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## PART 2 An inventory of reflexive and reciprocal strategies

### 2.1 Coreference in a single clause

### 2.1.1 Primary reflexive strategy

A- Pronoun-méfwó strategy
A1ai) Jean nămà d't́g nàméfwó

Jean SM-PST2 see PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
"John saw himself."
Comment: With the verb 'to see' held constant in (A1), one can only have the unique reflexive reading as illustrate above.

### 2.1.2 Different reflexive strategy

B- Pronoun-mé strategy
A1aii) Jean nămà d't́g nàmé
Jean nà-ámà dṭg nà-mé
Jean SM-PST2 see PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
"John saw himself."
Comment: There is another slightly different possibility in to express (A1) with the verb 'see' held constant. This strategy consists of attaching the pronoun to the altered form of the REFL whereby the particle 'fwó' is left out. It is worth mentioning that truncating the REFL does not affect in any way the meaning of the sentence.
2.1.3 In Makaa, some verbs of grooming such as 'wash' and 'shave' can either use the 'Pronounméfwó' or the 'Pronoun-mé' strategiesto mark coreference or use a third strategy viz: 'ObjectNull strategy'.

```
* Pronoun-méfwó strategy
```

A2ai) Jean $\eta$ gà gùsà nàméfwó
Jean ŋgà gùsà nà-méfwó
Jean PROG wash PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
John washes himself OR John is washing himself
Comment: Sentence (A2ai) can have a double interpretation in Makaa. It can be understood as:

1) John washes himself or 2) John washes himself and he is alone (with no one around him). Only the context permits to render the exact meaning.

> * Pronoun-mé strategy

A2aii) Jean $\eta$ gà gùsà nàmé
Jean ŋgà gùsà nว̀-mé
Jean PROG wash PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
John washes himself OR John is washing himself.
C- Object-Null strategy
A2aiii) Jean ŋgà gùsà
Jean ngà gùsà
Jean PROG wash.REFL
John washes himself OR John is bathing.

D- Body reflexive strategy (always with the noun fûl 'body')
A2aiv) Jean ŋgà gùsà nûl
Jean ŋgà gùsà nûl
Jean PROG wash body.REFL
John washes himself.
Comment: To avoid ambiguity of (A2ai), the speakers mostly use sentence (A2aiv) whereby nûl semantically refers to Jean. For data entry: make sure any sentence references match IDs in the database once these sentences are entered. I connected this one.
b) Marie má bâw

Marie má bâw
Marie PST1cut
Mary has cut herself. [accidentally]
c) Jean ŋgà gwág fwôn

Jean ทgà gwág fwôn
Jean PROG hear shame
John is ashamed of himself.
d) Jean má gú nûl

Jean má gú nûl
Jean PST1 kill body.REFL
John has destroyed himself.
KS: Does this mean committed suicide? Supposed what he destroyed was understood to be his political career or reputation - would you use ṅ̀-méfwó?
NI: No it does not mean committed suicide. gú nûl has to do with acts one commits and that affect his well being, his career...Yes, it can be replaced by ǹ̀-méfwó. To express the meaning commited suicide, the sentence has to be written as below. nд̀-méfwó renders the sentence odd. $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) Jean má gwîljà nûl

Jean má gwîl-jà nûl
Jean PST1 kill-RFM body.REFL
John has killed himself.
To express the meaning commited suicide, the sentence has to be written as in $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$. nえ̀-méfwó renders the sentence odd.
e) Sám ftm sáméfwó

Sâ-m ${ }^{1} f+m$ sâ-méfwó
we-COP hate PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.pl-REFL
We hate ourselves.
f) Bwá ŋgà fààg bwáméfwó

Bwá hgà fààg bwá-méfwó
they PROG praise PRN.3rd.pl-REFL
They are praising themselves
2.1.4 Obliques and other argument types

E- Verb-jà strategy

## A3ai)Jean nǎmà síjà filú

Jean nà-ámà sà-jà jilú
Jean SM-PST2 do-RFM.CAUS hair
John got his hair styled OR John caused someone to style his hair.
Comment: In general, the morpheme -al suffixed to the root of a verb marks causative, see A3aii. However, in A3ai, the causative meaning is expressed by the inherent meaning of the verb phrase síjà filú 'to cause someone style one's hair'.
aii) Jean má gwíljà
Jean má gû-al-jà
Jean PST1 die-CAUS-RFM
John has killed himself or caused himself to die
Comment: It can be noticed in A3ai and aii that the suffixation of the RFM jà to the verb triggers some changes such as the raising or shifting of the verb root vowel and/or the labio-velarization of the verb onset (see A3aii).

Not for database entry
Comment: KS: It is apparent that there is at least a lot of morphological overlap with jà where it contributes a reciprocal interpretation. In the latter case, it would normally be glossed RCM, but if it is indeed the same affix that is interpreted as either reciprocal or reflexive according to context (or something in between - for another time), then we may want it to have the same gloss everywhere. Until it is clear what is best, I will let it stand as RFM or RCM according to

[^0]your glosses, but probably that will change eventually.
NI: The marker jà in A3aii, really can't be glossed RCM. To have the reciprocal interpretation with the verb gû 'to kill' held constant, the verb has to occur in a different form, e.g:bwà má gújà and not gwiljà 'They killed each other'. An explanation I have for this is that Makaa counts several 'homomorphs', they are written alike but their meaning differs in context. Reason why the Verb-jà strategy is listed both under Reflexive and reciprocal constructions. Another observation made is that, it is the inherent meaning of a given verb that determines the meaning of the morpheme -jà appended to it. In other words, the morph -jàappended to a given verb will have a reflexive or reciprocal reading depending on whether or not the verb to which it is attached accepts a reflexive or reciprocal interpretation.

F- Noun (Object) + Possessive strategy
Comment: This is a pronominal strategy taking into consideration the fact that 'his' as in 'his car' is considered a possessive pronoun in English whereas in French it is a 'a possessive adjective'. It should be treated differently from other pronominal strategies in Makaa since it involves a different class of pronoun.
b) Jean ${ }_{i}$ nămà tên tfig dzjéi $i$

Jean nд̀-ámà tên tíg dzjj-દ́
Jean SM-PST2 tell c7.life c7-POSS. $3^{\text {rd }} . \mathrm{sg}$
John spoke about his life. (subject/PP argument)
Comment: In A3b, the c7-POSS. ${ }^{\text {rd }}$. .sgdzjéis coreferent with Jean. The c7 agrees with the head noun and 3rd.sg with 'Jean'?. Both forms change depending on the head noun nominal class and the person of the subject (See 2.2.2.11
c) Jean $i_{i}$ nămà tên Marie $_{i}$ tig dzjéci

Jean nà-ámà tên Marie tfig dzjj-દ́
Jean SM-PST2 narrate Marie c7.life c7-Poss. $3^{\text {rd }} . \mathrm{sg}$
John told Marie about himself. (same, with intervening NP)
Comment: In A3c, the c7-POSS.3rd.sgdzjécan be coreferent eitherwith Jean or with Marie. The sentence is ambiguous and can mean that 'John told Mary about himself' or that 'John narrated Mary's life story to a third party'. This construction needs to be in context for the ambiguity to be neutralized.
di) Bill nămà tên sâi tfig dzísúi

Bill nà-ámà tên sâ tîg dzí-sú
Bill SM-PST2 narrate PRN.1P1.EXCL c7.life c7-POSS.1Pl.EXCL
Bill told us about ourselves. (object/argument)
Comment: In A3di, the c7-POSS.1Pl.EXCL dzísú is coreferent with sâ Bill excluded.
dii) Bill $_{i}$ nămà tên Jjée $_{i}$ tig í-fjé ${ }_{i}$

Bill nà-ámà tên fjé tig í-Jjé
Bill SM-PST2 narrate PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.pl.INCL c7.life c7-POSS. $1^{\text {st }}$. pl.INCL
Bill told us about ourselves. (object/argument)
Comment: In A3dii, the c7-POSS.1st.pl.INCL i-fjé is coreferent with fjé, the inclusive reading can include Bill.
e) Maria nǎmà jà bwân ${ }_{i}$ bwáméfwó ${ }_{i}$

Maria nд̀-ámà jà bwân bwว̀-méfwó
Mary SM-PST2 give children PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.pl-REFL
Mary gave the children to themselves. (ind.object/object)
KS: Is this example OK with bwд̀-mé? NI: Yes it is.

Pronoun-dí strategy - A subcase of the independent pronoun strategy
Comment: Sentence A3f shows the use of a personal pronoun associated with the locative markerdito obtain a prominent pronoun that is coconstrued with the subject of the sentence. In the sentence 'Wǎ̌à ditg Jean? Mbô, mà ngà kà nádí nádí 'Did you see Jean? I am going to his place', nádí refers to Jean. Yes in (f), nádí could refer to someone else in the discourse, e.g: Jean nǎ̌mà $t / i ̀ ~ n a ́ ~ M a r i a ~ n a ̌ m a ̀ ~ d t ̣ g ~ k a ́ l a ̀ d ~ n a ́ d i ́ ~ m p t ́ s \grave{̀ . ' J o h n ~ s a i d ~ t h a t ~ M a r i e ~ s a w ~ a ~ b o o k ~ b e h i n d ~}$ him/her'.nádí in the last sentence refers either to John or Marie depending on the speakers intention.
f) Maria nămà dt̛́g kálàd nádí mpt́sà

Maria nà-ámà dt́g kálàd nà-dí mpt́sà
Mary SM-PST2 see book PRN. ${ }^{\text {rd }}$.sg-LOC behind
Mary saw a book behind her (or behind s.o. else if mentioned earlier). (subject/locative)

Preposition fúl (for) + Pronoun - A subcase of the independent pronoun strategy.
Comment: $d \bar{\varepsilon}$ in (gi) refers solely to John. But in a discourse where someone else was mentioned as a potential benefactive, it could also refer to him. In this special example it is bound locally. (see 2.2.2.10 for complete paradigm).
gi) Jean nǎmà kùsà kálàd fúl dé
Jean ṅ̀-ámà kùsà kálàd fúl dé
Jean SM-PST2 buy book for PRN.PREP.OBJ.3rd.sg. John bought a book for himself. (benefactive)
gii) Jean nămà kùsà kálàd fúl nàméfwó
Jean nà-ámà kùsà kálàd fúl nà-méfwó
Jean SM-PST2 buy book for PRN.3rd.sg-REFL John bought a book for himself. (benefactive)
giii) Jean nămà kùsà kálàd Júl dé nàmé
Jean nゝ̀-ámà kùsà kálàd fúl dé nà-mé
Jean SM-PST2 buy book for PRN.PREP.OBJ. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$. sg-REFL
John bought a book for himself only. (benefactive)
h) Jean nămà gwág mpìmbà nà nć.

Jean nà-ámàgwág mpìmbà nà né
Jean SM-PST2 hear angriness with PRN.3sg
John got angry with her/him.

A4a) Etta má tjèl nàméfwó
Etta má tjè̀l nà-méfwó
Etta COP like PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
Etta likes herself.
bi) Etta má sàgjà
Etta má sàgjà
Etta PST1 scare oneself
Etta has scared herself.
KS: Might the verb be actually sàg-jà? In which case the gloss would be scare-RFM.
 the fossilized verbal root sàg- to form the monomorphemic verbal root sàgjà which indirectly expressesreflexivity. The infinitive form is sàgjălà 'to scare oneself'.
bii) Etta má gwág ífwàs
Etta má gwág ifwàs
Etta PST1listen/hearC8-fear
Etta has scared herself.
biii) Etta má bìi ífwàs
Etta má biiì-fwàs
Etta PST1 holdC8-fear
Etta has scared herself.
For data entry, make sure the numbers for bi-iii refer to each other by ID in the database
c) Maria ngà ntágłłlà

Maria ngà ntágł̀là-jà
Mary PROG worry-RFM
Etta worries herself.

### 2.1.5 Person and number

Makaa does not use strategies different from those I have listed in the preceding sections, which depend on person and number.

A5a) Màámà dt́g máméfwó
Mà-ámà d'tg mà-méfwó
PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg-PST2s ee PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg-REFL
I saw myself.
b) Wàámà bêw

Wว̀-ámà bêw
PRN.2nd.sg-PST2 cut
You cut yourself [accidentally].
c) Jwéé kà gùsà
fwâ-é kà gùsà
PRN.1st.Pl.dual-FUT1 go bath
We will go bathe ourselves.
d) Kwíndjágá bíméfwó

Kwind-já-gá bî-méfwó
Help.someone-RCM-IMP. $2^{\text {nd }}$.pl PRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$.pl-REFL
Help each other!
COMMENT: In Makaa, there is a difference between the verbs kwid 'to help'and kwind 'to help someone'. In (A5d) -já is not the RFM but the RCM. As I earlier stated, depending on the inherent meaning of the verb, -ja can be either be RFM or RCM. In Makaa, -gá marks imperative plural only.
KS: If -já is reciprocal here, why is the translation reflexive? Could this example also mean 'Help each other!' if PRN-REFL were not present? Also, why is the tone on the marker high in this context? I want to be sure this is not the passive morpheme.
NI: I have corrected the gloss, you are right. Without the PRN-REFL, A5d is grammatical and has the same meaning as the verb kwínd is inherently reciprocal. The tone of the marker is high in this context due to the addition of the imperative marker. The same tonal change is noted when the imperative marker is added to low tone stems in Makaa. dà 'eat' $\rightarrow$ dág (IMP.Sg)/dágá (IMP.sg). No it has nothing to do with the passive.

### 2.1.6 Strategies for other clausemate environments

Based on my linguistic skills, I cannot remember that there is any additional reflexive strategy I have not mentioned in the preceding sections. However, for comparative purposes I will just translate the sentences in (A6).

## A6a) Jean má mpú nàméfwó

Jean má mpù nà-méfwó
Jean PST know PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
Peter knows himself.
b) Mital má dí ḑùm nàméfwó

Mital má dí-ḑùm nà-méfwó
Mital PST HAB-criticize/blame PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
Mital habitualy criticizes/blame himself
c) Mital má tjèl dílà fààg náméfwó

| Mital má | tjèl | d't́là fààg | ná-méfwó |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mital PST | like | HAB-INF praise | PRN.3rd.sg-REFL |

Mital usually likes to praise himself.
Comment: The marker má gives me food for thought in Makaa. I have glossed it as PST for now, while waiting for a further thorough analysis of constructions with má.
Comment: là is the infinitive marker used as a derivational morpheme to obtain new verbs from existing verbs. I have preferred to change the verb because semantically, fààg means to praise, and fáágiłl̀ means to praise someone by offering him money.
(b) In the Makaa language, quantificational constructions do not involve any separate strategy
different from the ones discussed earlier before.
A7a) Mwá-mùdùm ĵ̂ऽ nàá ngà d'tg ndzì nàméfwó
Mwân-mùdùm j-દ̂ nà-á ngà dt'g nḑ̧̀ǹ̀-méfwó
Child-man cl-QUANT SM.3rd.sg-PST3 PROG see only PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
Every boy looked at himself.
b) Bùdà bêf bwáámà ḑàw bwáméfwó ndàà Jean dísá jí

Bùdà b-ह̂J bwâ-ámà ḑàw bwà-méfwó ndàà Jean dísàjí
Woman c2-QUANT SM.3rd.Pl-PST2 tell PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.pl-REFL as Jean COP REL All the women described John to themselves.
c) Jígilì jê $\int$ nǎmà Iwójà Bob nûl
jígilì j-Êת nд̀-ámà lwô-jà Bob nûl
teacher c1-QUANT SM.3rd.sg-PST2 show-RFM Bob body.REFL
Every teacher introduced himself to Bob.
KS: Does this example need both jà and nûl to have this meaning successfully? Is this possible with nд̀-méfwó in place of hûl? If it is possible, is there a difference in meaning? NI: Yes, jà and nûl are both needed for the meaning to be successful. Yes it is possible to have nûl replaced by nえ̀-méfwó A 7 c , but with a slight change in the structure of the sentence as in $\mathrm{A} 7 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$. A7ci is uttered in a context where someone failed to introduce teachers to Bob, so each of the teachers introduced himself then to Bob.
ci) Jígilì jê $\int$ nǎmà lwójà nà-méfwó wó Bob
jígilì j-દ̂f nà-ámà Iwô-jà wó Bobлûl
teacher c1-QUANT SM.3rd.sg-PST2show-RFM Prep Bob
Every teacher introduced himself to Bob.
d) Bál bwân bwáámà ngà kwínd nỏ̧ì bwámé

Ból bwân bwâ-ámà ngà kwínd ndzì bwá-mé
some children SM-PST2 PROG help.someone only PRN.3rd.pl-REFL
Some children were helping only themselves.
Comment: The verb kwínd means to 'help someone' so the addition of ndzi bwámé provides the exclusive meaning.
(c) Makaa has no system of grammaticized honorifics.
(d) Examples in A9 reveal no new strategy.

A9a) Sol ngà tii ná Alice má tjj̀l nàmé
Sol ngà tí ná Alice má tjè̀l nò-mé
Sol PROG say that Alice PST1 love PRN.3rd.sg-REFL
Sol says that Alice loves herself.
b）Sol má fílà ná Alice fáágł̀g nàmé
Sol máfilà ná Alicefààg－ìg nà－mé
Sol PST1 ask that Alice praise－HORT PRN．3rd．sg－REFL
Sol have required that Alice praise herself．
c）Sol ngà tádàgà ná Alice má dzàlá nà fààg nàmé
Sol ngà tádàgà ná Alice má dzàlá nàfààg nà－mé
Sol PROG think that Alice PST1 COP PREP praise PRN．3rd．sg－REFL
Sol thinks that Alice should praise herself．
d）Sol námà fílà Alice ná à fáágł̀g nàmé
Sol nı̀－ámà fílà Alice ná à fààg－ìg ṅ̀－mé
Sol SM－PST2 ask Alice that PRN．3rd．sg praise－HORT PRN．3rd．sg－REFL
Sol asked Alice to praise herself．
e）Sol má tjèl fààg nàmé
Sol má tjèl fààgnà－mé
Sol PST1 like praise PRN．3rd．sg－REFL
Sol likes praising herself．
f）Sol ŋgà ⿴囗⿱一一 bwánd ná Alice fáágìg nàmé
Sol ŋgà bwánd náAlice fààg－ìg nà－mé
Sol PROG wait that Alice praise－HORT PRN．3rd．sg－REFL
Sol expects Alice to praise herself．
g）Sol nàámà gwág Alice ŋgá fààg nàmé
Sol nà－ámà gwág Alice ŋgà fààg nà－mé
Sol SM－PST2 hear Alice PROG praise PRN．3rd．sg－REFL
Sol heard Alice praising herself．
Comment：In Makaa there are two pronouns glossed as＇he＇or＇she＇viz：nàandà．nàis the citation form and appears in front of vowel－initial elements while à context of occurrence is restricted to constructions whereby the immediate element that follows the subject position is consonant－ initial．

## 2．2 Ordinary（potentially independent）pronouns

2．2．1 Independent use of pronouns
A10a）Màámà léfà nà Abraham nàkùgú．Nàámà d＇tg Lela．
Mà－ámà lás－jà nà Abraham nàkùgú．nà－ámà dt̛́g Lela．
PRN． $1^{\text {st }}$ ．sg－PST2 talk－RCM with Abraham yesterday．PRN． $3^{\text {rd }}$ ．sg－PST2 seeLela I spoke with Abraham yesterday．He saw Lela．
b）Abraham dzì ngàw？Màámà díg nà jifjê̂mikkùs．
Abrahamdzì ngàw mà－ámà díg nà $\int 1 j j \tilde{\varepsilon}-m i ̀ k u ̀ s . ~$

Abraham COP wherePRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg-PST2 see PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sgyard-sales
Where is Abraham? I saw him in the market.
ci) Sàámà dt́g bî. Jà bjô bân fí dt́g sâ?

