

Au                      n'              e-cig-ul<sup>1</sup>                      pan    u-ttog-oli              ji-tin  
ji-ban.

PRN.2<sup>nd</sup>.SG    CONJ    CL-arrive-DER FUT    SM-find-OM    SM-eat    SM-finish

6. She had been working for 2 days<sup>2</sup>.

O ni barokker botum gunax guuba.

O                      ni              barokker              bu-tum                      gu-nax    g-uuba.

PRN.3<sup>rd</sup>.SG    CONJ    PFV.work    CL-amount    CL-day    CL-two

### Part VII. Looking for Exceptional Case Marking (ECM)/Raising to Object.

Please translate as many of these as possible, even if they are very unacceptable. If your language has a subjunctive, try it in these embedded clauses. If there are complementizer option please give us some representative examples.

- 1a. I want John to leave

?Nimange Jon min ajaw.

Ni-mang-e              Jon    min    a-jaw.

SM-want-PFV    John COMP    SM-go

---

<sup>1</sup> Devoices verbs which indicate some action toward the speaker.

<sup>2</sup> Hours replaced by days.

2a. I want that John to leave.

Nimange min Jon ajaw.

Ni-mang-e min Jon a-jaw.

SM-want-PFV COMP John SM-go

3a. I want that John should leave.

\*Nimange min Jon nawaro ajow.

Ni-mang-e min Jon na-war-o a-jow.

SM-want-PFV COMP John SM-should-RFM SM-go

4a. I want very much John to leave

Nimange fangfang min Jon ajow.

Ni-mang-e fang-fang min Jon a-jow.

SM-want-PFV much-much COMP John SM-go

5b. I want John very much to leave

\*Nimange Jon fangfang min ajow.

Ni-mang-e Jon fang-fang min a-jow.

SM-want-PFV John much-much COMP SM-go

6a. I want very much that John should leave.

Nimange fangfang min Jon ajow.

Ni-mang-e      fang-fang      min      Jon      a-jow.  
SM-want-PFV   much-much COMP   John SM-go

7a. I want John very much (that) should leave.

\*Nimange Jon fangfang min nawaro ajow.

Ni-mang-e      Jon      fang-fang      min      na-war-o      a-jow.  
SM-want-PFV   John much-much COMP   SM-should-RFM   SM-go

8a. I want very much that John to leave

Nimange fangfang min Jon ajow.

Ni-mang-e      fangfang      min      Jon \*e/a-jow.  
SM-want-PFV   much-much COMP   John   CL/SM-go

9a. I want John very much that to leave [where it is understood that John is the leaver]

\*Nimange Jon fangfang min ajow.

Ni-mang-e      Jon      fang-fang      min      a-jow.  
SM-want-PFV   John   much-much COMP   SM-go

10a. I want-him to leave      [OM on matrix verb]

\*Nimangol ajow

Ni-mang-ol      a-jow  
SM-want-OM   SM-go

- Nimange ajow  
 Ni-mang-e a-jow  
 SM-want-PFV SM-go
- 11a. I want-him that to leave [OM on matrix verb]  
 \*Nimangol min ejow  
 Ni-mang-ol min e-jow  
 SM-want-OM COMP CL-go
- 12a. I want-him very much that to leave [OM on matrix verb]  
 \*Nimangol fangfang min ejow.  
 Ni-mang-ol fang-fang min e-jow.  
 SM-want-OM much-much COMP CL-go
- 13a. I want-him very much that should leave. [OM on matrix verb, subordinate verb  
 SM=him]  
 \*Nimangol fangfang min nawaro ajow  
 Ni-mang-ol fang-fang min na-war-o a-jow  
 SM-want-OM much-much COMP SM-should-RFM CL-go
- 14a. I want him that he should leave  
 \*Nimangol min nawaro ajow  
 Ni-mang-ol min na-war-o a-jow  
 SM-want-OM COMP SM-should-RFM CL-go

15. John wants to leave

Jon namange ekkay.

Jon na-mang-e e-kkay.

Jonh SM-want-PFV CL-leave

1b. I saw John to leave

\*Nijuge Jon ejow.

Ni-jug-e Jon e-jow.

SM-see-PFV John CL-leave

Nijuge Jon n'ejow.

Ni-jug-e Jon n' e-jow.

SM-see-PFV John CONJ CL-leave

2b. I saw that John to leave.

\*Nijuge min Jon n'ejow.