Sâ-ámà díg bî. Jà bî-ò bân fí dt̛́gsâ
PRN. $1^{\text {st }} . \mathrm{pl}$-PST2 seePRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$. plINT.PRN PRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$.pl-FOC PRN.DEM. ${ }^{\text {nd }}$.pl EVID see PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.pl
We saw you ( Pl ). Did you $(\mathrm{Pl})$ see us indeed?

Sâ-ámà dt̛́g wà. Jà wò-غ̀ nè fí dt́g sâ
PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$. pl-PST2 see $\quad$ PRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$. .sgINT.PRNPRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$. .sg-PRN.EMPH PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$. .sg EVID ${ }^{2}$ see PRN. $11^{\text {st }}$. .pl
We saw you. Did you see us indeed?

### 2.2.2 Various types of pronouns

### 2.2.2.1 Simple human subject pronouns

| Person | Pronoun |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 Sg | mà |
| 2 Sg | wà |
| 3 Sg | nà/a |
| 1Pl.EXCL | sâ |
| 1Pl.INCL | fé |
| 1Pl.du | fwâ |
| 2Pl. | $b \grave{i}$ |
| 3 Pl | bwâ |

A10ciii)Mà bá léfà nà Abraham mán.
Mà bálás-jà nà Abrahammán.
PRN.1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$.sgFUT2 talk-RCM with Abraham tomorrow
I will speak withAbraham tomorrow.
2.2.2.2 Non-human subject pronouns

| Noun <br> Class | Noun <br> Prefix | Example | Gloss | Pronoun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | mù- | mù-ùd | person | - |
| 1 a | $\emptyset$ - | $\emptyset$-kâm | monkey | í |
|  | $N-$ | $n$-ḑồ | stranger | - |
| 2 | bù- | bù-ùd | people | - |
| 2 a | ò- | ò-kâm | monkeys | - |
|  |  | ò-ḑôn | strangers | - |

[^1]| 3 | L- | L-lâm | heart | í |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | mi- | mì-lâm | hearts | mí |
| 4 s |  | mìjòwdà | breathing | mí |
| 5 | $\varnothing$ - | $\emptyset$-lùùn | hole | í |
| 5a | $d$ - | $d$-ánd | home village | í |
|  | $d_{3}$ | d3-wôw | day | í |
| 6 | mà- | mà-lùùn | holes | má |
| 6a | $m$ - | $m$-ว́nd | home villages | má |
|  |  | m-wôw | days | má |
| 6s |  | mà-ntànd | saliva | má |
| 7 | L- | L-ká | leaf | í |
|  |  | L-bùmá | (one) seed | í |
| 8 | i- | ìká | leaves | í |
|  |  | ì-bùmá | seeds | í |
| 8s |  | ì-bj̀wú | vegetables species | í |
| 9 | $\varnothing$ - | $\emptyset$-fà | machete | i |
| 10 | N- | m-pùmá | seed | í |
| 10s |  | m-pwàdjè | mud | í |

Comment: The noun classes and their prefixes listed in the table above are adapted from Heath (2003:338). To differentiate simple noun classes from subclasses and singles noun classes, the former are written as X , the subclasses as Xa and the single classes as Xs. (X standing for numbers).

A10civ) Milám misáa mpïf.
Mì-lám mí-sà mpü-f.
c4-heart SM.c4-COP.PRS pot-LOC
The hearts are in the pot.

A10cv) mí $\varnothing$ mpӥ- $\int$
PRN.c4 PRS pot-LOC
They are in the pot.
2.2.2.3 Compound human subject pronouns

| Singular | Pronoun | Plural | Pronoun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathrm{Sg}+3 \mathrm{Sg}$ | sáná | $1 \mathrm{Pl}+3 \mathrm{Pl}$ | sánǒ |
| $2 \mathrm{Sg}+3 \mathrm{Sg}$ | bìná | $2 \mathrm{Pl}+3 \mathrm{Pl}$ | bìnǒ |
| $3 \mathrm{Sg}+3 \mathrm{Sg}$ | báná | $3 \mathrm{Pl}+3 \mathrm{Pl}$ | bwánǒ/ bánǒ |

A10cvi) Sáná séé léfà mán.
Sâ-ǹ̀-à sô-é lás-jà mán.
PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.pl-with-PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg SM-FUT1 talk-RCM tomorrow
We (I and he) will talk tomorrow.

### 2.2.2.4 Human object pronouns

| Person | Pronoun |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 Sg | mà |
| 2 Sg | wà |
| 3 Sg | nà |
| 1Pl.EXCL | sầ |
| 1Pl.INCL | fé |
| 1Pl.du | Jwâ |
| 2Pl. | bî |
| 3 Pl | bwà |

A10cvii) Méé léfà nà wà mán.
Mà-é lás-jà nà wà mán.
PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$. sg-FUT1 talk-RCM with PRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$. .sg tomorrow I will talk to you tomorrow.

### 2.2.2.5 Non-human object pronouns

| Noun class | Pronoun |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | nà |
| 2 | bwà |
| 3 | wà |
| 4 | mjà |
| 5 | dwà |
| 6 | nwà |
| 7 | gwà |
| 8 | bjà |
| 9 | nwà |
| 10 | nẁे |

A10cviii) Jean nàámà kùsà ókúwò. À ggè wiill bwà ná iffwán. Jean nà-ámà kùsà ó-kúwò. à ngè wîl bwà ná ì-fwán Jean SM-PST2 buy c2-fowl. PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg PROG feed PRN.OBJ.c2 with c8-corn Jean bought fowls. He is feeding them with corn.
2.2.2.6 Human reflexive pronouns

| Person | $1^{\text {st }}$ form | $2^{\text {nd }}$ form |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 Sg | mà-méfwó | mà-mé |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Sg | wà-méfwó | wà-mé |
| 3Sg | nà-méfwó | nà-mé |
| 1Pl.EXCL | sá-méfwó | sa-mé |
| 1Pl.INCL | fé-méfwó | Jé-mé |
| 1Pl.du | fwá-méfwó | Jwà-mé |
| 2Pl. | bì-méfwó | bì-mé |
| 3Pl | bwá-méfwó | bwá-m |

A10cixa) Má ftm mà-méfwó.
Mà ftm mà-méfwó.
PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg hate.PRS PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg-REFL I hate myself.
cvixb) Má ftm mà-mé.
Mà $f+m$ mà-mé.
PRN. $1{ }^{\text {st }}$.sg hate.PRS PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg-REFL
I hate myself.
2.2.2.7 Non-human reflexive pronouns

| Noun class | $1^{\text {st }}$ form | $2^{\text {nd }}$ form |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ná-méfwó | ná-mé |
| 2 | bwá-méfwó | bwá-mé |
| 3 | wá-méfwó | wá-mé |
| 4 | mjá-méfwó | mjá-mé |
| 5 | dwá-méfwó | dwà-mé |
| 6 | nwá-méfwó | nwá-mé |
| 7 | gwá-méfwó | gwá-mé |
| 8 | bjá-méfwó | bjà-mé |
| 9 | nwá-méfwó | nwá-mé |
| 10 | nwá-méfwó | nwà-mé |

A10cx) Mifíngà mí ggà dzáàl mjáméfwó.
Mì-fíngà mí ŋgà ḑáàl mjá-méfwó.
C4-cat SM PROG lick PRN.c4-REFL
Cats are licking themselves.

### 2.2.2.8 Subject markers

| Person | marker |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 Sg | mà |
| 2 Sg | wà |
| 3 Sg | nà/à |


| 1Pl.EXCL | sâ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1Pl.INCL | fé |
| 1Pl.du | fwâ |
| 2Pl. | bì |
| 3Pl | bwá |

A10cxi) Mà màá mpújź đ̧àmb.

| Mà | mà-à | mpù- | dsàmb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg | SM. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg-NEG | know-NEG | witchcraft. |
| I have nothing to do with witchcraft. |  |  |  |

### 2.2.2.9 Object markers

| Person | marker |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 Sg | mà |
| 2 Sg | wà |
| 3 Sg | $\grave{\varepsilon}$ |

A10cxii) Marie nàámà j $\grave{\text { č }}$ kúmà.
Marie nà-ámà j̀̀-є kúmà
Marie SM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg-PST2 give-OM. $3^{\text {rd }}$. .sg cassava
Marie gave cassava to her.
2.2.2.10 Preposition object pronouns

| Person | marker |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1Sg | dôn |
| 2Sg | dwâ |
| 3Sg | $d \varepsilon ́ / n \varepsilon^{3}$ |
| 1Pl.EXCL | ḑı́sú |
| 1Pl.INCL | dstifé |
| $1 \mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{du}$ | ḑúfwá |
| 2 Pl . | duíp |
| 3 Pl | dán |

A10cxiiia) Màámà kùsá ffláwà fúl dwâ.
Mà-ámà kùsá ftláwà fúl dwâ
PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.sg-PST2 buy flower/rose for PRN.PREP.OBJ. $2^{\text {nd }}$.sg I bought a rose for you.
cxiiib) Màámà léfà nà né

| Mà-ámà | lás-jà | nà | $n \varepsilon ́$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRN.1 ${ }^{\text {st. }}$.sg-PST2 | talk-RCM | with | PRN.PREP.OBJ.3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$.sg |

[^2]I had a talk with him.
2.2.2.11 The possessive determiner (pronoun)

| Noun class | 1Sg | 2 Sg | 3Sg | 1Pl.EXCL | 1Pl.INCL | 2Pl. | 3 Pl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | -ว̇á | - ¢ | -sú | -Já | -ín | -án |
| 1 | w-ón | w-áá | j-غ́ | wú-sú | í-á | w-ín | w-án |
| 2 | b-ón | bw-áá | $b-\varepsilon ́$ | bit-sú | ó-Já | b-ín | b-án |
| 3 | w-ว์n | w-áá | j-غ́ | wú-sú | i-já | w-ún | w-án |
| 4 | mj-ón | mj-áá | $m j-\varepsilon ́$ | mí-sú | mí-Já | m-ín | mj-án |
| 5 | d-ón | dw-áá | d-غ́ | dit-sú | í-Já | d-ín | d-án |
| 6 | m-ón | mw-àá | $m-\varepsilon$ ' | mísú | má-Já | món | m-án |
| 7 | ds-ón | gw-àá | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ¢ $-\varepsilon$ | ḑí-sú | i-Já | $d_{3}-\frac{1 n}{}$ | ds-án |
| 8 | bj-ón | bj-áá | bj-غ́ | bí-sú | i-Já | b-ín | bj-án |
| 9 | ภ-ón | nw-áá | $n-\varepsilon ́$ | ní-sú | i-Já | n-ín | n-án |
| 10 | n-ón | $n w$-àá | $n-\varepsilon ́$ | ní-sú | i-Já | $n$-ín | n-án |

A10cxiva) Marie nàámà jà bwà ìsá bján.
Marie nд̀-ámà jà bwà ì-sá bj-án ${ }_{i}$
Marie PRN.3rd.sg-PST2 give PRN.OBJ.3rd.pl c8-thing c8-POSS.PRN
Marie gave their things to them.
cxivb) Marie nàámà jà bwà ${ }_{i}$ bján ${ }_{i}$.
Marie nà-ámà jà bwà bj-án
Marie PRN.3rd.sg-PST2 give PRN.OBJ.3rd.pl c8-POSS.PRN
Marie gave them theirs (things).
Comment: As a generalization, possessives in Makaa occur within noun phrases and function as anaphoric determiners (A10li). In the discourse however, there exist constructions whereby the head noun of the NP is left out and only the possessive surfaces as a pronoun that is coconstrued with a preceding element (see A10lii).
cxvb) bwán bá lôn òkálàd bán

| bù-án | b-á そgà | l̂ŋ | ò-kálàd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c2-child | c2.AGR-P3 | PROG | read c2-book c2-POSS-PRN |

The boys read their books
KS: Does AGR.POSS allow for both group and distributed reflexive readings? For example 'The boys read their books" could mean that each boy read the books he had or it could mean the boys had all read the books that belong to them as a group? The sentence could mean that each boy read the books he had or the boys had all read the books that belong to them as a group.
2.2.2.12 Emphatic personal pronouns

| Person | $1^{\text {st }}$ from | More emph.1 $1^{\text {st }}$ form | $2^{\text {nd }}$ form | More emph.2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ form |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 Sg | $m \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{\varepsilon}$ | $m \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{\varepsilon}-n \grave{\varepsilon}-\grave{\varepsilon}$ |  | - |
| 2 Sg | $w \dot{\varepsilon}-\dot{\varepsilon}$ | $w \dot{\varepsilon}-\grave{\varepsilon}-\Omega \dot{\varepsilon}-\grave{\varepsilon}$ |  | - |


| 3Sg | nغ̀-غ̀ | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Pl.EXCL | sá-bán |  | sá-ó-bán | sว́-ó-báり-દ̀-nc̀-દ̀ |
| 1Pl.INCL | fá-bán |  | fà-ó-bán | fá-ó-bán-દ̀-nc̀-દ̀ |
| 1Pl.DUAL | fwá-bán | Jwó-bán-غ̀-nc̀-غ̀ | Jwá-ó-bán | Jwá-ó-bán-غ̀-nc̀-غ̀ |
| 2 Pl . | bì-bán | bì-bán-غ̇-nc̀-̇ | bì-ó-bán | bì-ó-bán-غ̀-nc̀-̇̀ |
| 3 Pl | bwá-bán | bwá-báy-غ̀-nc̀-દ̀ | - | - |

cxva) Mè $̀$ màámà kùsá ftláwà fúl dwâ.
Mò-દ̀ mà-ámà kùsá ftáwà fúl dwâ
PRN. 1 st sg-EMP.PRN SM-PST2 buy flower/rose for PRN.OBJ. $2^{\text {nd }}$.sg
I(as I am concerned) bought a rose for you.
cxvb) Sá-ò-bán sáá mpújé
Sá-ò-bán sò-á mpù-દ́
PRN. $1^{\text {st. }}$.pl.EXCL-FOC-PRN.EMP SM-NEG know.PRS-NEG
We (as we are concerned and not any other group) don't know.
2.2.3 As far as I am concerned, I cannot remember constructions in Makaa whereby null arguments are accepted.

A10d) *Dà fû
Ate fish.
(meaning he/she/they/it/we/you/I ate fish)
Comment: This sentence would be acceptable if it had a subject marker.
e) Hal nàámà nífà

Hal nà-ámà nífà
Hal SM-PST2 hit
Hal hit (meaning Hal hit him/her/them/it/us/you/me)
Comment: This sentence is only acceptable within a particular discourse/context. It can be understood and accepted if and only if it was stated earlier that Hal hit someone. A10e will be then uttered to confirm or reaffirm that Hal did hit someone already mentioned.
f) * Hal nàámà lás nà

Halnà-ámà lás nà
Hal PRN.3rd.sg-PST2 talk with Hal talked to (meaning Hal talked to him/her/them/it/us/you/me

### 2.2.4 The use of otherwise independent pronouns for clausemate anaphora

NOTE FOR DATA ENTRY OF A10 - make sure references to sentence numbers are references to the database IDs.
A10g) Ali nàámà fààg nà
Ali nà-ámà fààg nà
Ali PRN.3rd.sg-PST2 praise PRN.3rd.sg
Ali praised him.
Comment: No possible coreference between nà and Ali here.
gi) Ali nàámà fààgé回
Ali nà-ámà fààg-દ́
Ali PRN.3rd.sg-PST2 praise-OM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Ali praised him.
Comment: In A10g there is no possible coreference between Ali nà and $\varepsilon$.
h) Ali má tjèl nà

Ali má tjèl nà
Ali PST1 like PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$. sg
Ali has liked him.
Comment: No possible coreference between nà and Ali here.
hi) Ali má tjèl/马ह́
Ali má tjè̀l-દ́
Ali PST1 like-OM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Ali has liked him.
Comment: In A10h there is no possible coreference between Ali nà and ć.
i) Ali jàà d't́g nà

Ali nà-à dt́g nà
Ali SM-PST3 see PRN.3rd.sg
Ali saw him
Comment: No possible coreference between nà and Ali here.
ii) Ali nàà dóg ${ }^{\text {Qé }}$

Ali nà-à d't́g-દ́
Ali SM-PST3 see-OM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Ali saw him
Comment: In A10i there is no possible coreference between Ali nà and $\varepsilon$.
j) Ali nàámà léfà nà né

Ali nà-ámà lás-jà nà né
Ali SM-PST2 speak-RCM with PRN.PREP.OBJ.3Sg
Ali talked with him
Comment: In A10j there is no possible coreference between Ali and né.
k) Ali nàámà kàndć kálàd

Ali nà-ámà kànd-ć kálàd
Ali SM-PST2 send-OM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg book
Ali sent a book to him.
Comment: In A10k there is no possible coreference between Ali and $\varepsilon$.

1) Ali nàámà kwínd?

Ali nà-ámà kwínd-દ́
Ali SM-PST2 help s.o.-OM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Ali helped him
Comment: In A101 there is no possible coreference between Ali and $\varepsilon$.
m) Ali лàà kwàjè màsàgjà

Ali nà-á kwàj-દ̀ mà-sàgjà
Ali SM-PST3 find-OM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg c6-suprise
Ali surprised him
Comment: In A10m there is no possible coreference between Ali and $\dot{\varepsilon}$.
n) Ali nàà kùsà kálàd fúl dé

Ali nà-à kùs a kálàd fúl dé
Ali SM-PST3 buy book for PRN.PREP.OBJ. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Ali bought a book for him
Comment: In A10n, there is possible coreference between Ali and dé. A10n has two possible interpretations viz: -1) Ali bought a book for someone else different from him - 2) Ali bought a book for himself.
o) Ali nàámà I今̂ kálàd má dt̛́gjá nà né

Ali nà-ámà lô kálàd má ḍ̛g-já nà né
Ali SM-PST2 read book COMPLsee-RFM with PRN.PREP.OBJ. $3^{\text {rd }} . \mathrm{sg}$
Ali read a book about him/that concerns him
Comment: At first sight, in A10o, there is coreference between Ali and né. This sentence in Makaa will be understood as: 'Ali read a book about himself'. However, in a context where it was stated earlier that the book was about someone else, the OM né will be coconstrual with a referent different fromAli.
p) Ali nàámà kwàj kálàd nádí kàgú

Ali nà-ámà kwàj kálàd ná-dí kàgú
Ali SM-PST2 find book PRN.3rd.sg-LOC side
Ali found a book by his side
Comment: Same remark as in A10o. The pronoun nádícan refer to Ali or to someone else depending on the context of enunciation.

### 2.3 Reciprocal Readings

2.3.2 Sorts of reciprocal strategies in Makaa

A- Verb-jàstrategy
A11ai) Bùdà bwá クgá d̛́gjà
Bùdà bwâ hgà dt́g-jà
Woman SM PROG see-RCM
The women see each other

NI: A11ai could never mean 'The women see themselves' as a group. It could also never mean each woman sees herself. However, sentence A11ai has a reflexive counterpart. See A11aii

## A11aii) Bùdà bwá Ygá dêgjà ìjènt̀f

$b$-ùdà $\quad b w \hat{\imath} \quad \eta g a ̀ \quad d \dot{\imath} g-j a ̀ \quad i$-jèn-ìf
c2-woman SM.3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$.Pl PROG see-RFM c8-mirror-LOC
The women see their reflection in the mirrors.
The sentence above has a distributed reflexive reading where each woman sees herself in the mirror. The sentence can never have a group reflexive reading as contextually, the plurality of mirrors express the idea according to which each of the women is in possession of one. However, the sentence could also mean that several groups of women see themselves in different mirrors. I don't understand how this is a reflexive reading, unless you mean that the only reading available here is a group reflexive reading, that is, the whole group of women see the whole group of women and there is no distributed reflexive reading where each woman sees herself in the mirror NI: Unlike A11ai[insert ID\# assigned to A11ai], which could not have a reflexive reading, this sentence permits a reciprocal reading since each woman is able to see the reflection of another woman in the mirror.

```
aii) À ngá jíljà fílú
```

à ngá jil-jà fílú
he PROG remove-CAUS.RFM hair
$\mathrm{He} /$ she is causing someone to remove his/her own hair.
Comment: In A11A $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ii}}$, jà solely expresses reflexive meaning.. Both constructions are clearly distinguished by Makaa native speakers. More so, some reflexive constructions, with regard to the main verb inherent meaning could also express causative as in A11aii. A11aii could never mean that the subject of remove-CAUS causes someone to remove her ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ party) hair. The equivalent of such a sentence in Makaa is
aiii) À ngà sá ná à jíljàg fílú
À ŋgà sá ná à jíl-jà-g Jílú
He/she PROG do COMPL he/she remove-CAUS.RFM-HORT. ${ }^{\text {nd }}$.sg hair
He /she is causing someone (different form SUBJ) to remove his/her (different from subject) hair

B- Total reduplication of the reflexive pronoun
b) bwá-bùdùm bwàámà gùsà bwámé nà bwámé
bwân-bùdùm bwâ-ámà gùsà bwá-mé nà bwá-mé
children-men SM-PST2 wash PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.pl-REFL with PRN. $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$.pl-REFL
The boys washed each other.
Comment: In sentence A11 b, it is the total reduplication of the reflexive pronoun that expresses reciprocity. KS: Can this be used with a singular pronoun for something like 'John played basketball one-on-one'? NI: To my knowledge, I can't find any example of a reduplicated singular form. I have asked some other Makaa native speakers and none could come out with a single example of such a form. The strategy can be used with a fully transitive verb. See aiv.
aiv) Biím dí fààg bíméfwó nà bíméfwó

Bií-m df́ fààg bí-méfwó nà bí-méfwó
PRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$. PI-xx HAB praise PRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$.PI-REFL with PRN. $2^{\text {nd }}$.PI-REFL
You always praise each other (in your group).
c) Bùdúm bwàámà wàjà mìû.

B-ùdùm bwâ-ámà wàs-jà mì-lû
C2-man SM-PST2 comb-RCM C4-head
The men combed each other's hair.
d) Bwàámà fwàjà
bwà-ámà fwàjà
they-PST2 argue with each other
They argued with each other.
Comment: KS: What is the gloss of fwàjà? Is it fwà-jà, argue-RCM?
NI: Some verbal stems in Makaa present remnant traces of a possible derivational process that consisted of suffixing the RFM/RCM to a root to form a new lexical item. These roots however have been fossilized in Makaa and have no meaning any more. Thus, I prefer to write such stems as a whole rather than separating them.
e) Bwá-bùdùm bwàámà nágjà mésân
b-uân-b-ùdùm bwâ-ámà nág-jà mé-sâp
c2-child-c2-man SM-PST2 kick-RCM c6-kick
The boys kicked each other.
f) Bwá ftmjà

Bwâ ftm-jà
they hate-RCM
They hate each other.

### 2.3.3 Oblique arguments

C- Verb-jà + mpádígà + Pronoun
A12a)Bùdúm bwàámà ngà Iwájà Maria mpádígá bwà
b-ùdúm bwà-ámà ngà Iwâ-jà Maria mpádt́gá bwà
c2-men SM-PST2 PROG show-RCM Maria between PRN.OBJ.3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$.pl
The men introduced Maria to each other.
Comment: The RCM obligatory here. Without the RCM, the sentence is ungrammatical.
b) Òkàlǒndzònd bwàámà láfà

Ò-kàlǒndzònd bwà-ámà lás-jà
c2-traveler SM-PST2 speak-RCM
The travelers spoke to each other.
D- Verb-jà + object (noun) + preposition + Pronoun
c) Òsع́nèjómázán bwàámà クgà gwág isá í dt́gjá nà bwá jí Òsénè-ómázán bwà-ámà ggà gwág ì-sá i díg-já nà bwâ jí dowers-feats SM.3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$.pl-PST2 PROG hear c8-thing c8.AGR see-RCM with PRN.3Pl.Obj c8.REL The priests heard stories about each other ORThe priest heard stories that concern them.
$\left.\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ Òsćn$\varepsilon$ jómázán bwàámà ngà gwág ìsá í d̛́gjá nà bwáméfwó jí
Òsénè-ómázán bwà-ámà ngà gwág ì-sá í dṭ̛-já nà bwáméfwó jí dowers-feats SM. $3^{\text {rd }}$.pl-PST2 PROG hear c8-thing c8.AGR see-RCM with PRN.3Pl.Obj c8.REL
The priests heard stories about each other ORThe priest heard stories that concern them.
KS: Any thoughts about what the REL is doing here? Is that a relative marker or a relative pronoun? Is 'things' c8?
NI: (1) see the gloss for the presence of the REL. (2) I will call it a relative pronoun because in Makaa it agrees with the head noun, another form of pronominal anaphora I forgot among pronominal strategies??
KS: How does REL agree? Does it have an agreement paradigm (matching c8.thing)? This is an odd detail that might be worth looking into independently of the anaphora sketch. NI: REL agrees with the head noun as follows: (c1 REL is jé, c2 REL is wá, c4 REL is mḯ, c6 REL is má, c3, c5, c7, c8, and c9 REL is jí. (See aforementioned section B dealing with relative clauses in Makaa) [Not for data entry]

### 2.3.4 Other persons and numbers

No new strategy is used in the examples below.
A13a) fwá fí ditgjà
Jwâ fí díg-jà

PRN. $1^{\text {st }}$.pl.INCL EVID.PST.COP see-RCM
We saw each other.
b) Bǐm dzàlá nà kwíndjà

Bǐ-mdzàlá nà kwínd-jà
2Pl.PRN-COP COP PREP help-RCM
You(pl.) ought to help each other.
Comment: This sentence cannot mean 'you ought to help yourselves' - it cannot have a reflexive meaning.
c) Séé gùsà

Sâ-é gùsà
we-FUT1 wash.RFM
We will wash ourselves.
Comment: KS: Is the RFM morphologically fused with the verb or is there some regular morphology that derives gùsàfrom gùs-jà, or whatever the original verb root is?
NI : Yes the RFM is morphologically fused with the verb root. The verb to wash has two interchangeably forms in Makaa namely, gùjàand gùsà. gù- or gùs- are meaningless in Makaa.
d) Bwá dt́ ḑùmjà
bwâ dt́ ḑùm-jà
PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$. .pl HAB criticize.someone-RCM
They always criticize each other.
Comment: For more generic readings:
Á bùl tjjèl ḑùmálà búúd

| Á | bùl | tjह̀l | dзùm-là | $b$-úúd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRN.3rd.sg | QUANT | like | criticize.someone-INF | c2-person |

$\mathrm{He} /$ she likes criticizing people a lot

| or |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Á dí do̧ùm búćd |  |  |
| Á dt́ | ḑùm | $b$-úúd |
| PRN. 3 rd. pl HAB | criticize.someone | c2-person |

He always criticizes people

### 2.3.5 Other clause types, and other strategies

No new strategy in reciprocal embedded clauses.
A14a) Sol ggà tí ná mà sás má ngà tyàljà
Sol ggà tí ná mà-sás má ngà t̀̀l-jà
Sol PROG say that c6-girl SM.c6 PROG love-RCM
Sol says that the girls love each other.
b) Sol ngà fílà ná másás má táljàg

Solngà fílà ná mà-sás má tỳl-jà-g
Sol PROG ask/demand that c6-girl SM.c6 love-RCM-HORT
Sol demands that the girls should love each other.
c) Sol nàámà tádàgà ná màsás má jídà nà tàljà

Solnà-ámà tádàgà ná mà-sás má jídà nà tàl-jà
Sol SM-PST2 think that c6-girl SM.c6 COP PREP love-RCM
Sol thought that the girls should praise each other.
d) Sol nàámà fílà ná mìilà mífágjàg

Sol nà-ámà filà ná mi-filà mí fààg-jà-g
Sol SM-PST2 ask that c4-girl SM.c4 praise-RCM-HORT
Sol asked the girls to praise each other.
e) Màsás má tjjı̀l fàgjà

Mà-sás má tfjèl fààg-jà
c4-girl SM.c4 wish/want praise-RCM
The girls wish to praise each other.
f) Sol ngà tádágá ná màsás má jídà nà tà̀ljà

Sol ngà tádágá ná mà-sás má jídà nà tàl-jà
Sol PROG think/expect that c4-girl SM.c4 COP PREP love-RCM
Sol expects the girls to praise each other.
g) Sol nàámà gwág másás má †gá fàgjà

Sol nà-ámà gwág má-sás má ggá fààg-jà
Sol SM-PST2 hear c4.girl SM.c4 PROG praise-RCM
Sol heard the girls praising each other.

### 2.4.1 Possessives, alienable and inalienable

A15a) Paul nàámà dzímbàl mángùp $m-\varepsilon ́$
Paul nà-ámà dzímbàl má-ngùp m-દ́
Paul SM-PST2 lose c4-shoe AGR.c4-POSS. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Paul lost one side of his shoes.
b) Paul nàámà bîn kǔ dé

Paul nà-ámà bîn kŭ d-દ́
Paul SM-PST2 raise c5.leg AGR.c5-POSS.3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Paul raised his leg.
c) Paul má bêw

Paul má bêw
Paul PST1 cut oneself
Paul has cut himself. (e.g., accidentally)
d) Paul nàámà nìnzà mbwá jé

Paul nว̀-ámà nìnzà mbwâ j-દ́
Paul SM-PST2 examine c5.hand AGR.c5-POSS. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Paul examined his hand.
e) Paul nàámà fánzálà tigùlù dé

Paul nò-ámà fánzálà tigùlù d-દ́
Paul SM-PST2 twist c5.ankle AGR.c5-POSS.3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$.sg
Paul twisted his ankle (or 'stubbed his toe')

### 2.4.2 Reflexives and reciprocals in nominals

A16) Ǹdǎ Andre nàá búgùlà nàmé jí, já ntágłłlà Maria.
Ǹdă Andre nà-á búgùlà ǹ̀-mé jí, i-á ntágìlà Maria
as Andre SM-PST3 proud.of.oneself PRN.3rd.sg-REFL c1.REL, SM-PST3 annoy Maria
Andrew's self-confidence annoyed Mary. (the way Andre was proud of himself annoyed Mary)
A17a) Jígilì nàámà káàm nà àlwájà má Andre
Jígilì nà-ámà káàm nà a-lwâ-jà má Andre
Teacher SM-PST2 wonder/impress with c1-show-RFM ASS Andre

The teacher was impressed by Andrew's introduction of himself.
Comment: Ina-Iwâ-jà, $a$ - and jà are nominalizing affixes; they are morphologically fused with the verbal root -lwâ- 'to show something'.
b) Kìmàlâ Andre nàámà zìmàl námé jí, ní ḱ́ bâw

Zìmàlâ Andre nà-ámà zìmàl ná-mé jí, n-í kít bâw
c9.evaluation Andre SM.3rd.sg-PST2 evaluate PRN.3rd.sg-REFL c.9.REL.c9, AGR.c9-SM COP bad Andrew's evaluation of himself was too critical.
c) Mìnzíljá bwáámà jijà mí, mí fígé bà ná sán

Mì-nzilí-já bwâ-ámà jว̀-jà mí, mí fí-gé bà ná sán
c4-question-NOM PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.pl-PST2 give-RCM c4.REL, c4.SM EVID.PST-NEG COP with clarity
Their instructions to each other were not clear indeed.
d)3ìmàlâ nán bwáámà bà nà fí jí, ífígé bà nà kàkílà

Sìmàlâ n-án bwâ-ámà bà nà fí jí, ifí-gé bà nà kàkiilà
c9.Evaluation AGR.c9-POSS. $3^{\text {rd }}$.pl PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.pl-PST2 COP with EVID REL.c9, SM EVID-NEG COP with noise
Their evaluations of each other were too generous indeed.
2.4.3 To my knowledge, there arefour (4) other sorts of local coconstrual between arguments of a predicate not mentioned in the preceding section. Below are those I could identify.
A. N1 + N2 + Possessive (N2 belongs to N1/The possessive is coreferent to N1)

A18) Kúkúmá filà jè
Kúkúmá filə̀ j-દ̀
Chief daughter AGR.c3-POSS
The chief's daughter.
Comment: This sentence is different from the Noun+Poss strategy already listed in the sense that in this particular construction, the possessive is coconstrual with an NP that semantically is the possessor of the noun it determines whereas in the Noun+Poss strategy, the possessive is part of an object NP and it is coreferent with the subject NP.
KS: So this is like 'The chief's his daughter'. Norwegian has something like this, but they use a reflexive corresponding to his. We will come to this later probably. NI: Exactly

## B. Coreference in relative clauses

One can also notice coreference within relative clauses in Makaa. The particularity of this type of construction is that the relativizer occurs at the end of the RC and it agrees with it antecedent that can be a noun or a demonstrative pronoun (see table below).

Makaa relative and demonstrative pronouns

| Noun class | REL | DEM PRN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $j \dot{\varepsilon}$ | $n-a ̀ \eta g$ |
| 2 | $w a ́$ | $b-a ̀ \eta g$ |


| 3 | $j i ́$ | $w$-àng |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | mjá | mj-àng |
| 5 | jí | $d$-àng |
| 6 | má | $m$-àng |
| 7 | $j i ́$ | $d \zeta-a ̀ \eta g ~$ |
| 8 | $j i ́$ | $b-a ̀ \eta g$ |
| 9 | $j i ́$ | $n-a ̀ \eta g$ |
| 10 | $j i ́$ | $n-a ̀ \eta g$ |

A19a)Màkúmà má ngá dà má máá nwájé
Mò-kúmà mà ŋgá dà má mò-á nwá-દ́
c4-cassava AGR.c4 PROG eat REL.c4 SM.c4-NEG be.good-NEG
The cassavas that I am eating are not good (spoilt).
b)Mèé kùsà wàng wúsá ná gúgwân jí

Mà-é kùsà w-àng wú-sá ná gúgwân jí
1Sg-FUT1 buy AGR.c3-DEM.PRN AGR.c3-COP still new REL.c3
I will buy that which is still new.
KS: This is a very interesting phenomenon, but I would not call it an anaphoric strategy. I would speculate that REL is a relative clause final complementizer that agrees with the head noun. NI: Yes right.

## C. Noun + AGR-òngû

The demonstrative determiners listed below function differently from those listed in the preceding section inthat they function as determiners though they are anaphoric, i.e, they refer to aforementioned referent. They can never replace a noun as it is the case in A19b.

| Noun class | ANAPH.DEM. DET |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | w-òngû |
| 2 | b-òngû |
| 3 | w-òngû |
| 4 | mj-òngû |
| 5 | d-òngû |
| 6 | m-òngû |
| 7 | ds-òngû |
| 8 | bj-òngû |
| 9 | n-òngû |
| 10 | $n$-òngû |

Mà-é kùsà ḑáw w-òngû
1Sg-FUT1 buy c3.house AGR.c3-ANAPH.DEM.DET

I will buy that house (the aforementioned house).
Not for data entry: KS: Nice observation. I had never thought of the English construction this way. Food for thought! NI: Thanks for the compliment.

Not for data entry: D. AGR-sà strategy
The verb bǎla'to become/to be' in Makaa, when conjugated in the present tense occurs with agreement marker- coconstrual with the subject - prefixed to it. The verb root changes its form as in most of Indo-European languages (French, English, German...) from bà to sà; H-là being the infinitive marker. In the table below the forms in classes 1 and 2 are equivalent to the forms that go both with personal pronouns and with non-animate items that belongs to gender $1 / 2$. It is worth mentioning that most of the time, Makaa native speakers leave out either the proclitic or the verb sà. But this does not affect the meaning of the sentence. If the main subject is left out as in A21d, the construction becomes a cleft construction.
KS: I would not normally think of this as an anaphoric strategy, but rather a construction for asserting that a nominal property pertains to the subject - no actual referring back. Useful to have this, however. NI: You have a point here. I totally agree with your remarks and suggestions. Should we remove it or present the data differently?

| Noun class |  | Syntactic Marker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1^{\text {st }} . \mathrm{Sg}$ | ḑıi-sà |
|  | $2^{\text {nd }} \cdot \mathrm{Sg}$ | dふi-sà |
|  | $3^{\text {rd }}$. Sg | ḑì-sà |
| 2 | $1{ }^{\text {st }} . \mathrm{Pl}$ | bí-sà |
|  | $2^{\text {nd }}$. Pl | bí-sà |
|  | $3^{\text {rd }}$. Pl | bí-sà |
| 3 |  | wú-sà |
| 4 |  | mú-sà |
| 5 |  | dú-sà |
| 6 |  | mú-sà |
| 7 |  | d3í-sà |
| 8 |  | bí-sà |
| 9 |  | ní-sà |
| 10 |  | ní-sà |

KS: We will leave this in, with our discussion. Maybe someone looking at the AQR will have a different idea about it. NI: Ok

```
A21a)Mè idzis\grave{̀}}\mp@subsup{}{i}{\prime}\mathrm{ mùdûm}\mp@subsup{}{i}{
    Mè ḑ̧i-sà m-udûm
    PRN.1st.sg AGRc1-COP c1-man
    I am a man.
```

| b)Mè dzì múdûm |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mè $\quad$ dzì | m-údûm |  |
| PRN.1st.sg | AGR.c1.COP | c1-man |

I am a man.
A21c)Mè sà múdûm
Mè sà m-údûm
PRN.1st.sg COP c1-man
I am a man.
d)ḑìs múdûm
dふì-sà m-údûm
c1.AGR-COP c1-man
It is a man.

### 2.4.4 Summary of reflexive and reciprocal strategies

## REFLEXIVES

A- Pronoun-méfwó strategy
B- Pronoun-mé strategy
C- Object-Null strategy
D- BODY-object strategy
E- Verb-jà strategy
F- Noun + possessive strategy
G- Independent pronoun Pronoun-dí strategy
Preposition fúl (for) / nà (with) + pronoun nà (with) + Pronoun strategy

H- N1+N2 + Possessive - possessor agreement
I- Noun+AGR-òygû

## RECIPROCALS

A- Verb-jà strategy
B- Total reduplication of the reflexive pronoun
C- Verb + mpádígâ + pronoun
D- Verb-jà + Object (noun) + preposition + pronoun
KS: This is an edited version of sections 3 and 4 of the Anaphora Questionnaire (AQ) that is available at our site. I have truncating the remainder of the questionnaire so as to speed the work.

### 3.6 Interaction with verb morphology - Incompatibilities

3.6.1 Tense, Mood, Aspect.

Makaa counts 7 absolute tenses: 3 future tenses; 4 past tenses in addition to a present tense. The present tense has two sub-categories, namely, a general present and a gnomic present (Ibirahim 2007, 2009, 2013b). Makaa distinguishes three moods: Indicative, Subjunctive and Imperative
(Heath, 2003: 345).
Based on Nurse's 2008 analysis of TAM in Bantu and on empirical data from Makaa, Ibirahim (2013b: 14ff.) shows that Makaa uses inflectional morphemes at Pre-Stem, Post-stem position, reduplication, repetition and compounding to mark 13 aspects grouped as follows: (i) Imperfective (progressive, habitual, iterative, persistive and continuative), (ii) Perfective (factative, completive and evidential), and Miscellaneous aspects (inceptive, prioritive, proximate, counter-assertive and haste).
To the best of my knowledge, Makaa tenses, aspects and moods are almost all compatible with the coconstrual strategies examined in the preceding section (B3a-c), exception made from the continuative aspect which is solely compatible with the object-Null strategy (B3d) and generates ungrammatical constructions with other coconstrual constructions (B3e).