Ni-jug-e min Jon n' e-jow.

SM-see-PFV COMP John CONJ CL-leave

3b. I saw that John would leave.

- Nijuge búox Jon pan ajaw.  
 Ni-jug-e búox Jon pan a-jaw.  
 SM-see-PFV COMP John FUT CL-leave
- 4b. I saw clearly John to leave  
 \*Nijuge nganno Jon ejow.  
 Ni-jug-e nganno Jon e-jow.  
 SM-see-PFV clear John CL-leave
- 5b. I saw John clearly to leave  
 ??Nijuge Jon nganno n'ejow.  
 Ni-jug-e Jon nganno n' e-jow.  
 SM-see-PFV John clear CONJ CL-leave
- 6b. I saw clearly that John would leave.  
 Nijuge nganno búox Jon pan ajaw.  
 Ni-jug-e nganno búox Jon pan a-jow.  
 SM-see-PFV clear COMP John FUT CL-leave
- 7b. I saw John clearly (that) would leave.  
 Nijuge Jon nganno búox pan ajaw.  
 Ni-jug-e Jon nganno búox pan a-jow.  
 SM-see-PFV John clear COMP FUT CL-leave
- 8b. I saw clearly that John to leave

?Nijuge nganno búox Jon ejow.

Ni-jug-e nganno búox Jon e-jow.

SM-see-PFV clear COMP John CL-leave

9b. I saw John clearly that to leave [where it is understood that John is the leaver]

\*Nijuge Jon nganno búox e-jow.

Ni-jug-e Jon nganno búox e-jow.

SM-see-PFV John clear COMP CL-leave

10b. I saw-him to leave [OM on matrix verb]

\*Nijugol ejow.

Ni-jug-ol e-jow.

SM-see-OM CL-leave

11b. I saw-him that to leave [OM on matrix verb]

\*Nijugol búox ejow.

Ni-jug-ol búox e-jow.

SM-see-OM COMP CL-leave

12b. I saw-him clearly that to leave [OM on matrix verb]

\*Nijugol nganno búox ejow.

Ni-jug-ol nganno búox e-jow.

SM-see-OM clear COMP CL-leave

13b. I saw-him clearly that would leave. [OM on matrix verb, subordinate verb SM=him]

Nijugol nganno búox pan ajow.

Ni-jug-ol nganno búox pan a-jow.

SM-see-OM clear COMP FUT SM-leave

14b. I saw him that he would leave

\*Nijugol búox pan ajow.

Ni-jug-ol búox pan a-jow.

SM-see-OM COMP FUT SM-leave

### Part IIX. Wh-agreement in source clause of subject raising.

Consider first the form of subject agreement on the verb in 1 versus 2 below, and 3 versus 4.

1. John cooked the chicken.

Jon nássile exulol yai.

Jon ná-ssil-e e-xulol yai.

John SM-cook-PFV CL-chicken CL.DEF

2. Who cooked the chicken?

Ai ássile exulol yai.

Ai á-ssil-e e-xulol yai.

CL.who SM-cook-PFV CL-chicken CL.DEF

3. The student read the book.

Ulekkola wawu gujangae éllibur yai.

U-lekkol-a wawu gu-janga-e é-llibur yai.

CL-school-AGT CL.DEF SM-read-PFV CL-book CL.DEF

4. Who read the book?

Bugay gujangae éllibur yai.

Bug-ay gu-janga-e é-llibur yai.

CL-who SM-read-PFV CL-book CL.DEF

Is there any special subject agreement form that appears in 2 and 4 that does not appear in 1 and 3? If not, move on to the next section.

Note that in (1), the subject agreement marker is **ná-** whereas in (2), it is **á-**. In the singular forms, declarative and interrogative sentences have different subject agreement markers. The interrogative takes the subject markers **i-**, **u-**, and **a-** for first, second and third person singular, respectively, whereas the declarative respectively takes **ni-**, **nu-**, and **na-** for first, second and third person singular. Note that vowel harmony is marked by an acute accent on the vowel.

If there is a special form of subject agreement that appears in the ‘who’ questions above, please consider these additional questions. Recall the questions from section VI.A 2 and 3 above:

IV. A. 2. Mary seems [fell here].

\*Mari nanogore na-lo me tale.

Mari na-nogor-e na-lo me tale.

Mary SM-resemble-PFV SM-fall DEP here

IV.A.3. Mary seems [that fell here].

\*Mari nanogore ti nalo me tale.

Mari na-nogor-e ti na-lo me tale.

Mary SM-resemble-PFV COMP SM-fall DEP here

Is it possible to form the sentences which you gave for the examples listed above but using on ‘fell’ the special agreement forms that appear on the verbs ‘cooked’ and ‘read’ in your translations of *Who cooked the chicken* and *Who read the book*? If so, please translate the examples above using this special agreement form, and please also provide translations of (5) and (6).

5. Who seems fell here?

\*Ai anogore nalo me tale.

Ai a-nogor-e na-lo me tale.

CL.who SM-resemble-PFV SM-fall DEP here

6. Who seems that fell here?

\*Ai anogore ti nalo me tale.

Ai a-nogor-e ti na-lo me tale.

CL.who SM-resemble-PFV COMP SM-fall DEP here

If there is special agreement for (2) ‘Who cooked the chicken’ and (4) ‘Who read the book’ of this section, then please translate the following sentences.

7. Who was arrested?

Ai ajogi'?

Ai a-jog-i'?

CL.who SM-arrest-PAS

Ai gujoge?

Ai gu-jog-e?

CL.who SM-arrest-PFV

8. Who did the police arrest?

Ai epolis yai gujoge?

Ai e-polis yai gu-jog-e?

CL.who CL-police CL.DEF SM-arrest-PFV

9. Who did you see leaving?

Ai nujuge n' ekkay.

Ai nu-jug-e n' e-kkay.

CL.who SM-see-PFV CONJ CL-leave

10. Who made the children eat fish?

Ai akkane u-ññil wawu n' gutiñ suol?

Ai a-kkan-e u-ññil wawu n' gu-tiñ  
su-ol?

CL.who SM-make-PFV CL-child CL.DEF CONJ SM-eat CL-fish

11. Which children did John make eat fish?

Aññil ai o Jon akkane min atĩñ suol?

min A-ññil ai o Jon a-kkan-e  
a-tiñ su-ol?

CL-child CL.which CL.PRN.3<sup>rd</sup>.SG John SM-make-PFV COMP SM-eat  
CL-fish

## Part XI. Super-raising.

### A. Subject of deeply embedded clause.

Please translate the sentences in (1) and (2) below. If you had to use a different verb for section IVA in place of *seem*, then use that verb here. Additional question: If you can say a sentence like (2), can you use this in a context where you are not actually looking at the teacher, for example, if you have deduced from what the students say that they know the teacher is sick? Or is it only appropriate if you are actually looking at the teacher and observing his remarks and behavior?

1. It seems like the students know that the teacher is sick.

Nogore ti ulekkola wawu ni guffas me búox ámuse ahu násomusomut.

me Nogor-e ti u-lekkol-a wawu ni gu-ffas

resemble-PFV COMP CL-school-AGT CL.DEF CONJ SM-know DEP

búox á-muse ahu ná-somu-somut.

COMP CL-teacher CL.DEF CL-sick-sick

2. The teacher seems like the students know he is sick.

\*Ámuse ahu nanogore to ulekkola wawu ni guffas me búox násomusomut

wawu Á-muse ahu na-nogor-e ti u-lekkol-a

CL-teacher CL.DEF SM- resemble-PFV COM CL-school-AGT CL.DEF

ni gu-ffas me búox ná-somu-somut

CONJ SM-know DEP COMP CL-sick-sick

Additional question, like above: If you can say a sentence such as (4), can you use this in all the same contexts as (3)? Could you use it where you are simply reporting what you have gathered from or about the husband? Or is it only appropriate in reaction to the woman or evidence of her behavior?

3. It seems like her husband wants the woman to leave.

??Nogore ti áinol nah' amnag me aare ahu apur.

Nogor-e ti áin-ol nah' a-mang me  
aare ahu á-pur.

resemble-PFV COMP CL.husband-POSS HAB SM-want DEP CL-woman CL.DEF  
SM-leave

4. The woman seems like her husband wants her to go.

?Aare ahu nanogore ti áinol nah'amang me ápur.

Aare ahu na-nogor-e

CL.woman CL.DEF SM-resemble-PFV

ti áin-ol nah' a-mang me á-pur.

COMP CL-husband-POSS HAB SM-want DEP SM-leave

## B. Object super-raising

Please translate (1) (with and without an object marker. Indicate whether or not each

result is acceptable.

1. The food seems that Mary cooked (it).

Sinnang sasu sunogore ti nihi Mari ássil so me.