B3a) Gina má dt́ gùsà nûl
Gina má dt́ gùsà nûl
Gina COP HAB wash body.REFL
Gina (generally) washes herself
b) Gina 国má gùsá fûl

Gina má gùsà nûl
Gina PST1 wash body.REFL
Gina has washed.
c) Gina má ḑàlă nà gùsà nûl

Gina má ḑàlà nà gùsà nûl
Gina COP ought to wash body.REFL
Gina should wash herself.
d) Gina ngà gùsà-gùsǎg

Gina ngà gùsà-gùsà-g
Gina PROG wash.REFL-wash.REFL-CNTI
Gina keeps washing herself.
e) *Gina ngà gùsà-gùsǎg nûl

Gina ngà gùsà-gùsà-g nûl
Gina PROG wash.REFL-wash.REFL-CNTI body.REFL
Gina keeps washing herself.
Comment: B3e is ungrammatical because continuative constructions in Makaa end in reduplicated verb. Thus, the occurrence of the object nûl 'body' violates the rule.
3.6.3 (formerly 3.6.1) To my knowledge, I am not aware of operations or morphemes that cannot co-occur with this strategy.
f) Ali nàámà Iŝ kálàd má dígjá nà náméfwó Ali nà-ámà lỗ kálàd má d'tg-já nà ná-méfwó Ali SM-PST2 read book COMPL see-RFM PREP PRN. $3^{\text {rd }}$.sg-REFL Ali read a book about him/that concerns him.

### 3.7 Uses that are not quite coreference

In Makaa, there are other usages of the PRN-méfwó and PRN-méwhich does not express coreference between two arguments or adjuncts (e.g., like locatives or directionals). PRN-méfwó and PRN-mé are used (with a slight difference, see examples B3g-j) to convey the meanings 'also' and 'alone'.
g) Jean $\eta$ gà gùsà nàméfwó

Jean クgà gùsà nว̀-méfwó
Jean PROG wash.REFL PRN.3rd.sg-REFL.
Jean is bathing alone.
h) Jean $\eta g a ̀ ~ g u ̀ s a ̀ ~ n a ̀ m e ́ ~$

Jean クgà gùsà nà-mé
Jean PROG wash.REFL PRN.3rd.sg-REFL.
Jean is bathing alone.
i) Jean nàméfwó ngà gùsà

Jean nà-méfwó ggà gùsà
Jean PRN.3rd.sg-REFL PROG wash.REFL
Jean is bathing also
J) *Jean nàmé hgà gùsà

Comment:Both PRN-méfwó and PRN-méat Object position are accepted in contructions conveying the meaning 'Jean is bathing alone'. On the contrary, to convey the meaning 'Jean is bathing also', only the PRN-méfwó is acceped at post-subject position and not the PRN-mé. I cannot find a reason to justify why ( j ) is just not acceptable.
3.7.1 Idiosyncratic or inherent. Makaa distinguishes two major categories of verbs inherently expressing reciprocity (few) or reflexivity (the majority). The first category comprises verbs equivalent in meaning to the English set of 'verb oneself' or the French pronominal verbs, such as 'se mentir'(B3k). The second group is made-up of derived verbs comprising an existing or fossilized root that has fused with the RFM/RCM (B31).

| (B3k) | Verb | Gloss | Verb | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bàgìwà | brag (se vanter) | bwè̀j | get oneself wet |
|  | búd | cover oneself | finzìwà | weave one's way |
|  | bwáád | dress oneself | bêw | wound oneself |
|  | bwágìwò | lie oneself down | tjjè | bump oneself into sm. |
|  | biill | to get onself trapped | dôw | make oneself buried |
|  | gwóditgà | give a sign of life | dzágàwò | lean oneself against sm. |
|  | gwógł̀wà | rub oneself | káám | wonder (se vanter) |
|  | nâw | get on one's knees | Jwàw | hide oneself |
|  | wògà | rest (oneself) | ntámá | get spoiled (se gâter) |


| 1) | Verb | Gloss | Verb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Gloss 0

3.7.2 Emphatic or intensifier. As in the English, The president himself answered the phone.

Your language may also have forms that require a local antecedent but seem to indicate a relationship with an antecedent that stresses how a particular participant related to an event. We see this with constructions in English like (B1c,d)

B1c) Jean nàá dà fû nàméfwó
Jean nว̀-á dà fû nà-méfwó
Jean SM-P3 eat fish PRN.3sg-REFL.
John ate fish himself.
d) Jean nàméfwó nàá dà fû

Jean nà-méfwó nà-á dà fû
JeanPRN.3sg-REFL.SM-P3 eat fish
John himself ate fish.
Comment: Sentence B1c, in context, could have reading B or C. Sentence B1d solely conveys reading D in Makaa. Reading A also could be obtained from (B1c) if Jean is focused as in (B1e).

B1e) Jean ó náá dà fû nàméfwó
Jean ó nà-á dà $\int u ̂$ nà-méfwó
Jean FOC SM-P3 eat fish PRN.3sg-REFL
John (and no one else) ate fish alone.
A) John alone did this - i.e., only John and no other individuals did this.
B) John did this alone - John was unaccompanied when he did this.
C) John himself did this - John appearing in person did this (no one did it for him)
D) John himself did this - Even John did this (e.g. Although you would not have thought he would, John also ate the crispy jellyfish)
3.7.3 Middle (or passive). Makaa uses two distinct morphemes: -ja (for participle, equivalent to the English Verb+ed forms) and -ow (for passives) for middles.
$>$ Participle (very productive in makaa)

## $B 1 f_{i}$ )

Jean sà mbájá
Jean sà bâ-já
Jean COP wed-RCM
John is wedded/married.
$\mathrm{fii}_{\mathrm{ii}}$ A sample list of participles in Makaa
Participle Gloss Verb
Gloss

| mbájá <br> bâ+ já <br> mbézjá <br> báánz+ já <br> càgjă <br> cáád+já | sharpened | carved | <báánz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | sharpen

> Passive (productive in makaa)
B1gi) Jé síjòw
li-é sâ-òw
PRN.3sg-F1 do-PASS.
It will be done.
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ii}}$ ) A sample list of passive forms in Makaa
Passive forms Gloss Verb
jiljòw sitted <jil
jil+òw
jídjòm
jíd ò òw $\quad$ beaten up $\quad$ <jíd $\quad$ beat up

Comment: The suffixation of the morphemes -já and -òw triggers phonological changes in the root, e.g: vowel raising, vowel shifing and stops prenasalizations
3.7.4 Distributive, sociative, etc. To my knowledge, no such strategies exist in Makaa.

### 3.7.6 Focus.

Please translate these question-answer pairs. (Numbers are out of sequence here for a reason) B15) Zá òfôlófàmbá bwáámà d't́g jé?

Zá ò-fôl-ó-fàmbá bwว̀-ámà d'tg j j́?
PRN.INT.C2-worker-ASS-farmPRN.2pl-P2 seeREL
Who did the farmers see?
Bwáámà d't́ĝ̂
bwá-ámà d'tg- $\hat{\varepsilon}$
PRN.2pl-P2 see-OM.3sg
They saw him.
(For example, the children are playing hide and seek in the yard, four girls and one boy, John. The farmers entered the yard but they only saw John).

B16) Ómboั̀ 1 l ó fígé d'tg Maria.Nǒ bwáámà dt́g jí.

C2-farmer c2.AGr EVID.PAST-NEG see Mary. PRN.3sg-FOC PRN.3pl-P2 see REL The farmers didn't see Mary. They saw him.
3.7.7 To my knowledge, there is no other way of using any strategy mentioned earlier in any other construction without expressing reciprocity or reflexivity.

### 3.8 Proxy readings

Proxy readings are difficult to get in Makaa since, in examples B8-10, neither the body reflexive
nor the PRN-méfwó can be used to refer to someone's statue. Culturally, the Makaa people do not carve, the have no statues. Similarly, their tradition is oral, and the majority of the population is not learned. Anything carve or moulded is called vàgtly 'image'. To get the right interpretation in some B8-9 sentences or a closer one, 'himself has to be replaced by və̀gł̀lغ̀-jè 'his image' or mittiljá $m j \varepsilon ́ ~ ' h i s ~ w r i t i n g s ' . ~$

B8a) Tasibi $\eta g a ̀ ~ d t ' g ~ v a ̀ g \grave{l l \varepsilon ́ ~ d ̧ \varepsilon ́ ~ m p a ́ a ́ n z a ́ ~ i ̀ v a ̀ g ł ̀ l غ ́ . ~}$
Tasibi ŋgà dt́g vàg̀̀lé ḑ-દ́ mpáánzá ì-vàgł̀lé
Tasibi PROG see C7.image C7-POSS hall C8-image
Tasibi admires her statue in the museum.
b) Mongo Beti nàà fwé lôn mítiljá mjé Swàhilij,

Mongo Beti nд̀̀-à fwé lôy mí-ţilà-já mj-દ́ Swàhilì-f
Mongo Beti PRN.3sg-NEG AUX.NEG read C4-write-NOM c4.AGr-POSS Swahili-LOC Mongo Beti has not yet read his writings in Swahili,
tذó ndă náá má lôn mítiljá mjé Èspànólłf
tò ndà nà-á má lôy mì-ţil-já mj-દ́ Èspànól-ł̀
even as PRN.3sg-P3 PST1 read C4-write-NOM c4.AGr-POSS Spanish-LOC
though he has read his writings in Spanish (a long time ago).
The differences emerge in English for cases like those in (B9). Imagine that the wax museum is having a special event, which the wax statues of each celebrity will be washed and dressed by the celebrity they represent.

B9a) Tasibi nàámà gùsà vàgł̀lદ́ ḑć tòògú-tòògú fúl ná í kú ntámà. Tasibi nà-ámà gùsà vàgł̀lé ḑ-દ́ tòògú-tòògú fúl ná í kú ntámà Tasibi SM-P2 wash C7.image C7-POSS suffery-RED for that SM COP.NEG spoil Tasibi washed her statue carefully, so that it will not get damaged.
b) Tasibi nàámà gùsà tòògú-tòògú fúl ná vàgł̀lદ́ ḑć í kú ntámà.

Tasibi nà-ámà gùsà tòògú-tòògú fúl ná vàgł̀lદ́ dz-દ́ í kú ntámà Tasibi SM-P2 wash suffery-RED for that C7.image C7.AGr-POSS SM COP.NEG spoil
Tasibi washed carefully, so as not to damage her statue.
c) Tasibi nàámà bjéćd vàg̀̀lé ḑé míkáándá tòògú-tòògú fúl ná í kú ntámà.

Tasibi nà-ámà bjéźd vàgł̀lદ́ dz-દ́ mí-káándá tòògú-tòògú fúl ná i kú ntámà
Tasibi SM-P2 dress s.o. C7.image C7.AGr-POSS C4-cloth suffery-RED for that SM C7 COP.NEG spoil
Tasibi dressed her statue carefully, so as not to damage it.
d) Tasibi nàámà bwáád míkáándá tòògú-tòògú fúl ná vàg̀̀lદ́ ḑćí kú ntámà. Tasibi nà-ámà bwáád mí-káándá tòògú-tòògú fúl ná vàgł̀lé dz-દ́ í kú ntámà Tasibi SM-P2 dress C4-cloth suffery-RED for that C7.image C7-POSS SM COP.NEG spoil Tasibi dressed carefully, so as not to damage her statue.
Comment: Contextually, B9d can only refer to a situation whereby Tasibi dressed herself avoiding any false movement that could make her damage her statue.
e) Tasibi nàámà dt̛́g mbèlà jè zánt́f, vàdă à fígé tjè̀lê dt̛g.

Tasibi nà-ámà dt́g mbèlà j-દ̀ zán-ff, vàdă à fí-gé tjè̀l-ह d'tg
Tasibi Sm-P2 see spitting image C1-POSS show-LOC, but she EVID.PAST-NEG like-OM.PRN.3sg see
Tasibi saw her spitting image in the show, but she didn't like to see her.
Comment: The object marker $-\hat{\varepsilon}$ cannot refer to Tasibi.
Test for proxy readings in your language and see if there are instances where they are possible and others where they are not. Proxy readings do not require locality, so cases like B10a-c are also generally possible.

B10a) Mongo Beti ŋgà tî ná mítiljá mjé Swàhilił mî nwălà
Mongo Beti $\eta g a ̀ ~ t i ̂ ~ n a ́ ~ m i ́-t i l ı ̀-j a ́ ~ m j-\varepsilon ́ ~ S w a ̀ h i l-i j ~ m i ̂ ~ n w a ̀-H l a ̀ ~$
Mongo Beti prog say that c4-write-nOM C4-POSS Swahili-LOC SM be.fine/good-INF Mongo Beti says he sounds better in Swahili.
b) Tasibi nàámá búgł̀là ná vàgł̀l̀ ḑ

Tasibi SM-P2 believe thatC7.image C7-POSS C7.SM-COP beautiful
Tasibi thought that she looked handsome. (he = statue of Castro)
Proxy readings are also possible for reciprocals in many languages. For (B11a), once again the antecedents are the authors and each other describes the works these authors have written, such that Mark Twain did not read Victor Hugo's novels in Swahili and Victor Hugo did not read Mark Twain's novels in Berber. For (B11b), imagine a show where there are actors masquerading as our two protagonists. The first each other refers to the person Marlene and Castro, but the second each other refers to the actors (or statues) representing them on the stage or in the show.

## B11a) Mongo Beti báná Mveng bwáá fígé Iวิ́jà mítiljá Bèrbèrłł)


Mongo Beti PRN.3pl-with-PRN.3sg Mveng SM-P3 EVID.PAST-NEG read-RCM C4.write-NOM berber-Loc Mongo Beti and Mveng did not read each other in Berber.
b) Marlene báná Tasibi bwáá fígé d't́gjă mpádígá bùùd,

Marlene bwà-nว̀-à Tasibi bwò-á fí-gé dt̛́g-jă mpádt'gá b-ùùd,
Marlene PRN.3pl-with-PRN.3sg Tasibi PRN.3pl-P3 EVID.PAST-NEG see-RCM among c2-person Marlene and Tasibi did not see each other in the audience (in between people).
vàdă bwáá dṫg mìmbèlà mján fijjẽ zàn.
vàdă bwà-ád'tg mì-mbèlà mj-án fifjẽ zàn
but PRN.3pl-P3 see c4.spitting image c4-POSS yard show
but they did see each other on the stage/in the show.
Comment: Makaa requires the use of a nominal describing the representation (mì-mbèlà) to get readings that correspond to the proxy cases. No reflexive or reciprocal strategy avoides this.

### 3.9 Ellipsis

Consider the following examples, which all have an ellipsis of one sort or another. In (B12), there is missing structure that is parallel or identical to stated structure and it is interpreted
as if it is there.
B12a) Mary mé tjèl námé ţ̂̃ Bill
Mary mé tjèl ná-mé tŝ̃ Bill
Mary COP love PRN.3sg-REFL surpass Bill
Mary likes herself more than Bill
NI: B12a is grammatical, and it means exactly that 'Mary likes herself more than she likes Bill'
b) Mary mé tjèl námé tfô ndàà Bill mé tjèl námé jí

Mary mé tjèl nć-mé tŷ̂ ndàà Bill mé tjèl ná-mé jí
Mary COP love PRN.3sg-REFL surpass as Bill COP love PRN.3sg-REFL REL Mary likes herself more than Bill loves himself

English permits both of these, though I suspect (B12b) may not be as widely available as (B12a). If not, then concentrate on (B12a). The following readings, where the Italicized portions are what is missing for $(\mathrm{B} 12 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ but can be interpreted as if it was there (which is what is meant here by 'ellipsis'). Makaa does not permit B12a. On the other hand, B12b can only have the reading in (iii).
i) Mary mé tfjèl námé tŷ̂ ndà̀à Bill mé tfjél Mital jí Mary mé tjèl nà-mé tỗ ndàà Bill mé tjèl Mital jí
Mary COP love PRN.3sg-REFL surpass as Bill COP love Mital REL Mary likes herself more than Bill likes Mital.
ii) Mary mé tjè̀l némé tŝ̀ ndàà Bill mé tjél nà jí

Mary mé tjè̀l nà-mé tŷ̂ndàà Bill mé tjjél nà jí
Mary COP love PRN.3sg-REFL surpass as Bill COP love PRN.3sg REL Mary likes herself more than Bill likes her(=Maria).
iii) done in B12b

Maria likes herself more than Bill likes himself.
Please try to formulate sentences like those in (B12a) (and/or B12b, if that is possible) trying out each of the non-reciprocal strategies in the first clause and determining for each strategy which of the readings i-iii. are possible. If you have several strategies in your language, then we expect you will have many examples as translations of $(12 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ for whatever verb works with the strategy in question. Please adjust the examples to use appropriate verbs for the strategy you are testing, and if there are generalizations about which verbs go with which strategies more successfully, that would be very interesting to know. Remember to try both affixal and argument anaphor strategies, if your language has both.
$>-\boldsymbol{j a}$ (participle)
c) Fwán sà mpǔ bà kwàgjá tỗ wùnd
fwán sà mpù bà kwààg-já tyô wùnd
maize COP COP be grind-REFL surpass peanuts
Maize is better ground than peanuts (are ground)
> - jow (passive)
c) Maria nàámà kwàgjòw tŷ̂ Bill

Maria nà-ámà kwààg-jow tŷ̃ Bill
Maria PRN.3sg-P2 grind-PASS surpass Bill
Mary was beaten mercilessly than Bill (was beaten)

## PART 4 Exploration of syntactic domains

### 4.1 Clausemate coconstrual

### 4.1.1 Verb class restrictions

4.1.1.1 Canonical transitives - Can this strategy be used with ordinary transitive verbs, such as the verb meaning "see"? Give some examples, including the following.

## > Pronoun-nàméfwó

C1a) Bob ŋgà dt́g nàméfwó
Bob ngà dt́g nà-méfwó
Bob PROG see PRN.3sg-REFL
Bob saw himself.
b) Bùdá bwámà クgà ḑàw ndàá bwáméfwó bwá sá ná
b-ùdá bwà-ámà ngà đ̧àw ndàà bwà-méfwó bwà sá ná c2-woman SM-P2 PROG say as PRN.3pl-REFL they COP REL The women described themselves.
KS: In the absence of the verb corresponding to 'describe', would this correspond to something like "the women said as to themselves how they are"? NI: Yes sure. It could also mean that the women described themselves to a third party; it depends on the context or the speaker's intention.
c) Bjǎmà náág bíméfwó màsǎn
bǐ-ámà náág bǐ-méfwó mà-săn
PRN.2pl-P2 kick PRN.2pl-REFL c6-action.of.kicking.with.leg(s)
You (pl.) kicked yourselves.
d) bwámà fààg bwáméfwó
bwà-ámà fààg bwà-méfwó
PRN.3pl-P2 praise PRN.3pl-REFL
They praised themselves
4.1.1.2 Commonly reflexive predicates - Can this strategy be used with verbs of grooming, inalienable-possession objects, etc? Give judgements on the following. Provide some additional examples of your own. We already have 'wash', so try 'dress' and 'shave', if they have appropriate translations. You might also try predicates like 'scratch', 'stretch', 'sit' and other body posture predicates that some languages express with reflexive forms. We also have 'cut' already, so please pick other predicates that behave in this way, adding perhaps a list of them.

C3a) Donna ŋgà sá nàméfwó
Donna ŋgà sâ nд̀-méfwó

Donna PROG do PRN.3sg-REFL
Donna harms herself. ( $\mathrm{X}=$ Donna)
b) Don $\eta g a ̀ ~ g w a ́ g ~ m p i ̀ m b a ̀ ~ n a ̀ ~ n a ̀ m e ́ f w o ́ ~$

Don クgà gwàg mpìmbà nà nà-méfwó
Don PROG hear anger with PRN.3sg-REFL
Don is angry with himself. ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Don}$ ).
c) Sás ngà nààg̀̀ł̀̀ nûl
sás ngà nààgł̀là nûl
girl PROG scratch body.REFL
The girl scratches herself [unintentionally] ( $\mathrm{X}=$ the girl)
4.1.1.3 Psychological predicates. Please provide examples for verbs like those below, even if nothing exact seems appropriate for the current strategy, marking them according to the level of their acceptability based on the scale given above.