Si-nnang sasú su-nogor-e

CL-cooked.rice CL.DEF CL-resemble-PFV

ti nihi Mari á-ssil s-o me.

COMP HAB Mary SM-cook CL-PRN.3<sup>rd</sup>.SM DEP

If you have judged (1) to be acceptable, please consider what would be a felicitous context for this utterance. For the situations described in A-C below, answer for each one (Yes or No) whether or not (1) could be uttered in that context.

- A. You taste the food and recognize her favorite ingredient. **YES**
- B. You see Mary in an apron and deduce from this that she did the cooking. **NO**
- C. Mary is an incredibly messy cook, and from the state of the kitchen you can't imagine anyone else being responsible. **YES if only if the food itself or its container also bears the messy signature known to Mary.**

**Part XII. DP/CP Contrasts.** Are DPs licit in the same range of positions as CPs? Please translate and provide judgments. Does it make a difference what complementizer you use in the CPs?

1. The people believed that John left.

Ésux yai gúinene búox Jon nakkaye.

É-sux yai gú-inen-e búox Jon na-kkay-e.

CL-crow CL.DEF SM-believe-PFV COMP John SM-leave-PFV

2. The people believed John's story.

Ésux yai gúinene elob Jon.

É-sux yai gú-inen-e e-lob Jon.

CL-crow CL.DEF SM-believe-PFV CL-story John

3. It is believed that John left.

Íneniinen búox Jon nakkaye.

Ínen-i'-inen búox Jon na-kkay-e.

believe-PAS-believe COMP John SM-leave-PFV

4. It is believed John's story.

\*Íneniinen elob Jon.

Ínen-i'-inen e-lob Jon.

believe-PAS-believe CL-story John

5. John's story was believed (by the people).

Elob Jon éineni'inen.

E-lob Jon é-inen-i'-inen.

CL-story John CL-believe-PAS-believe

6. That John left was believed (by the people).

Búox Jon nakkaye íneniinen.

Búox Jon na-kkay-e ínen-i'-inen.

COMP John SM-leave-PFV believe-PAS-believe

7. John ate the banana.

Jon na-tiñ-e bannana babu

Jon na-tiñ-e ba-nnana babu

John SM-eat-PFV CL-banana CL.DEF

8. The banana was eaten.

Bannana babu bitíñi'tin.

Ba-nnana babu bi-tiñ-i'-tin.

CL-banana CL.DEF CL-eat-PAS-eat

9. (there) was eaten a banana. (with or without overt 'dummy subject')

\*Tiñitin bannana.

Tiñ-i'-tin ba-nnana

eat-PAS-eat CL-banana

10. John said that the farmers harvested the maize

Jon naage uwaña wawu gupile suggub sasú.

Jon na-ag-e u-wañ-a wawu gu-pil-e  
su-ggub sasú.

John SM-say-PFV CL-cultivate-AGT CL.DEF SM-harvest CL-maize CL.DEF

11. That the farmers harvested the maize was said.

Búox uwaña wawu gupile suggub sasu lobi'lob.

Búox u-wañ-a wawu gu-pil-e su-ggub sasu  
lob-i'-lob.

COMP CL-cultivate-AGT CL.DEF SM-harvest CL-maize CL.DEF say-PAS-say

12. John told Mary that the farmers harvested the maize yesterday.

Jon naage Mari uwaña wawu gupile suggub sasu figen.

Jon na-ag-e Mari u-wañ-a wawu gu-pil-e  
su-ggub sasu.

John SM-say-PFV Mary CL-cultivate-AGT CL.DEF SM-harvest CL-maize  
CL.DEF

figen

yesterday

13. Mary was told that the farmers harvested the maize.

Mari nalobi'lob búox uwaña wawu gupile suggub sasu.

Mari na-lob-i'-lob búox u-wañ-a wawu gu-pil-e  
su-ggub sasu.

Mary SM-say-PAS-say COMP CL-cultivate-AGT CL.DEF SM-harvest CL-maize  
CL.DEF

14. That the farmers harvested the maize was told Mary.

Búox uwaña wawu gupile suggub sasu lobilob Mari.

Búox u-wañ-a wawu gu-pil-e su-ggub sasu  
 lob-i'-lob Mari.  
 COMP CL-cultivate-AGT CL.DEF SM-harvest CL-maize CL.DEF say-PAS-say  
 Mary

15. That Lewis left seems.

\*Búox Lewis nakkaye nogor-e.