C4a) John má ftm náméfwó
John má ftm ṅ̀-méfwó
John COP hate PRN.3sg-REFL
John hates himself
b) John $\eta g a ̀ ~ g w a ́ g ~ f w o ̂ n ~ n a ̀ ~ n a ̀ m e ́ f w o ́ ~$

John ngà gwág fwôn nà nà-méfwó
John PROG hear shame with PRN.3sg-REFL
John is ashamed of himself.
c) John ŋgà gwág búgú nà nàméfwó

John ŋgà gwág búgú nà nà-méfwó
John PROG hear c4.joy with PRN.3sg-REFL
John is eager about himself
d) John $\eta g a ̀ ~ g w a ́ g ~ m i ̀ n t a ̀ a ̀ g ~ n a ̀ ~ n a ̀ m e ́ f w o ́ ~$

John hgà gwág mìntààg nà nà-méfwó
John PROG hear c4.joy with PRN.3sg-REFL
John is proud of himself
e) John ŋgà ntágł̀là nàméfwó

John ŋgà ntágłłlà ǹ̀-méfwó
John PROG worry/trouble PRN.3sg-REFL
John worries/troubles/ himself
4.1.1.4 Creation and destruction predicates. Provide examples in addition to (C5) using verbs of creation (e.g., "sew", "make", "form") or destruction (e.g. "kill", "eliminate", "make disappear").

C5a) Bùdá bwéé ntámàn bwáméfwó
b-ùdá bwà-é ntámàn bwà-méfwó
c2-woman SM-F1 spoil PRN.3pl-REFL
The women will destroy themselves
b) Màfínà má ngà kwàmbł̀là máméfwó
mà-fínà má ngà kwàmbł̀là má-méfwó
c6-machine COP PROG built c6.PRN-REFL
The machines built themselves ( $\mathrm{X}=$ themselves)
c) Bùdá bwéé gú bwáméfwó
b-ùdá bwà-é gû bwà-méfwó
c2-woman SM-F1 kill PRN.3pl-REFL
The women will kill themselves
c) Bùdá bwée lààd bwáméfwó mikáándá mján
b-ùdá bwว̀-é lààd bwว̀-méfwó mí-káándá mj-án
C2-woman SM-F1 sew PRN.3pl-REFL c4-cloth c4-POSS
The women will sew their clothes themselves
4.1.1.5 Verbs of representation. Reflexive versions of these verbs include instances where individuals act on their own behalf, rather than have someone act in their name or for them.

C6a) Màntfwámá máámà tâw fúl bwáméfwó mà-ntfwámá mà-ámà tâw fúl bwà-méfwó

C6-boy SM-P2 stand for PRN.3pl-REFL
The boys represented themselves.
b) John $\eta g \grave{~ k e ̀ z ̀ m ~ n a ̀ m e ́ f w o ́ ~}$

John $\eta g$ à kèz̀m nえ̀-méfwó
John PROG defend PRN.3sg-REFL
John is defending himself
c) John nàámà lás fúl náméfwó

John nà-ámà lás fúl nà-méfwó
John SM-P2 talk for PRN.3sg-REFL
John spoke for himself.
d) John nàámà sáámbł̀là náméfwó

John nà-ámà sáámbł̀là ṅ̀-méfwó
John SM-P2 disgrace PRN.3sg-REFL
John disgraced himself.

### 4.1.2 Argument position pairings

4.1.2.1 Subject-indirect object - The preceding questions asked mostly about subject-object coreference. Can this strategy be used to express coreference between a subject and an indirect object? Choose verbs that have an indirect object in your language.

C7a) Mary nàámà nífà nàméfwó bóónz
Mary nà-ámà nífà nà-méfwó bóónz
Mary SM-P2 hit PRN.3sg-REFL slap
Mary gave a slap to herself/Mary slapped herself
b) John nàámà tílà náméfwó kálàd

John nà-ámà tillà nà-méfwó kálàd
John PRN.3sg-P2 write PRN.3sg-REFL letter
John wrote a letter to himself ( $\mathrm{X}=$ John )
For comparison, also provide judgements for the following:
C8a) same as in C7a
Mary gave herself a slap ( $\mathrm{X}=$ Mary)
b) John nàámà Iwá bwân nûl

John nà-ámà Iwâ b-uân nûl
John SM-P2 show c2-child body.REFL
John showed himself to the children ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{John}$ )
Comment: In Makaa, in ditransitive constructions, the indirect object always precedes the direct object as in C7a-b and C8b, reason why C7a and C8a can't be different.
KS: For (C8b), does that mean that he literally allows his body to be seen? I am trying to see if Body.REFL has a kind of inalienable possession reading, but I am not sure how to treat this example to get at it. Maybe you have an idea.
NI: Sentence C8b means that he introduced himself to the children. It does not mean that he showed his nakedness to the kids. To the best of my knowledge, I really don't think reflexive constructions is Makaa distinguish between alienable vs. inalienable.
4.1.2.2 Oblique arguments -

## C9a) Dan nàámà fúslà nà nàméfwó

Dan nà-ámà fúslà nà nà-méfwó
Dan SM-P2 got.angry with PRN.3sg-REFL
Dan got angry with himself.
b) Dan nàámà tên Mary lán í d̛́gjá nà nàméfwó jí

Dan nд̀-ámà tên Mary lân í dt̛g-já nà nว̀-méfwó jí
Dan SM-P2 tell Mary story SM see-RFM with PRN.3sg-REFL REL
Dan told Mary (a story) about himself ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Dan}$ )
c) Dan nàámà kùsà kálàd Júl náméfwó

Dan nà-ámà kùsà kálàd fúl nı̀-méfwó
Dan SM-P2 buy book for PRN.3sg-REFL
Dan bought a book for himself.
4.1.2.3 Subject-adjunct - Provide some examples of coreference between a subject and an adjunct, e.g., a locative PP. If appropriate translations are not prepositional objects, try to construct appropriate examples.

C10a) Mary nàámà d'tg fwâ nádí mpt́sà kwòn
Mary nà-ámà dt'g nwâ nà-dí mpt́sà kwòn
Mary PRN.3sg-P2 see snake PRN.3sg-LOC behind back
Mary saw a snake behind her ( $\mathrm{X}=$ Mary)
b) Mary nàámà ḑâw mà fúl mátên má d'̛́gjá nà nàméfwó

Mary nà-ámà ḑâw mà fúl mà-tên má dt̛́-já nà nà-méfwó Mary PRN.3sg-P2 call PRN.1sg for C6-issue SM see-RFM with PRN.3sg-REFL Mary called me because of an issue about herself ( $\mathrm{X}=$ Mary)
c) John fàámà fwèèn3 Mary nà tjjé nà nàméfwó

John nà-ámà fwèènz Mary nà tfjé nà nà-méfwó
John PRN.3sg-P2 offend Mary with why with PRN.3sg-REFL
John offended Mary because of himself ( $\mathrm{X}=$ John)
d) Sáámà kwá gwò nà tfjé nà sáméfwó
sâ-ámà kwá gwò nà tjé nà sâ-méfwó
we-P2 just laugh with why with PRN.2pl-REFL
We laughed in spite of ourselves
4.1.2.4 Ditransitives and double complements- Can the strategy be used to indicate coreference between the two non-subject arguments of a verb?. If there is more than one way to express the two non-subject arguments of a verb like "give", give examples for each type of construction. In English, for example, we would want examples both of the type "show Hal the book" and "show the book to Hal." (where X = Hal for C11a-d). For example, for (C11c), Bill gave Hal himself, which is admittedly pragmatically awkward, but imagine for (C11a) that Mary is showing Hal his image in the mirror - imagine Hal had never seen a mirror before.

```
C11a) *Mary nàámà lwâ Hal wó nàméfwó
    Mary n\grave{-ámà Iwâ Hal wó n\grave{-méfwó}}\mathbf{}\mathrm{ \}
        Mary PRN.3sg-P2 show Hal to PRN.3sg-REFL
        Mary showed Hal to herself.
    b) Mary nàámà Iwájà nùl wó Hal
    Mary n\grave{-ámà lwâ-jà nùl wó Hal}
        Mary PRN.3sg-P2 show-RFM body to Hal
        Mary showed X (herself) to Hal.
    c) Bill náámà jà Hal nàméfwó
    Bill n\grave{-ámà jà Hal n\grave{-méfwó}}\mathbf{}\mathrm{ (all}
        Bill PRN.3sg-P2 give Hal PRN.3sg-REFL
        Bill gave Hal himself.
```

d) same as C11c as the indirect object always precedes the direct object in Makaa. Bill gave X Hal.

## e) Mary nàámà ḑàw mántfwámá mátên má d̛́gjá nà bwáméfwó Mary nà-ámà ḑàw mà-ntfwámá mà-tên má d'tg-já nà bwà-méfwó Mary PRN.3sg-P2 tell C6-boy C6-issue SM see-RFM with PRN.3pl-REFL Mary told the boys about themselves.

f) Mary nàámà ngà Iwá mántfwámá mpádf́gá bwà

Mary nà-ámà ngà lwâ mà-ntfwámá mpád't'gá bwà
Mary PRN.3sg-P2 PROG show C6-boy between them
Mary showed/introduced/presented the boys between each other.
fi) Mary nàámà وgà Iwá mántfwámá mpádf́gá bwámé nà bwámé
Mary nà-ámà ngà Iwâ mà-ntfwámá mpád'tgá bwà-mé nà bwà-mé
Mary PRN.3sg-P2 PROG show C6-boy between PRN.3pl-REFL with PRN.3pl-REFL
Mary showed/introduced/presented the boys in between them.
fii) Mary nàámà ngà Iwá mántfwámá mpádt́gá bwáméfwó
Mary nà-ámà ทgà lwâ mà-ntfwámá mpád'tgá bwà-méfwó
Mary PRN.3sg-P2 PROG show C6-boy between PRN.3pl-REFL
Mary showed/introduced/presented the boys between each other.

KS: Here the slashes are a bit confusing. Please provide separate sentences with a single strategy option and the appropriate interpretation. However, it looks like the reciprocal reading occurs here in the absence of RCM and that both independent pronouns and PRN-méfwó can have a reciprocal reading just in case it is embedded in a mpádt́gá PP. Is this right? NI: Yes, I espouse your analysis.

Also, please try the following:
g) The boys know that Mary likes mpád'́g gá bwà/bwà-mé nà bwà-mé/bwà-méfwó Does this have the reading that each boy knows that Mary likes the other boys? Does the reciprocal reading fail altogether?
g) Mántfwámá má mpú ná Mary má tjg̀l bwà

Má-ntfwámá má mpú ná Mary má tjèl bwà
C6-boy PST1 know that Mary COP like them
The boys know that mary like them (No possible RFL or RCM reading).
gi) *Mánt‘wámá má mpú ná Mary má tjèl bwàmé nà bwàmé/bwàméfwó The boys know that Mary loves each other/in between them
4.1.2.5 Two internal arguments or adjuncts - Consider coreference between two arguments of adjunct NPs in the same clause, neither of which is a subject and neither of which is a direct object
(if your language has such constructions - if not just say so and move on). Consider $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Hal}$ in (C12). If I were answering for English, I would say that (C12c) is successful with the pronounSELF strategy, (C12b, d) fail with both pronoun-SELF and the independent pronoun strategies, and C12a is marginal with the independent pronoun strategy.

> C12a) Bill náàmà tên nàméfwó Hal tíg ḑjé
> Bill nà-àmà tên nà-méfwó Hal tíg dzj-દ́
> Bill PRN.3sg-REFL talk PRN.3sg-REFL Hal c7.life c7-POSS
> Bill talked about Hal to himself.
b) done in C12a

Mary talked about X to Hal.
c) Mary náàmà tên Hal lán í dígjá nà nć/nàméfwó Bill nà-àmà tên Hal lân í d̛́g-já nà né/nว̀-méfwó

Bill PRN.3sg-P2 talk Hal story SM see-REFL with PRN.3sg/PRN.3sg-REFL
Mary talked to Hal about himself.
d) Done in C12c

Mary talked to X about Hal.
Comment: C12 is successful only with the Noun + Possessive strategy (C12a) and the nà + Pronoun strategy ( C 12 c ). C 12 b and C 12 d are identical to C 12 a and C 12 c respectively as indirect objects always precede direct objects in ditransitive constructions.

### 4.1.2.6 Clausemate non-coarguments

Possessives - Give examples based on the following sentences, and/or by constructing analogous examples from reflexive sentences from the previous sections. For each of (C13) and (C14), X $=$ Nick.
Please indicate if the verb $+j a ̀$ strategy is the only one possible for the coreference relation in C13 or if a simple possessive pronoun without $j a ̀$ is also possible, perhaps with optional noncoreferent readings

Noun + Possessive pronoun strategy (the only possible strategy with C13a, but an alternative strategy for C13b, c)

```
C13a) Nick nàámà ḑâw nóngú jé
Nick nว̀-ámà ḑâw nòngû j-દ́
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 call/telephone C1.mother C1-POSS
Nick telephoned to his mother.
b) Nick nàámà wààs filú ḑé
Nick nà-ámà wààs filú dz-દ́
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 comb C7.hair C7-POSS
Nick combed his hair.
Comment: The verb+jà strategy is not really the only possible alternative for C13b. There is a possibility to have these constructions with a simple coconstrual possessive pronoun without \(j\) à .
```

c) Nick nàámà lás nà nđ̧úú jé

Nick nà-ámà lás nà ndzúù j-દ́
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 speak with C1.boss C1-POSS
Nick spoke to his boss.
Comment: The verb $+j a ̀$ strategy is not really the only possible alternative for C13c. There is a possibility to have these constructions with a simple coconstrual possessive pronoun without $j$ à.
body reflexive strategy (an alternative for C13b)
bi) Nick nàámà wààs lû
Nick nà-ámà wààs lû
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 comb head
Nick combed his hair. .[sentence related to (C13bii, biii)]
Verb-jà strategy coupled with Noun + possessive pronoun (an alternative for C13c)
bii) Nick nàámà wàjà lû
Nick nà-ámà wààs-jà lû
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 comb-RFM head
Nick combed his hair.[sentence related to (C13bi, biii)]
biii) Nick nàámà wààs lú jé
Nick nว̀-ámà wààs lû j-દ́
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 comb head C1-POSS
Nick combed his hair. .[sentence related to (C13bi, bii)]
ci) Nick nàámà léfà nà ndzúú jદ́

Nick nà-ámà lás-jà nà ndzúù j-દ́
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 speak-RCM with C1.boss C1-POSS
Nick interacted with his boss.
KS: What makes this version of jà reciprocal-like? There is no plural antecedent. NI: The reciprocal reading is inherently express by the verb meaning. I have revised the gloss to fit with the context. Noun + Possessive pronoun strategy (an alternative for C13bi; ci)

Pronoun-dí strategy (the only possible strategy for C13d-e)
d) Nick nàámà bàd kálád jé nádí mpwòòmb-íf

Nick nà-ámà bàd kálád j-દ́ nà-dí mpwòòmb-iff
Nick PRN.3sg-P2 put.on C1.book C1-POSS PRN.3sg-LOC face-LOC
Nick put his book on his face.
Pronoun-dí strategy (the only possible strategy for C13d)
e) Ngwámìnà nàámà jà Nick tfùmlâ nádí kwáádá
ngwámìnà nà-ámà jà Nick tfùmlâ nà-dí kwáádá
authority PRN.3sg-P2 give Nick prize PRN.3sg-LOC village
The authority gave Nick a prize in his village.

Pronoun-dí strategy (the only possible strategy for C13e)
f) Màntfwámá màámà gùsà Nick mpwòòmbú
mò-nt'wámá mà-ámà gùsà Nick mpwòòmbú
C6-boy SM-P2wash Nick face
The boys washed Nick's face.
Body strategy (the only possibility for C13f)
C14a) Nick sóngú má tjèl d't $\hat{\varepsilon}$ d't'g
Nick sóngú má tjjè dt́ $\hat{\varepsilon}$ d't $g$
Nick father.REFL COP like HAB OM.PRN.3sg see
Nick's father admires him.
Comment: C14a in Makaa goes neither with body reflexive nor PRN-REFL strategies. C14a rather goes requires the presence of an OM or a PRN that is coconstrual with Nick.
b) Ìmàmí í Paul já má ntágìlà nà
ìmàmí í Paul í-á má ntágł̀là nà
C8.ambition ASS Paul SM-P3 destroy PRN.3sg
Nick's ambition destroyed him.
Comment: C14b in Makaa goes neither with body reflexive nor PRN-REFL strategies. C14b rather goes requires the presence of an OM or a PRN that is coconstrual with Nick.
c) Paul nj̀ngù nàámà kùfà nà màtwà

Paul nว̀ngù nà-ámà kùfà nà mòtwà
Paul mother SM-P2 sell PRN.3sg car
Nick's mother sold his car.
Please provide translations and judgments for the following examples where the plural pronoun is coconstrued with the boys or the poltiticians.

Below are the possible strategies that can be used depending on the construction.
Noun + possessive pronoun strategy (the only possibility for X20a)
X20a) Mànttwámá bwáá dťg ìjว̀g bján
mò-ntfwámá bwò-á dt'g ì-jòg bj-án
c2-boy SM-P3 see c8-picture c8-POSS
The boys saw pictures of themselves/each other/them
Comment: Body reflexive and PRN-REFL can not go with X20a in Makaa.
Noun + possessive pronoun strategy (a possibility for X20b)
b) Mary náá lás nà màntfwámá fúl ìjว̀g bján

Mary ṅ̀-á lás nà mà-ntfwámáfúl ìjòg bj-án
Mary PRN.3sg-P3 talk with c6-boy for c8-picture c8-POSS

Mary told the boys about pictures of themselves
Comment: Body reflexive and PRN-REFL can not go with X20b in Makaa. Noun + possessive pronoun strategy (a possibility for X20b)

Verb-jà coupled withNoun + possessive pronoun strategy (a possibility for X20b)
bi) Mary náá láà nà màntfwámá fúl ijòg bján
Mary nà-á lás-jà nà mà-ntfwámáfúl ìjòg bj-án
Mary PRN.3sg-P3 talk-RCM with c6-boy for c8-picture c8-POSS
Mary interacted with the boys about pictures of themselves
Comment: Body reflexive and PRN-REFL can not go with X20c in Makaa. Verb-jà strategy
(a possibility for X20b)
KS: Why do you gloss the $j \dot{a}$ as RCM if the translation is reflexive? Could this also be translated as 'Mary talked to the boys about pictures of each other'? NI: I have changed the verb talk to read interact to justify the RCM used. Yes, the sentence could also mean 'Mary talked to the boys about pictures of each other'.

Verb-jàstrategy (a possibility for X20b)
c) Òfwàjè ó ítúnd bwáá kwifà lúmbúlú

Ò-fwàjè ó i-tfúnd bwà-á kwífà lúmbúlú
c2-taker ASS c8-decision SM-P3 plan.RCM attack
The politicians planned attacks against each other.
Comment: Body reflexive and PRN-REFL can not go with X20c in Makaa.
d) Òfwàjè ó ítúnd bwáá sá ndàà bwá kwíà lúmbúlú

Ò-Jwàjè ó í-túnd bwà-á sâ ndàà bwà kwifà lúmbúlú
c2-taker ASS c8-decision SM-P3 do as.if they plan.RCM attack
The politicians faked/simulated attacks against themselves.
Comment: Body reflexive and PRN-REFL can not go with X20c in Makaa.
4.1.2.7 Demoted arguments - Refer back to the range of grammatical function-changing operations (such as passive, antipassive, applicative, possessor ascension, dative alternation) that you considered for section 3.6 (if you did that). For each one, construct some representative nonreflexive examples. Then apply each coreference strategy to various pairs of arguments and report their grammaticality status. It might be easier to go back to 3.6 to do what is asked there once you have done this section.

For English, the by-phrases in ( $\mathrm{C} 15 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) are not interpretable as "alone" (see 3.6) and are not generally regarded as acceptable with by herself.
Comment: For Makaa likewise, the by-phrases in (C15a, b) cannot be interpretable as "alone" and are not regarded as acceptable with by herself. In Makaa, in C15a, b, it can only be understood that Poly was praised and helped by someone else. Passivized constructions in Makaa exclude the byphrases.