Búox Lewis na-kkay-e nogor-e.

COMP Lewis SM-leave-PFV resemble-PFV

16. It seems that Lewis left.

Nogore ti nihi Lewis akkay me.

Nogor-e ti nihi Lewis a-kkay me.

resemble-PFV hab Lewis SM-leave DEP

17. Lewis seems that (he) left.

?Lewis nanogore ti nah' akkay me.

Lewis na-nogor-e ti nah' a-kkay me.

Lewis SM-resemble-PFV COMP HAB SM-leave DEP

18. That Lewis left is possible

Búox Lewis nakaye juju kan.

Búox Lewis na-kay-e ju-ju kan.

COMP Lewis SM-leave-PFV able-able MOD

19. It is possible that Lewis left

Juju kan búox Lewis nakkaye.

Ju-ju kan búox Lewis na-kkay-e.

able-able MOD COMP Lewis SM-leave-PFV

20. The people discovered/found out that Lewis left

Ésux yai gujuge búox Lewis nakaye.

É-sux yai gu-jug-e búox Lewis na-kay-e.

CL-people CL.DEF SM-see-PFV COMP Lewis SM-leave-PFV

21. The people discovered/found out the truth

Ésux yai gujuge maagen mamu

É-sux yai gu-jug-e ma-agen mamu

CL-people CL.DEF SM-see-PFV CL-truth CL.DEF

22. It was discovered/found out the truth

Maagen mamu mujugijux

Ma-agen mamu mu-jug-i-jux.

CL-truth CL.DEF CL-see-PASS-see

23. That Lewis left was discovered/found out.

?Búox lewis nakaye jugijux.

Búox lewis na-kay-e jug-i-jux.

COMP Lewis SM-leave-PFV see-PASS-see

### Part XIII. Inversion Constructions.

**A. Locative Inversion.** In addition to the canonical neutral word orders that would translate to the (a) examples, are the (b) examples well-formed? Please translate and evaluate.

- 1a. A tree fell in the forest.

Bununuxen buloe ni baxa babu.

Bu-nunuxen bu-lo-e ni ba-xa babu.

CL-tree CL-fall-PFV PREP CL-forest CL.DEF

- b. In the forest fell a tree.

\*Ni baxa babu buloe bununuxen.

Ni ba-xa babu bu-lo-e bu-nunuxen.

PREP CL-forest CL.DEF CL-fall-PFV CL-tree

2a. A child jumped into the pond<sup>3</sup>

Aññil ná-ñagge alo ni busun babu

A-ññil ná-ñagg-e a-lo ni bu-sun babu

CL-child SM-jump-PFV SM-fall PREP CL-pond CL.DEF

b. Into the pond jumped a child.

\*Ni busun babu ná-ñagge alo aññil

Ni bu-sun babu ná-ñagge a-lo a-ññil

PREP CL-pond CL.DEF SM-jump-PFV SM-fall CL-child

3a. A bird sang on the tree.

Ju-ppu ju-og-e ni bu-nunuxen babu

Ju-ppu ju-og-e ni bu-nunuxen babu

CL-bird CL-sing-PFV PREP CL-tree CL.DEF

b. On the tree sang a bird.

\*Ni bununuxen babu juoge juppu

Ni bu-nunuxen babu ju-og-e ju-ppu

PREP CL-tree CL.DEF CL-sing-PFV CL-bird

4a. Elephants trampled the rice<sup>4</sup> in the ricefield<sup>5</sup>.

---

<sup>3</sup> 'field' replaced by pond

<sup>4</sup> 'crops' replace by 'rice'

Síññix sasu súllufe emmano yai ni ñixind ñañu.

Sí-ññix            sasu            sú-lluf-e            e-mmano yai            ni  
ñi-xind            ñañu.  
CL-elephant   CL.DEF   CL-tramp-PFV   CL-rice            CL.DEF   PREP   CL-ricefield  
CL.DEF

b. In the ricefield trampled elephants the rice (perhaps as opposed to COWS trampling them).

\*Ni ñixind ñañu súllufe Sí-ññix sasu emmano yai.

Ni            ñi-xind            ñañu            sú-lluf-e            Sí-ññix            sasu  
e-mmano yai.  
PREP   CL-ricefield   CL.DEF   CL-tramp-PFV   CL-elephant   CL.DEF   CL-rice  
CL.DEF

5. There taught the teacher English

\*Baaba nalligene ámuse ahu Angale.

Baaba na-lligen-e            á-muse            ahu            Angale.  
LOC            SM-teach-PFV   CL-teacher   CL.DEF   English

6. There taught English the teacher.

\*Baaba nalligene Angale ámuse ahu.

Baaba na-lligen-e            Angale            á-muse            ahu.  
LOC            SM-TEACH-PFV   English   CL-teacher   CL.DEF

---

<sup>5</sup> 'field' replaced by 'ricefield'

7. There taught the students the teacher.

\*Baaba nalligene ulekkola wawu ámuse ahu.

Baaba na-lligen-e                      u-lekkol-a                      wawu      á-muse                      ahu.

LOC              SM-TEACH-PFV      CL-student-AGT      CL.DEF      CL-teacher      CL.DEF

8. There taught the teacher the students.

\*Baaba nalligene ámuse ahu ulekkola wawu.

Baaba na-lligen-e                      á-muse                      ahu                      u-lekkol-a  
wawu.

LOC              SM-TEACH-PFV      CL-teacher      CL.DEF      CL-student-AGT      CL.DEF

9. There taught the teacher the children English.

\*Baaba nalligene ámuse ahu uññil wawu Angale.

Baaba na-lligen-e                      á-muse                      ahu                      u-ññil                      wawu  
Angale.

LOC              SM-teach-PFV      CL-teacher      CL.DEF      CL-child      CL.DEF      English

10. The child is eating with a spoon.

Áññil ahu umu ni fitiñ ni gaser.

Á-ññil      ahu                      umu                      ni                      fi-tiñ                      ni                      ga-ser.

CL-child      CL.DEF      CL.COP      CONJ      CL-eat      PREP      CL-spoon

11. The spoon is eating the child.

\*Gaser gagu uma ni fitiñ aññil ahu.

Ga-ser        gagu        uma        ni        fi-tiñ        a-ññil        ahu.

CL-spoon   CL.DEF   CL.COP   CONJ   CL-eat   CL-child   CL.DEF

(Try 9-11 with and without an applicative morpheme). N/A

(For all of these inversions, can the verb subject-agree with the fronted locative or expletive? Can it subject-agree with the postverbal logical subject?)

As can be seen, all the inversions yield ungrammaticality. There seems to be a fix order in which the subject and the verb have to occur.

**B. Subject-Object Inversion (OVS).** Does your language permit the word orders in the (b) sentences? Please translate the questions below and give judgments on them.

1.a. Children drank the milk.

Uññil gureme mí mamu.

U-ññil        gu-rem-e        mí-i        mamu.

CL-child   SM-drink-PFV   CL-milk   CL.DEF

b. The milk drank **the children** (not the parents).

\*Mí mamu gureme uññil wawu.

Mí-i        mamu        gu-rem-e        u-ññil        wawu.

CL-milk   CL.DEF   SM-drink-PFV   CL-child   CL.DEF

2.a. John read the books.

Jon najangae síllibur sasu.

Jon        na-janga-e        sí-llibur        sasu.

John   SM-read-PFV   CL-book   CL.DEF

b. The books read **John** (not Peter).

\*Síllibur sasú najangae Jon.

Sí-llibur sasú na-janga-e Jon.

CL-book CL.DEF SM-read-PFV John

3.a. The children read John the books, (not Peter; i.e. it was John who read the children books, not Peter)

\*Uññil wawu najangae Jon síllibur sasú.

U-ññil wawu na-janga-e Jon sí-llibur sasú.

CL-child CL.DEF SM-read-PFV John CL-book CL.DEF

b. The children read the books John (not Peter; as above)

\*Uññil wawu najangae síllibur sasú Jon.

U-ññil wawu na-janga-e sí-llibur sasú Jon.

CL-child CL.DEF SM-read-PFV CL-book CL.DEF John

c. The books read John the children (not Peter) i.e. It was John who read the children books, not Peter.

\*Síllibur sasú najangae Jon uññil wawu.

Sí-llibur sasú na-janga-e Jon u-ññil wawu.

CL-book CL.DEF SM-read-PFV John CL-child CL.DEF

d. The books read the children John, (not Peter; as above).

\*Síllibur sasú najangae uññil wawu Jon.

Sí-llibur sasú na-janga-e u-ññil wawu Jon .

CL-book CL.DEF SM-read-PFV CL-child CL.DEF John