C15a) Polly nàá fàgjòw
Polly nà-á fààg-jòw

Polly PRN-3sg-P3 praise-PASS
Polly was praised (by X=different from Polly)
Comment: Passive constructions do not have the by phrase in Makaa. It is not tolerated at all. The passive marker implies the by phrase.

```
C15ai) Polly nàá fààg nàmé(fwó)
    Polly n\grave{-á fààg n\grave{-mć(fwó)}}\mathbf{}\mathrm{ (})
        Polly PRN-3sg-P3 praise PRN.3sg-REFL
        Polly praised herself
    b) Polly nàá kwìidjòw
        Polly n\grave{-á kwì̀d-jòw}
        Polly PRN.3sg-P3 help-PASS
        Polly was helped (by X=different from Polly)
    bi) Polly nàá kwiìd nàmé(fwó)
    Polly n\grave{-á kwì̀d n\grave{-mé(fwó)}}\mathbf{\prime})
        Polly PRN.3sg-P3 help PRN.3sg-REFL
        Polly was helped (by X=different from Polly)
        c) Polly má mpú b+́bààl\varepsiloǹ isá í d'tgjá nà n\varepsiloń jí
        Polly má mpù bt́bààlè ì-sâ í dt́g-jà nà nć jí
        Polly COP know small C8-thing SM see-REFL with PRN.3sg REL
        Little is known by Polly about him-/herself ( }\textrm{X}=\mathrm{ Polly)
    ci) Polly má mpú b+́bààl\varepsiloǹ isá í d'̛́gá nà nàmć(fwó) jí
    Polly má mpù b+́bààl\varepsiloǹ i-sâ í dt́g-jà nà nà-m\varepsiloń(fwó) jí
        Polly COP know small C8-thing SM see-REFL with PRN.3sg-REFL c8.REL
        Little is known by Polly about him-/herself ( }\textrm{X}=\mathrm{ Polly)
    d) Lií jámà dzígjòw
    lií í-ámà dzíg-jòw
        tree SM-P2 burn-PASS
        The wood burnt
        di) Lií jámà dzígà dwáméfwó
        lií í-ámà dzígà dwá-méfwó
        tree SM-P2 burn c7.PRN-REFL
        The wood burnt itself
```

There are more subtle cases, like ( C 15 d ), where the interpretation is not equivalent to "the wax melted", but requires an odd agency for the subject such that it acted on itself to melt itself. The latter interpretation requires some sort of animacy for the subject, but the problem for C 15 d in this regard is can be mitigated, insofar as it is possible to imagine a fairy story in which an animate wax character Max commits suicide, hence Max melted himself.

### 4.1.3 Properties of antecedents

4.1.3.2 Animacy or humanity- If animacy plays a role in choice of strategy or if a strategy is
restricted to human (or metaphorically human) entities, please give examples showing both success and failure of the strategy in a way that illustrates the difference.

C18a) History repeats X
Comment: Can't find an appropriate translation in Makaa
b) Mbiì Jú gà má dt́ dà dwáméfwó
mbiì fû gà má dt́ dà dwà-méfwó
species fish DEM COP HAB eat c7.PRN-REFL
This type of fish cannibalizes itself
c) Jínà gà má dí ntàmá dwáméfwó
fínà gà má dí ntàmá dwá-méfwó
machine DEM COP HAB spoil c7.PRN-REFL
This machine destroys itself (e.g., after you use it)
4.1.3.3 Pronoun types - If your language has more than one class of subject pronouns (e.g., clitic and non-clitic), repeat the tests of the previous section for each type. Also repeat for null pronouns, if applicable.
4.1.3.4 Quantifiers - Provide judgements for the following sentences, where X is a pronoun corresponding to the subject successfully, or X is the anaphoric (reflexive) strategy that achieves a reflexive (coconstrued) reading.

m-ùdá j-દ́f $n \grave{\text { àámà dṫg ná-mé(fwó) }}$
c1-woman c1-QUANT PRN.3sg-P2 see PRN.3sg-REFL
Every woman saw herself.
b)Mwán jéf nàámà gùsă námé(fwó)
$m$-uân j-غ́f $n \grave{\text { àámà gùsà nà-mé(fwó) }}$
c1-child C1-QUANT PRN.3sg-P2 wash PRN.3sg-REFL
Every child washed himself/herself.
c) Mwá Лikùl jéf má ftm námé(fwó)
m-uân Лikùl $j$-ह́ má ftm ná-mé(fwó)
c1-child school c1-QUANT COP hate PRN.3sg-REFL
Every student hates himself/herself.
d) Mwán jé $\int$ nàámà dṭ̛g nwâ kúnákúná nà námé(fwó)
m-uân j-غ́f nà-ámà dt'g nwâ kúnákúná ná-mé(fwó)
c1-child c1-QUANT PRN.3sg-P2 see snake near PRN.3sg-REFL
Every child saw a snake near himself/herself.
e) Mwán jغ́f nàámà dzâw nòngû jé m-uân j-દ́f nà-ámà dzâw nòngû j-દ́
c1-child c1-QUANT PRN.3sg-P2 telephone c1.mother c1-POSS
Every child telephoned his/her mother.
f) Sóngú mwán jéf má tfjèl dı́là d't'g jé mwán

Sóngû m-uân j-દ́ má tfjèl dít-Hlà dtóg j-દ́ m-uân
father c1-child c1-QUANT COP like/admire HAB-INF see c1-POSS c1-child Every child's father admires him/her.
Repeat, replacing the quantifier "Every N" with "No N", and if any quantified antecedents behave differently from these, please provide the same paradigm.

C19a) Tò mùdá fígé d'g g námé(fwó)
tò mùdá fí-gé dt́g nà-mé(fwó)
no woman EVID.PAST-NEG see PRN.3sg-REFL
No woman saw herself.
4.1.3.5 Questioned antecedents - As in (C19), X is coreferent with the wh-word in all of the following (if C20e is possible in your language). If your language leaves question words in situ, translate accordingly, and if your language allows both in situ and fronted questions, then provide examples of both possibilities and judgments for each of the coreference strategies.

C20a) Zá nàámà dt̛́g náméfwó?
zá nд̀-ámà d'tg ṅ̀-méfwó
who PRN.3sg-P2 see PRN.3sg-REFL
Who saw himself/herself?
b) Zá nàámà gùsă náméfwó?
zá nà-ámà gùsà nว̀-méfwó
who PRN.3sg-P2 wash PRN.3sg-REFL
Who washed himself?
c) Zá nàámà d'̛́g nwâ kúnákúná nà náméfwó?
zá nà-ámà dt̛́g nwâ kúnákúná nànว̀-méfwó
who PRN.3sg-P2 see snake near with PRN.3sg-REFL
Who saw a snake near himself/herself?
d) Zá nàámàdzâw nòngû jé?
zá nà-ámà ḑâw nòngû j-દ́
who PRN.3sg-P2 telephone c1.mother c1-POSS
Who telephoned his/her mother?

záj-દ́ sóngû má tjél dt́-Hlà $\hat{\varepsilon}$ dṭ̛g?
who c1-POSS father COP like HAB-INF OM.PRN.3sg see
Whose father admires him/her?
4.1.3.6 Reverse binding - In the following examples, the full NP ('antecedent') appears in the lower (prototypically, object) position. Try to translate these into your language. It is expected that many sentences constructed in this section, possibly all, will be unacceptable in many languages (as *Himself saw Fred is in English). Naturally, any examples which are not ungrammatical are of particular interest.

C21a) *nàmèfwó náámà dt́g Fred
nว̀-mèfwó nд̀-ámà d'g Fred
PRN.3sg-REFL PRN.3sg-P2 see Fred
Himself saw Fred.
b) *Sáméfwó sáámà d'́gjà
sâ-méfwó sô-ámà díg-jà
we-REFL we-P2 see-RCM
We saw us. ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{us}$ )
c) *nàmèfwó náámà dt́g nwâ Fred dt́ mpt́sà nว̀-mèfwó nว̀-ámà dt'g nwâ Fred d't mpt́sà

PRN.3sg-REFL SM-P2 see snake Fred LOC behind Himself saw a snake behind Fred.
d) X impressed Fred

Comment: Can't find a possible translation in Makaa
e) *Bill náámà lás nà nàmèfwó isá í dígjà nà Fred jí

Bill nà-ámà lás nà nà-mèfwó ìsâ í díg-jà nà Fred jí Bill PRN.3sg-P2 speak with PRN.3sg-REFL c8-thing SM see-RFM with Fred c8.REL Bill spoke to Himself about Fred.
f) *Bill náámà tên námèfwó isá í dígjà nà Fred jí

Bill nà-ámà tên nà-mèfwó ì-sâ í dṭg-jà nà Fred jí Bill PRN.3sg-P2 tell/narrate PRN.3sg-REFL c8-thing SM see-REFL with Fred REL Bill told himself about Fred (but correct if himself=Bill)

## g) *nàmèfwó náámà fàgł̀ljòw

nว̀-mèfwó nà-ámà fágìl̀̀-jòw
PRN.3sg-REFL PRN.3sg-P2 praise.PASS
Himself was praised by Fred.
h) *Wòméfwó má tjè̀l wò
wò-méfwó má tjèl wò
PRN.2sg-REFL COP like you
Yourself is liked by you. ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{you}$ )
If the current strategy permits a possessive position to be coreferent with its antecedent, please indicate if an anaphor or a pronoun is possible in the position of X , which should correspond to George in all of these examples.

C22a) *nàámà dzâw George nòngû
nà-ámà dzâw George nòngû
PRN.3sg-P2 call George mother.REFL
He telephoned George's mother. ( $\mathrm{He} \neq$ George)
b) *nòngû jè nàá tfjèl dzúsà George
nòngû j-غ̀ nò-á tjèl d弓úsà George
c1-mother c1-POSS PRN.3sg-P3 like improve George
His mother wanted to improve George. (His $\neq$ George)
c) X's mother worried/impressed George.

Comment: Can't find a possible translation in Makaa
d) *Mary nàámà bwì̀ng nóngú màten má dígjá ná George má

Mary nà-ámà bwiìng nóngû màten má dt́ǵ-já ná George má
Mary SM-P2 tell/narrate mother c6.story SM see-REFL with George c6.REL
Mary told his mother about George.
e) Jòg mà nóngú jámà kùd George núf
jòg mà nóngú í-ámà kùd George nûl-ك
picture ASS mother SM-P2 fall George body-LOC
A picture of his mother fell on George. $(\mathrm{He}=$ George $)$
f) Jòg mà nóngú jámà nwà George lámíf
jòg mà nóngú í-ámà nwà George lâm-íf
picture ASS mother SM-P2 please George heart-LOC
A picture of his mother pleased George.( $\mathrm{He}=$ George)

### 4.1.4 Some matters of interpretation

4.1.4.1 Distribution, reflexivity and reciprocity -

Which of the following meanings can the examples below have? Say which it can have and which it can't have. We will say that if the form in place of X permits at least (C24a) or (C24f) as a reading, then the form in question permits a reciprocal interpretation.

C24a) Each woman helps all (or almost all) of the women, excluding herself.
b) Each woman helps all of the women, including herself.
c) Each woman helps at least some of the other women.
d) Each woman helps herself.
e) The women together as a group help the women together as a group.
f) Each woman helps one of the women other than herself, such that all of the women are helped by one of the others.
Translate each of the following examples, which are compatible with collective action, and state their possible interpretations as above.

## Duplication of the reflexive pronoun

C23i) Bùdá bwá ทgà kwiínd bwámé(fwó) nà bwámé(fwó)
b-ùdá bwà hgà kwiínd bwà-mé(fwó) nà bwà-mé(fwó)
c2-woman SM PROG help.s.o PRN.3pl-REFL with PRN.3pl-REFL
The women help themselves.
Comment: This sentence is equivalent to c 24 e , and $\mathrm{c} 24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$, f excluded)

## Pronoun-mé(fwó) strategy

## C23i') Bùdá bwá ngà kwiínd bwámé(fwó)

b-ùdá bwà ngà kwiínd bwà-mé(fwó)
c2-woman SM PROG help.s.o PRN.3pl-REFL
The women help themselves. (This sentence is equivalent to 24 e , and $24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}$ excluded)

## Verb-jà strategy

C23i") Bùdá bwá hgà kwíndjà
b-ùdá bwà ngà kwiínd-jà
c2-woman SM PROG help.s.o-RCM
The women help themselves/each other.
Comment: This sentence is equivalent to $24 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$, and 24 a excluded,

## Verb-jà strategy + Duplication of the reflexive pronoun

C23ii) Bùdá bwá ngà kwiíndjà bwámé(fwó) nà bwámé(fwó)
b-ùdá bwà ngà kwiíndbwà-mé(fwó) nà bwà-mé(fwó)
c2-woman SM PROG help.someone PRN.3pl-REFLwith PRN.3pl-REFL
The women help themselves/each other.
Comment: This sentence is equivalent to $24 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$, and $24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ excluded)
KS: All the strategies above in (23) allow for the (24e) reading. What factors determine whether one or the other of these strategies is chosen to represent this reading? Are there nuances of meaning or focus that determine these choices, or are all these sentences equivalent when they express (24e)? NI: It all depends on the context and the speaker's choices.

The same question arises for (C23i") and (C23ii) - what, if anything, distinguishes the choice of strategies to represent the C 24 f reading?

C24f) Mùdá jês náámà hgà kwì̀d mùdá íSús
M-ùdá -jêf nà-ámà ngà kwiìd m-ùdá ífús
c1-woman QUANT PRN.3sg-P2 PROG help with c1-woman else/different
Each woman was helping one of the women other than herself.
C24fi) Bùdúm bwáámà gwíljà
b-ùdúm bwà-ámà gwiíl-jà
c2-man PRN.3pl-P2 kill-RCM
The men killed themselves (Where each man killed himself)
Comment: Most of the strategies do not allow distributed reflexive readings. Which strategy would be employed for 'The men killed themselves' when it is intended that each of the men killed himself? NI: the verb-jà strategy or verb+jà + body strategy, with the verb gwîl 'to kill oneself'
fii) Bùdúm bwáámà gwiíljà mánûl
b-ùdúm bwà-ámà gwiíl-jà mà-nûl
c2-man PRN.3pl-P2 kill-RCM c6-body
The men killed themselves (Where each man killed himself)
Comment: Most of the strategies do not allow distributed reflexive readings. Which strategy
would be employed for 'The men killed themselves' when it is intended that each of the men killed himself? NI: the verb-jà strategy or verb+jà + body strategy, with the verb gwîl 'to kill oneself'

Pronoun-ḿ́(fwó) strategy (The sentences below are equivalent to 24 e , and $24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}$ excluded)
C25a) Bùdá bwáámà fágìlà bwáméfwó
b-ùdá bwà-ámà fágł̀là bwà-méfwó
c2-woman SM-P2 praise PRN.3pl-REFL
The women praised themselves. (This sentence is equivalent to 24 e , and $24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}$ excluded)
b) Bùdá bwéé k $\varepsilon$ ह̀m bwáméfwó
b-ùdá bwว̀-é kèz̀m bwว̀-méfwó
c2-woman SM-F1 defend PRN.3pl-REFL
The women will defend themselves.

b-ùdá bwà-ámà $\eta w a ̃ ̀ a ั ̀ ~ b w a ̀-m \varepsilon ́ f w o ́ ~ j o ̀ g-i ̀ f ~ f$
c2-woman SM-P2 take PRN.3pl-REFL picture-LOC
The women photographed themselves.
d) Bùdá bwáámà kùfà nà bwáméfwó
b-ùdá bwà-ámà kùsà-jà nà bwà-méfwó
c2-woman SM-P2 sell-RCM with PRN.3pl-REFL
The women betrayed themselves.
Verb-jà strategy (The sentences below are equivalent to $24 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$, and $24 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ excluded)
C25a) Bùdá bwáámà fàgìljà
b-ùdá bwà-ámà fágł̀l̀̀-jà
c2-woman SM-P2 praise-RCM
The women praised each other/themselves.
b) Bùdá bwéé kèz̀mìljà
b-ùdá bwò-é kèz̀m-Hlà-jà
c2-woman SM-F1 defend-INF-RCM
The women will defend themselves/each other.
c) Bùdá bwáámà hwà̀à-jà íjòg

c2-woman SM-P2 take-RCM c8-picture
The women photographed themselves/each other.
Comment: In the light of the observations made above, it appears that the Verb-jà strategy permits both reciprocal and reflexive readings whereas the Pronoun-mé(fwó) and total reduplication of the reflexive only permits reflexive reading.
4.1.4.2 Reciprocal readings - Complete this section only if your strategy allows a reciprocal reading (i.e., permits a reading like those in (C24a) or (C24f). If the strategy is ambiguous, make sure to use verbs that allow the reciprocal interpretation.

Which of the following verbs can the strategy be applied to?
C26) bwàmà"meet", dt́g "see", "fight", lás "speak, talk", "hit"

## Symmetric predicate

C26a) John báná Bill bwáámà bwàmà
John bwà-ṅ̀-à Bill bwà-ámà bwàmà
John PRN.3pl-with-PRN.3sg Bill SM-P2 meet.RCM
John and Bill met each other.

## Verb-jà strategy

C26a) John báná Bill bwáámà dígjà
John bwà-nà-à Bill bwò-ámà dł̀g-jà
John PRN.3pl-with-PRN.3sg Bill SM-P2 see-RCM
John and Bill saw each other.
KS: Suppose John and Bill are standing next to each other looking into a mirror - could this mean either 'John saw himself and Bill saw himself' or 'John and Bill saw themselves together'?
NI: It has both meanings.
b) John báná Bill bwáámà láfà

John bwà-nà-à Bill bwà-ámà lás-jà
John PRN.3pl-with-PRN.3sg Bill SM.c2-P2 talk-RCM
John and Bill spoke to each other.
C27) John met/saw X with Bill (Meaning: "John and Bill met/saw each other.") Comment: Not possible in Makaa.
c) Is there any difference in the range of interpretations permitted for (C28a) as opposed to (C28b), or any difference in reciprocal strategies that support these interpretations? If so, tell us what you think the problem is and provide pairs like these for subsequent tests in this section (and let us know if male/female gender pairings introduce any complications).

```
C28a) John báná Mary bwáámà fàgìljà
John bwà-nà-à Mary bwà-ámà fágł̀là-jà
```

John PRN.3pl-with-PRN.3sg Mary SM.c2-P2 praise-RCM
John and Mary praised each other.
b) Bùdá bwáámà fàg̀̀lijà
b-ùdá bwà-ámà fágìlà-jà
c2-woman SM.c2-P2 praise-RCM
The women praised X .
Comment: No, there is no difference between a plural subject and a plural conjoined subject. Both
are expressed by the same RCM.
d) Can the strategy express reciprocity between a subject and an indirect object? Comment: No, as both the Object-Null and Verb-jà strategies do not admit an object.

C29a) John and Mary spoke to X.
b) John and Mary met with X.
c) John and Mary gave this book to X.
e) Long-distance reciprocal readings - For any of the strategies that permit a reciprocal reading, can the following sentence be translated to mean "Bill thinks he likes Mary, and Mary thinks she likes Bill"?

C30) Bill báná Mary bwá ngà búgf́lá ná bwám tèljà
Bill bwà-nà-à Marybwà ngà búgł̀là ná bwà-mátfèl-jà
Bill PRN.3pl-with-PRN.3sg Mary SM PROG think/believe that they-COP like-RCM Bill and Mary think that they like each other.
Comment: This can have the matrix reciprocal reading.
4.1.4.3 Sociative readings

Please translate these sentences, more than one way, if possible. Please be sure to let us know if one of the reciprocal or reflexive strategies can be used to achieve these readings.

C31a) Òkâm bwáámà tîj sámbá
Ò-kâm bwà-ámà tîj sámbá
C2-monkey SM-P2 leave together
The monkeys left together
Comment: There is only one way to translate the sentences - none of the anaphors are used for sociative readings.
b) Òkâm bwáámà dà ófû sámbá

Ò-kâm bwà-ámà dà ò-fû sámbá
C2-monkey SM-P2 eat C2-fish together
The monkeys ate fish together
Comment: There is only one way to translate the sentences - none of the anaphors are used for sociative readings.

### 4.1.4.4 Antipassive readings

C32a) Nkwèn ع́nè クgà kwàgł̀là bùùd
ŋkwèn $\varepsilon$ ह́n $\eta$ gà kwàgiłlà b-ùùd
panther that PROG bite C2-person
That panther bites people.
Comment: There is no antipassive reading achieved by the use of an anaphoric strategy.
b)Ngwám+nàngà biì bùùd
ngwámìnàngà biì b-ùùd
government PROG arrest/catch C2-person
The government arrests people.
Comment: There is no antipassive reading achieved by the use of an anaphoric strategy.
c) Bill ngà fágìlà bùùd

Bill ngà fágł̀là b-ùùd
Bill PROG praise c2-person
Bill praises people
Comment: There is no antipassive reading achieved by the use of an anaphoric strategy.

### 4.2 Cross-clausal binding 4.2.1 Coreference relations across typical tensed clausal complement

4.2.1.1 Tensed complement, long distance relations, anaphor in situ - Please provide translations for all of these sentences where X is Jack.

Pronominal strategy and Pronoun-méfwó strategy
D1a) Jack nàámà tí ná à sà $\begin{aligned} & \text { kt́ jàswà }\end{aligned}$ Jack nà-ámà tí ná à sà ŋkf́ jàswà Jack SM.c1-P2 say that he COP COP smart Jack said that he is smart.
ai) Jack nàámà tí ná nàméfwó sà ŋkf́ jàswà
Jack nà-ámà ti ná nà-méfwó sà nḱt jàswà
Jack SM-P2 say that PRN.3sg-REFL COP COP smart
Jack said that he also is smart.
Comment: The use of the Pronoun-méfwó strategy in this section gives the reading 'Pronoun also' KS: Is nえ̀-mé instead of nえ̀-méfwó bad in Dai? Is nul in place of nд̀-méfwó bad in Dai? NI: nว̀-mé instead of nà-méfwó are in free variation in Makaa. nûl is just not acceptable in Dai as jàswà cannot be used to qualify inalienable, $n u l$ 'body' in this case.
b) Jack mà mpú ná George má tjjèl̂̂

Jack mà mpú ná George má tjjèl-ह̂
Jack COP know that George COP like-OM.PRN.3sg
Jack knows that George likes him.
Comment: The Pronoun-méfwó strategy fails in D1b because the reflexive pronoun is coconstrued with George and not with Jack.
bi) Jack mà mpú ná George má tjjèl nà
Jack mà mpú ná George má tjèl nà
Jack COP know that George COP like PRN.3sg
Jack knows that George likes him.
c) Jack mà mpú ná Bill nàá tí ná à sà ŋkḱ jàswà

Jack mà mpú ná Bill nà-á tị ná à sà $\quad$ Kḱ jàswà

Jack COP know that Bill PRN.3sg-P3 say that he COP COP smart Jack knows that Bill said that he is smart.
ci) Jack mà mpú ná Bill nàá tî ná nàméfwó sà ŋkf́ jàswà

Jack mà mpú ná Bill nà-á tî ná nà-méfwó sà ŋkf́ jàswà
Jack COP know that Bill PRN.3sg-P3 say that PRN.3sg-REFL COP COP smart Jack knows that Bill said that he also is smart.
d) Jack mà búgf́là ná Lisa mà mpú ná Wendy má tjjèl̂

Jack mà búgf́là ná Lisa mà mpú ná Wendy má tjjèl-ह̂
Jack COP think that Lisa COP know that Wendy COP like-OM.PRN.3sg Jack thinks that Lisa knows that Wendy likes him.
di) Jack mà búgł́là ná Lisa mà mpú ná Wendy má tjè̀l nà

Jack mà búgf́là ná Lisa mà mpú ná Wendy má tjèl nà
Jack COP think that Lisa COP know that Wendy COP like PRN.3sg
Jack thinks that Lisa knows that Wendy likes him.
Comment: The Pronoun-méfwó strategy fails in D1d because the reflexive pronoun is coconstrual with Wendy and not with Jack
e) Jack mà búgt́là ná Lisa mà mpú ná á tjèl Alice

Jack mà búg⿰́tlà ná Lisa mà mpú ná á tjèl Alice
Jack COP think that Lisa COP know that he like Alice Jack thinks that Lisa knows that he likes Alice.
ei) Jack mà búgílà ná Lisa mà mpú ná nàméfwó má tjèl Alice
Jack mà búgf́là ná Lisa mà mpú ná nà-méfwó má tjèl Alice
Jack COP think that Lisa COP know that PRN.3sg-REFL COP like Alice
Jack thinks that Lisa knows that he also likes Alice.
f) Sarah nààmà dsàw Jack ná Lisa má tjèlê

Sarah nà-àmà dzàw Jack ná Lisa má tjèl-र̂
Sarah PRN.3sg-P2 tell Jack that Lisa COP love-OM.PRN.3sg
Sarah told Jack that Lisa loves him.
fi) Sarah nààmà ḑàw Jack ná Lisa má tjèl nà
Sarah nà-àmà ḑàw Jack ná Lisa má tjjèl nà
Sarah PRN.3sg-P2 tell Jack that Lisa COP love-OM.PRN.3sg
Sarah told Jack that Lisa loves him.
Comment: The Pronoun-méfwó strategy fails in D1d because the reflexive pronoun is coconstrual with Lisa and not of Sarah.
g) Sarah nààmà dzàw Jack ná à tjjèl Wendy

Sarah nà-àmà dzàw Jack ná à tjè̀l Wendy
Sarah PRN.3s-P2g tell Jack that he love Wendy
Sarah told Jack that he loves Wendy.
gi) Sarah nààmà ḑàw Jack ná nàméfwó mátfjèl Wendy
Sarah nà-àmà dzàw Jack ná nà-méfwómá tjjèl Wendy Sarah PRN.3sg-P2 tell Jack that PRN.3sg-REFL COP love Wendy Sarah told Jack that he also loves Wendy.

Although there is no morphological marking of the distinction in English, sometimes a difference in factivity makes a difference for what we are studying and we want you to consider this difference.

D2a) Jack nàá màgł̀là ná Mary nàá tjjèl̂̂
Jack nà-á màgìlà ná Mary nà-á tjèl-ह̂
Jack PRN.3sg-P2 admit that Mary PRN.3sg-P3 love-OM.PRN.3sg
Jack admitted that Mary loved him.
b) Jack nàá búgł̀là ná Mary nàá tjjèl̂

Jack nà-á búgł̀là ná Mary nà-á tjèl-ह̂
Jack PRN.3sg-P3 believe that Mary PRN.3sg-P3 love-OM.PRN.3sg Jack believed that Mary loved him.

Please also test adjuncts, such as those in (D3), where $\mathrm{X}=$ Jeff.
D3a) Jeff nàámà Jwámàn Mary dză Ella nàámà dzùm nà jí
Jeff nà-ámà Jwámàn Mary ḑă Ella nà-ámà dzùm nà jí
Jeff PRN.3sg-P2 accuse Mary when Ella PRN.3sg-P2 blame him REL Jeff complained about/accused Mary when Ella blamed him
b) Jeff nàámà nìngà ndzáw dză nàámà gwág tàg nûl jí

Jeff nà-ámà nìngà ndzáw ḑă nà-ámà gwág tàg nûl jí
Jeff PRN.3sg-P2 return home when PRN.3sg-P2 hear tire body Jeff returned home when he became tired.
c) Jeff nàámà nìngà ndzáw fúfwógû nà ná Mary tílàg nà

Jeff nà-ámà nìngà ndzáw fúfwógû nà ná Mary tillà-g nà
Jeff PRN.3sg-P2 return home prior with that Mary write-HORT PRN.3sg Jeff returned home before Mary wrote to him.
d) Jeff nàámà tîj Mary kú $\hat{\varepsilon}$ d't́g

Jeff nà-ámà tî̀ Mary kú ह̂ dt'g
Jeff PRN.3sg-P2 leave Mary NEG.HORT OM.PRN.3sg see
Jeff left without Mary seeing him.
e) Mary nàámà jà Jeff màb $\begin{gathered}\text { è } \\ \text { à à } k u ́ ~ \\ \varepsilon \\ \text { dt́g }\end{gathered}$

Mary nà-ámà jà Jeff màbẽ̃ $\check{\text { à à kú } \hat{\varepsilon} \text { d'g }}$
Mary PRN.3sg-P2 give Jeff C6.guilt PRN.3sg NEG.HORT OM.PRN.3sg see

Mary condemned Jeff without meeting him.
Comment: There is no difference, with respect to anaphoric strategies, between complements and adjuncts. None of the reciprocal strategies would work in these contexts. There is no change noticed in differences in gender, plurality or person.

### 4.2.1.2 Climbing from tensed complements -

D3h) John ŋgà búgìlà ft̂g
John $\eta g$ à búgìlà ftg
John PROG believe intelligence
John believes himself to be intelligent
Comment: This is not a climbing structure.
hi) *Mátfwámá má tjèljà fààg "The boys want to praise each other"
Má-ttwámá má tjèl-jà fààg
c6-boy PST want/like-RCM praise
'The boys want to praise each other"
KS: Please try 'The boys want to praise each other", i.e., place the $j a ̀$ on the 'want' verb instead of on the 'praise' verb (fààg). I want to see if the RCM can be attached to a verb higher than the one it is thematically related to. Also try 'The prisoners tried to kill themselves' with the ja on 'try'. Please provide the sentences even if they are starred. NI: The RCM/RFM in Makaa never attaches to a verb higher than the one to which it is thematically related.
hii) *Mìmbùùg mjámà wã́jà gwîl nûl
Mì-mbùùg mí-ámà wã́-jà gwîl nûl
c4-prisoner c4-P2 try-RFM kill.oneself body
'The prisoners tried to kill themselves'
Comment: The RCM/RFM in Makaa never attaches to a verb higher than the one to which it is thematically related.

### 4.2.2 Long distance relations and the variety of clausal embedding types

Consider what a list of major clause embedding types in your language would include.
X12a) I hope [to leave]
I hope [for Bill to leave]
I expect [Bill to be unpleasant]
I persuaded Bill [to leave]
b)I made [Bill leave]
c) I saw [someone leaving]
d I require [that he speak softly]
e) I consider [Bill unpleasant]

In this subsection, we want you to construct sentences along the lines of those presented for tensed
clauses above adjusting for the different complement clause types allowed in your language (which may be radically fewer than those in English, or may involve types of complementation not found in English). Then test each clausal type for the success or failure of each coreference strategy.

For subjunctives, if your language permits them and if your language permits them to have lexical subjects, the tests can probably proceed on the model of tensed clause complements. However, some of these clausal types require some adjustments if they require null subjects. For example, in providing data for infinitives (if your language has infinitives), and where $\mathrm{X}=$ Edgar, we want you to give us a range of examples where the infinitive subject is not controlled by the matrix subject. In other words, the understood subject of the infinitive (the understood giver or talker) should never be Edgar, but Bill (or else we will actually testing just a clausemate strategy instead of a long distance one). Thus in (D4a), for example, Bill is understood to be the one trusting, and we want to test whether or not X could be Edgar, and if so, which form makes the possible (in English, it is the otherwise independent pronoun him).

If only the pronominal strategy works for coreference in these positions, translate using the pronoun and then comment on the strategies that would fail if in that position, e.g., body reflexives, PRN-mé(fwó). NI: The PRN-mé(fwó) strategy does not work for coreference here.

D4a) Edgar náámà fílà Bill ná à jágê búgá dzjé dzjêf

Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 ask Bill that he give-HORT-OM.PRN.3sg C7.trust C7-POSS C7-QUANT Edgar asked Bill to trust Him.
b) Edgar náámà fílà Bill ná à jágê kálàd

Edgar nà-ámà fílà Bill ná à jà-g-ह̂ kálàd
Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 ask Bill that he give-HORT-OM.PRN.3sg book Edgar asked Bill to give a book to him.
c) Edgar náámà fílà Bill ná à lást̀g nà né

Edgar nà-ámà fílà Bill ná à lás-ìg nà né
Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 ask Bill that he talk-HORTwith PRN.3sg
Edgar asked Bill to talk to him.
d) Edgar náámà fílà Bill ná à tént̀g ìsá í dógjá nà né jí

Edgar nà-ámà fílà Bill ná à tên-t̀g ì-sâ í d'tog-jà nà né jí
Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 ask Bill that he talk-HORT C8-thing SM see-REFL with PRN.3sg REL Edgar asked Bill to talk about him.
e) Edgar náámà búgìlà ná Bill é jà nà búgá dzjé dzjêf

Edgar nà-ámà búgìlàná Bill é jà nà búgá dzj-દ́ dzj-Êک
Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 expect that Bill F1 give PRN.3sg C7.trust C7-POSS C7-QUANT
Edgar expected Bill to trust him.
f) Edgar náámà fílà Bill ná à dzánàg nà né

Edgar nà-ámà fílà Bill ná à dzánà-g nà né
Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 ask Bill that he pay-HORTwith PRN.3sg

Edgar ordered Bill to pay him.
g) Edgar náámà fílà Bill ná à tị̂g ná à sà nkt́ jàswà

Edgar nà-ámà fílà Bill ná à tî-g ná à sà nkf́ jàswà
Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 ask Bill that he say-Hortthat he COP COP smart
Edgar ordered Bill to say that he was smart.
h) Edgar náámà fílà Bill ná à tîg ná Mary má tjèl̂र्ध

Edgar nà-ámà fílà Bill ná à til-g ná Mary má tjè̀l-̂́
Edgar PRN.3sg-P2 ask Bill that he say-HORT that Mary COP love-OM.PRN.3sg Edgar ordered Bill to say that Mary loved him.

If infinitives in your language permit lexical subjects, either by exceptional Casemarking, as in (D5), or by a more general strategy (in English tied to the complementizer for) as in (D6), please also provide examples of this type.

D5a) Edgar ngà búgìlà ná nòó néé tâw fwóóg
Edgar ngà búgł̀là nánà-ó né-é tâw Jwóóg
Edgar PROG expect that PRN.3sg-FOC PRN.3sg-F1 stand ahead
Edgar expects that he is the one who will win.
b) Edgar ngà búgł̀là ná Bill é tô̂ nà

Edgar ngà búgł̀là náBill é tỗ nà
Edgar PROG expect that Bill F1 surpass PRN.3sg
Edgar expects Bill to defeat him.
D6a) Edgar má tjjèl ná nòó táwùg Jwóóg
Edgar má tjèl ná nà-ó tâw-ug Jwóóg
Edgar COP like that PRN:3sg-FOC stand-HORT ahead
Edgar hopes for him to win.
b) Edgar má tjjèl ná Bill ó ťõnł̀g nà

Edgar má tjè̀l ná Bill ó tfỗy-ìg nà
Edgar COP like that Bill FOC surpass-HORT PRN.3sg
Edgar hopes for Bill to defeat him.
If the coreferent nominal can be a possessive, provide also examples like the following:
D7a) Edgar ngà búgìlà ná Bill é tớn nt̛úúm jé
Edgar ngà búgł̀là náBill é tỗ ntfúùm j-દ́
Edgar PROG expect that Bill F1 surpass C1-brother C1-POSS
Edgar expects Bill to defeat his brother.
b) Edgar má tjjèl ná Bill tõ̃nł̀g nttúúm jé

Edgar má tjèl ná Bill tfồn-ìg ntfúùm j-غ́
Edgar COP like that Bill surpass-HORT C1-brother C1-POSS

## Edgar hopes for Bill to defeat his brother.

c) Edgar ngà búgìlà ná ntưúm jénèè tớn nà

Edgar ngà búgł̀là nántúùm j-દ́ṅ̀-è tyỗ nà
Edgar PROG expect that C1-brother C1-POSS PRN-3sg-F1 surpass him
Edgar expects his brother to defeat him.
d) done in b

Edgar hopes for Bill to defeat his brother.
If your language permits small clauses, such as English John considers Mary intelligent, where intelligent is thus predicated of Mary, then try the following tests, where $\mathrm{X}=$ Tom.

```
D8a) Tom má dt́ búgìlă f+g
        Tom má dł́ búgł̀łà ftg
        Tom COP HAB believe intelligence/knowledge
        Tom considers himself intelligent.
    b) Tom ngà búgìlà ná Mary má dзáág tjè̀l̂
    Tom ŋgà búgìlà ná Mary má dзáág tjè̀-ह̂
    Tom PROG believe that Mary COP ADV love-PRN.3sg
    Tom considers Mary fond of him.
    c) Tom ŋgà búgł̀là ná Mary ŋgà gwág mpìmbà nà né
    Tom ŋgà búgìlà ná Mary ngá gwág mpìmbà nà né
        Tom PROG believe that Mary PROG hear anger with PRN.3sg
        Tom considers Mary angry at him.
```

Note: If your language permits verb serialization, special issues may arise for some of the questions we have been raising. If this is the case, please let us know that verb serialization is possible in your language and alert us to any sorts of patterns that you think we might be interested in. We will address these issues in follow up research.

### 4.2.3 Backwards anaphora

If your language permits sentential subjects like those in D9, please indicate if coreference succeeds where X is a pronoun or anaphor coconstrued with Oliver. Your language may not have a verb like implicate, but if so, try a verb that seems close, if possible. If your language does not permit clauses to be subjects without head nouns, then try something like "the fact that X was late upset Oliver." English permits the independent pronouns strategy to be used for such cases, but not all speakers like every example.

Comment: Makaa does not allow sentences beginning with 'that X...' or 'the fact that $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$. Thus sentences in D9 will be revised with respect to Makaa syntax. No other strategy different from that used below can be used to translate the sentences in D9

D9a) Oliver nàámà gwág mpìmbà nà nàméfwó nà tjé nàámà wóós mptsà wàlà Oliver nà-ámà gwág mpìmbà nà nà-méfwó nà tjé nà-ámà wóós mpt́sà wàlà

Oliver PRN.3sg-P2 hear anger with PRN.3sg-REFL with why PRN.3sg-P2 arrive behind time Oliver got upset because he was late.

Oliver nà-ámà bà nà màb $\check{\varepsilon} \tilde{\varepsilon}$ nà tfjé nà-ámà wóós mpt́sà wàlà
Oliver PRN.3sg-P2 COP with C6.guilt with why PRN.3sg-P2 arrive behind time
Oliver was guilty because he was late.
c) Oliver nàámà bà nà màbẽ̌

Oliver nà-ámà bà nà màbẽ̌ $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ ndàà nà-ámà wóós mpt́sà wàlà
Oliver PRN.3sg-P2 COP with C6.guilt as PRN.3sg-P2 arrive behind time Oliver was guilty as he was late.
d) Oliver nàámà jàjòw màb $\check{\text { è́ }}$ ndàá nàámà wóós mpt́sà wàlà

Oliver nà-ámà jà-jòw màbẽ̌ $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ ndàà nà-ámà wóós mpt́sà wàlà
Oliver PRN.3sg-P2 give-PASS C6.guilt as PRN.3sg-P2 arrive behind time Oliver was said to be guilty [implicated] as he was late.

## Section 4.3 Principle C-type effects

Comment: In Makaa either, it is neither possible to interpret he=Malik or he=the boy in (E1), nor possible for the pronoun in (E2)

E1a) nàámà đ弓ǔm Malik
nà-ámà dzùm Malik
PRN.3sg-P2 blame Malik
He Blamed Malik.
Comment: He is not Malik
b) nàámà tii ná Mariam nàámà ḑǔm Malik
nà-ámà tî ná Mariam nà-ámà ḑùm Malik
PRN.3sg-P2 say that Mariam PRN.3sg-P2 blame Malik
He said Mariam blamed Malik.
Comment: He is not Malik
c) nàámà dzùm dúl ntfwámá
nà-ámà dzùm dúl ntfwámá
PRN.3sg-P2 blame DET Malik
He blamed a boy.
Comment: He is not the boy
d) nàámà tî ná Mariam nàámà dzùm dúl ntwámá
nว̀-ámà tî ná Mariam nà-ámà ḑùm dúl ntfwámá
PRN.3sg-P2 say that Mariam PRN.3sg-P2 blame DET Malik
He said Mariam blamed a boy.
Comment: He is not the boy

## E2a) nóngú jé nàámà đ弓ǔm Malik

nóngú j-દ́ nà-ámà dzùm Malik
C1-mother C1-POSS PRN.3sg-P2 blame Malik
His mother blamed Malik.
Comment: His is not Malik
b) nóngú jé nàámà ti ná Mariam nàámà dzǔm Malik
nóngú j-દ́ nà-ámà tî ná Mariam nà-ámà dzùm Malik
C1-mother C1-POSS PRN.3sg-P2 say that Mariam PRN.3sg-P2 blame Malik His mother said Mariam blamed Malik.
Comment: His is not Malik
c) nóngú jé nàámà dzùm dúl ntfwámá
nóngú j-غ́ nà-ámà dzùm dúl ntfwámá
C1-mother C1-POSS PRN.3sg-P2 blame DET boy
His mother blamed a boy.
Comment: His is not the boy
d) nóngú jé nàámà tî ná Mariam nàámà dzùm dúl nțwámá
nóngú j-ह́ nà-ámà ţi ná Mariam nà-ámà dzùm dúl ntfwámá
C1-mother C1-POSS PRN.3sg-P2 say that Mariam PRN.3sg-P2 blame DET boy
His mother said Mariam blamed a boy.
Comment: His is not the boy.

### 4.4 More on long distance anaphor strategies

D10) John ngà búgìlà ná à sà nà màb $\check{\tilde{c}} \tilde{\varepsilon}$
John $\eta$ gà búgìlà ná à sà nà màbẽ̀ $\tilde{\varepsilon}$
John PROG believe that he COP with guilt
John believes he is guilty.
Comment: No special long distance form.

### 4.4.2 Antecedent properties

4.4.2.2 Quantified antecedents - Review the examples in the Jack, Zeke and Edgar paradigms, replacing these names with "every child" and "no child" or "many children".
Comment: There are no differences observed in D1 and D4 when Jack and Edgar are substituted by 'every child' and'no child'.

## Pronominal strategy and Pronoun-méfwó strategy

D11a) Mwán jéf nàámà tí ná à sà ŋKḱ jàswà
mwân j-દ́f nà-ámà tí ná à sà YKf́ jàswà
C1-child C1-QUANT SM-P2 say that he COP COP smart
Every child said that he is smart.
b) Mwán jéf/nàámà tí ná nàméfwó sà ŋkt́ jàswà mwân j-غ́f nà-ámà tí ná nà-méfwó sà ŋḱ́ jàswà

C1-child C1-QUANT SM-P2 say that PRN.3sg-REFL COP COP smart
Every child said that he also is smart.
Comment: The use of the Pronoun-méfwó strategy in this section gives the reading 'Pronoun also'

D12a) ntfýljá bwán ó mpú ná George má tjjèl bwà ntýljá b-uán ó mpù ná George má tjèl bwà Many C2-child SM know that George COP like PRN.pl Many children know that George likes them.
b) Tò mwân nàà mpújé ná George má tjjèl nà
t̀̀ m-uân nà-à mpù-jé ná George má tjèl nà
no child PRN.3sg-NEG know-NEG that George COP like PRN.3sg No child knows that George likes him.
Comment: The Pronoun-méfwó strategy fails in D1b because the reflexive pronoun is coconstrual with George and not with no child in D12b. D12a will be ungrammatical because the reflexive pronoun that can only refer to George will be plural, refereeing thus to many children.

D13a) Mwán jéf náámà Jílà Bill ná à jágê búgá ḑ̧jé dzjêर
 c1-child c1-QUANT PRN.3sg ask Bill that he give-HORT-OM.PRN.3sg C7.trust C7.AM-POSS C7.AM-QUANT
Every child asked Bill to trust him.
b) Tò mwán fígé fílà Bill ná à jágê kálàd
tò m-uân fí-gé fílà Bill ná à jà-g-ह̂ kálàd no C1-child EVID.PAST-NEG ask Bill that PRN.3sg give-HORT-OM.PRN.3sg book No child asked Bill to give a book to him.
c) tuúljá bwán bwáámà fílà Bill ná à lásł̀g nà bwà
tuúljá b-uân bwà-ámà fílà Bill ná à lás-ìg nà bwà
Many C1-child Sm-P2 ask Bill that he talk-HORT with PRN.3pl
Many children asked Bill to talk to them.

Note: Try overt and null pronouns as the coreferent NP if your language has both.
4.4.2.3 Split antecedents - Sometimes coreference is permitted when the antecedents for the anaphor or pronoun are separate arguments. Please provide examples that correspond to those in the Ozzie (male) and Harriet (female) paradigm. In all cases, $\mathrm{X}=$ Ozzie and Harriet (together). For example, in English, (D14d) would be "Ozzie told Harriet that Bill dislikes them," where them would be Ozzie and Harriet.

D14a) Ozzie nàámà tên fé is isá í d'̛́gjá nà Harriet jí Ozzie nà-ámà tên fé ì-sâ í d'g'g-já nà Harriet jí
Ozzie PRN.3sg-P2 talk/narrate PRN.2pl.INCL C8-thing SM see-RCM/REFL with Harriet REL Ozzie talked about Harriet to us (INCL.).
Comment: The translation in D14a is the only pronominal strategy that can be used in Makaa for Ozzie to be coconstrual with the pronoun $\int^{\prime}$ (we inclusive). Other strategies fail as they can produce any acceptable grammatical construction in Makaa. However, with the Pronoun-méfwó
strategy, sentence D14a is grammatical but the reflexive pronoun excludes Ozzie.
KS: I need to think about this one.
b) Ozzie nàámà tên Harriet ìsá í d't́gjá nà bwà jí Ozzie nà-ámà tên Harriet ì-sâ i dóg-já nà bwà jí
Ozzie PRN.3sg-P2 talk/narrate Harriet c8-thing c8.SM see-RCM/REFL with PRN.3pl c8.REL Ozzie talked about them to Harriet.
bi) Ozzie nàámà tên Harriet ìsá í dógjá nà bwámé(fwó) jí
Ozzie nà-ámà tên Harriet ì-sâ í d'̛́g-já nà bwà-mé(fwó) jí
Ozzie PRN.3sg-P2 talk/narrate Harriet c8-thing c8.SM see-RCM/REFL with PRN.3pl-REFL REL
Ozzie talked about themselves to Harriet.
c) Ozzie nàámà ḑàw Harriet ná bwám dzàlă nà tîj

Ozzie nà-ámà ḑàw Harriet ná bwà-má dzàlă nà tîj
Ozzie PRN.3sg-P2 tell Harriet that PRN.3pl-COP ought to leave
Ozzie told Harriet that they should leave.
ci) Ozzie nàámà ḑàw Harriet ná bwáméfwó bwám dzàlă nà tîj Ozzie nà-ámà dzàw Harriet ná bwá-méfwó bwá-m dzàlă nà tîj
Ozzie PRN.3sg-P2 tell/narrate Harriet that PRN.3pl-REFL PRN.3pl-PST ought to leave Ozzie told Harriet that they also should leave.
Comment: The use of the Pronoun-méfwó strategy in D14ci gives the reading 'Pronoun also'
d) Ozzie nàámà ḑàw Harriet ná Bill má ftm bwà

Ozzie nà-ámà dzàw Harriet ná Bill má ftm bwà
Ozzie PRN.3sg-P2 tell/narrate Harriet That Bill PST PRN.3pl
Ozzie told Harriet that Bill dislikes them.
Comment: The use of the Pronoun-méfwó strategy in D14d fails because it produces unacceptable sentences whereby the plural reflexive pronoun bwáméfwó is neither coconstrual with Ozzie and Harriet nor with Bill. See also D14e.
e) Ozzie nàámà tî ná Harriet ngà búgł̀là ná Bill má ftm bwà Ozzie nà-ámà tî ná Harriet ngà búgìlà ná Bill má ftm bwà
Ozzie PRN.3sg-P2 tel1/narrate that Harriet PROG think that Bill PST hate PRN.3pl Ozzie said that Harriet thinks that Bill dislikes them.
Comment: The use of the Pronoun-méfwó strategy in D14e fails because it produces unacceptable sentences whereby the plural reflexive pronoun bwóméfwó is neither coconstrual with Ozzie and Harriet nor with Bill. See also D14d.

### 4.4.2.4 Discourse antecedents -

D15) Mark nàá fúndà ná mwán jé túgé ná Jjè̀̀.
Mark nà-á fúndà ná m-uân j-દ́ túgé ná fjèz̀.
Mark PRN.3sg-P3 fear that c1-child c1-POSS NEG PREP peace
Mark feared that his son was not safe.
nàá gwág Jwóòn ndàá náá fígé kwàg kèz̀mf́là mùùd à ndzów búùd jé
nว̀-á gwág Jwóòn ndàà nว̀-á fí-gé kwàg kèèm-Hlà m-ùùd à ndzów b-ùùd j-દ́
He-P3 hear shame as he-P3 EVID.PAST-NEG able protect-INF c1-peron ASS c3.house c2-person He was ashamed that he could not protect his closest relative.

Ontfúùm bé bwéé tádłłgà ná à sà nćjé kjàgł̀lì múùd?
ò-tfúùm b-દ́ bwว̀-é tádìgà ná à sà nćjé kjàgłłlì m-úùd
c2-brother c2-POSS SM-F1 think that PRN.3sg COP which type c1-person
What would his cousins think of him?
D16) Mark nàámà gwàg tł̀j lâm d't́ǵlá jòg ḑ $\varepsilon$ kálàdłłf
Mark nà-ámà gwàg ťàj lâm dt̛g-Hlá jòg dz-દ́ kálàd-ł̀
Mark PRN.3sg-P2 hear pain heart see-INF c7.picture c7-POSS paper-LOC
Mark was shocked to see his picture in the paper.
16i) Wàbímàmpóónz bé bêf bwéé mjààs nà
w-àbímàmpóónz b-દ́ b-ह̂f bwà-é mjààs nà c2-supporter c2-POSS c2-QUANT PRN.3pl-F1 abandon PRN.3sg All of his supporters would abandon him.

16ii) À mpûg ná nèé d弓àw nóóngú nt̀̀dह̀lह̂?
à mpù-g ná nà-é dzàw nòòngû nt̀̀̀dèlê?
PRN.3sg know-HORT that PRN.3sg-F1 tell mother how
How would he tell his mother?
Scenario: The following scenario concerns what Morris is reporting to us about Mark, where all of the English pronouns are understood as referring to Mark, not to Morris. Please translate using any (or every) strategy for coreference with Mark that works (including the independent pronoun strategy). Then please tell us which strategies do not work, providing a translation and gloss, if it is significantly different from your acceptable translations of (D17). If your language permits null subjects understood as pronouns, don't forget to consider that strategy. Enter this scenario under the commentary for (D17)

D17) Morris лàámà tî ná múús jámà bà ŋkḱ azág kwàwlà Júl Mark
Morris nà-ámà tî ná múús í-ámà bà $\eta k k^{\prime}$ ḑág kwàwlà Júl Mark
Morris PRN.3sg-P2 say that today SM-P2 COP COP very difficult for Mark
Morris said it was a difficult day for Mark.
Fóóg, Morris nàámà dzàwê ná màtwâ jé má d弓úwàjòw
fój́g, Morris nà-ámà ḑàw-̂̂ ná màtwâ j-દ́ má ḑúwà-jòw
first, Morris PRN.3sg-P2 tell-OM.PRN.3sg that c1.car c1-POSS COP steal-PASS
First, Morris told him that his car had been stolen.
dzàlà nà à mú zǎ nwằ òpàp kǎlà iféjíf
ḑàlà.nà à mú zà nwã̀ òpàp kà-Hlà í-کéj-íj
then PRN.3sg COP come take taxi go-INF c8-work-LOC

Then he had to hire a taxi to take him to work.
Morris nàá tádł̛gà ná nàá ḑjjè gwàg mpł̀mbà
Morris nà-á tádł̛gà ná nà-á dz̧jè gwàg mpìmbà
Morris PRN.3sg-P3 think that PRN.3sg-P3 might hear anger
Morris thought he might be angry.
Scenario Now suppose that Mark has recently been in the news and he is the topic of our conversation. Speakers A and B use pronouns to refer to him. Please translate using the strategy or strategies in your language that permit coreference with Mark. Once again, please tell us which strategies do not work, providing a translation and gloss, if it is significantly different from your acceptable translations of (D18). Please enter this under the commentary for D18 and D18a. The sentences should refer to each other as related.

D18) A: Dìgjà Mark wà!
d'g'g-í-à Mark wà
see-HORT-Pl Mark here
Look, there's Mark!
B: À ḑág bǎ ḑǒn
à dzág bà dzǒn
he very/so COP handsome
He is so handsome.

 though I COP.NEG with power never accept be-INF C1-wife C1-POSS. C2-woman C2-QUANT c2 SM PROG die PRN.3sg-LOC behind
I would not want to be his wife though. All the women are chasing him.

## Pronoun-mé(fwó) strategy

D18ii) B: Zǎ bàd nà ná à o̧ág tjjèl námé(fwó)
Zà bàd nà ná à ḑág tjèl ná-mé(fwó)
come add with that he too.much like PRN.3sg-REFL
Also, I think he praises himself too much.

## Body strategy

D18iiiBi: Ză bàd nà ná à ḑág tjjèl dílà nkên nûl
Zà bàd nà ná à dzág tfjèl df́-Hlà nkên nûl
come add with that he too.much like HAB-INF carry body
Also, I think he praises himself too much.
KS: I am wondering if the difference between (D18B) and (D18Bi) supports the view that the use of Body.REFL is a reflexive reading related to inalienable possession in some sense, whereas PRN-REFL is not specific to such readings. What do you think? NI: It is possible...
Comment: D17 and D18 cannot be translated differently in Makaa as the translation will produce unacceptable sentences.

### 4.4.4 Islands

Do syntactic islands affect the acceptability of the current strategy? For all the examples in this section, $\mathrm{Ira}=\mathrm{X}$.

D22a) Ira ngà mpù ná Mary má ftmê
Ira ngà mpù ná Mary má ftm- $\hat{\varepsilon}$
Ira PROG know that Mary COP hate-OM.PRN.3sg
Ira knows that Mary hates him.
D22ai) Ira ŋgà mpù ná Mary má ftm nà Ira ngà mpù ná Mary má ftm nà

Ira PROG know that Mary COP hate PRN.3sg
Ira resents the fact that Mary hates him.
b) Ira ŋgà gúmàl mùùd má tjélê jí

Ira ŋgà gúmàl mùùd má tjjèl-ह̂ jí
Ira PROG respect man COP like-OM.PRN.3sg REL
Ira respects the man who likes him.
bi) Ira ŋgà gúmàl mùùd má tjél fà jí
Ira ngà gúmàl mùùd má tjèl nà jí
Ira PROG respect man COP like PRN.3sg REL
Ira respects the man who likes him.
c) Ira ngà tii ná mùùd má tfjélê jí sà nà ftg

Ira ŋgà tî ná mùùd má tjèl-Ê jí sà nà ftg
Ira PROG say that man COP like-OM.PRN.3sg REL COP with intelligence Ira says that the man who likes him is intelligent.
ci) Ira ngà tii ná mùùd má tjélê jí sà nà ftg

Ira ngà tî ná mùùd má tjèl- $\hat{\varepsilon}$ jí sà nà ftg
Ira PROG say that man COP like-OM.PRN.3sg REL COP with intelligence Ira says that the man who likes him is intelligent.
d) Ira nàámà fílà $\eta$ gt́ Bill nàámà dt́ĝ̂

Ira nà-ámà fílà クgt́ Billnà-ámà díg-र̂
Ira PRN.3sg-P2 ask whether Bill PRN.3sg-P2 see-OM.PRN.3sg Ira asked whether Bill saw him.
di) Ira nàámà fílà ngt́t Bill nàámà dt́g nà

Ira nà-ámà fílà クg't Bill nà-ámà d'́g nà
Ira PRN.3sg-P2 ask whether Bill PRN.3sg-P2 see PRN.3sg Ira asked whether Bill saw him.
e) Ira nàámà fílà wàlà Bill nàámà d't́g dt́ĝ̂ jí

Ira nà-ámà fílà wàlà Bill nà-ámà dt̛g-દ̂ jí
Ira PRN.3sg-P2 ask when/time Bill PRN.3sg-P2 see-OM.PRN.3sg REL

Ira asked when Bill saw him.
ei) Ira nàámà fílà wàlà Bill nàámà dt'g dt́ĝ̣ $j i ́$
Ira nà-ámà fílà wàlà Bill nà-ámà dt̛g- $\hat{\text { jí }}$
Ira PRN.3sg-P2 ask when/time Bill PRN.3sg-P2 see-OM.PRN.3sg REL
Ira asked when Bill saw him.
f) Irà figè mpù ná George nàámà b $\grave{\varepsilon} \hat{\varepsilon}$

Irà $1 i$-gè mpù ná George nà-ámà beั̀-દ̂
Ira EVID.PAST-NEG know that George PRN.3sg-P2 follow-OM.PRN.3sg Ira did not realize that George followed him.
fi) Irà figè mpù ná George nàámà bẽ̀ nà
Irà ji-gè mpù ná George nà-ámà bẽ̃ nà
Ira EVID.PAST-NEG know that George PRN.3sg-P2 follow PRN.3sg Ira did not realize that George followed him.
g) Ira nàámà tí ná Mary sà dzǒn ntóó nèè bá nà

Ira nà-ámà tî ná Mary sà dzǒn ntój́ nà-è bá nà
Ira PRN.3sg-P2 say that Mary COP pretty thus he-F1 marry her
Ira said that Mary was pretty and that he would marry her.
Comment: The $\hat{\varepsilon}$ pronominal strategy does not work with D22g because it produces an unacceptable construction. More, she and X has to permute in D22g as in the Makaa culture it is the man that marry the woman and not the contrary.

### 4.4.5 De se reading

Makaa has no special morphology that marks logophoric readings.
D23a) Oedipus ngà búgł̀là ná nòòngú sà クKḱ nwà
Oedipus ŋgà búgìlà ná nòòngú sà ŋkf́ nwà
Oedipus PROG think that mother.REFL COP COP nice/good Oedipus thinks his mother is nice.

D23ai) Oedipus ngà búgł̀là ná nòòngú jé sà ŋkf́nwà
Oedipus ngà búgł̀là ná nòòngú $j$ - sà $\eta k f ́ n w a ̀ ~$
Oedipus PROG think that c1.mother c1-POSS COP COP nice/good Oedipus thinks his mother is nice.
b) Oedipus ngà tî ná nòòngú sà $}$

Oedipus ngà búgł̀là ná nòòngú sà $\ddagger k$ ḱt bâw
Oedipus PROG think that mother.REFL COP COP bad/mean
Oedipus says his mother is mean.
bi) Oedipus ngà tí ná nòòngú jé sà ŋkf́ bâw
Oedipus ŋgà búgł̀là ná nòòngú j-દ́ sà $}$ bâw

Oedipus PROG think that c1.mother c1-POSS COP COP bad/mean Oedipus says his mother is mean.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the purpose of this questionnaire, it is a difficult task to identify the role played by the morpheme -m- in Makaa grammar. It looks like the split form of PST1 má. However, in A2e it seems to express present tense. On the other hand, if the sentence is writtenSá má ftm sáméfwó, it will mean something like 'we started hating ourselves' some while ago. Further studies being therefore required to say what the function of this morpheme is, I will gloss it as ' xx ' all through this questionnaire.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ EVID stands for evidential marker

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ With the preposition né held constant, the preposition object pronouns are similar to the object pronouns in 2.2.2.4 except that for the 3 Sg.PRN form, there are two possibilities, namely, nà and né.

